GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING
AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

+ + + + +

PROPOSED SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT FY11-FY15
CONSOLIDATED PLAN HOME TENANT BASED
RENTAL ASSISTANCE PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

DECEMBER 10, 2014

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Department of Housing and Community Development convened in Room 200, Frank Reeves Center, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Nathan Simms, Deputy Director, presiding.

PRESENT:

NATHAN SIMMS, Deputy Director MARIBETH DELORENZO, Ph.D., Housing Development Advisor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Opening Remarks	
Nathan Simms, Deputy Director	
Witness Testimony	
Johanna Shreve 6	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

7:04 p.m.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR SIMMS: All right.

Good evening everyone. My name is Nathan Simms and I'm the Deputy Director for the D.C.

Department of Housing and Community Development.

I'd like to thank you for attending today's hearing on the Agency's Consolidated Plan

Proposed Schedule Amendment, HOME Tenant Based Rental Assistance.

I'd like to introduce Maribeth

Delorenzo who is the Housing Advisor in the

Office of the Director. Thank you for being here
this evening.

DHCD's mission is to create and preserve opportunities for affordable housing and economic development and to revitalize underserved communities in the District of Columbia.

We accomplish this mission through the use of both Federal and local funding. The purpose of today's hearing is to discuss the District's fiscal year 2015 Proposed Substantial

1 Amenda
2 Conso
3 HOME:
4 Housia
5 DHCD a
6 HUD in
7 assist
8 District

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Amendment to it's FY 11 through FY 15

Consolidated Plan to reprogram a portion of our

HOME funds received from the U.S. Department of

Housing and Urban Development, also known as HUD.

DHCD proposes using \$3 million in HOME funds from

HUD in FY 2015 to provide tenant-based rental

assistance to homeless households through the

District of Columbia Department of Human

Services.

In preparation for this submission of the FY 2015 Substantial Amendment to the Consolidated Plan, DHCD is seeking public comment on its proposal. These comments will form part of DHCD's substantial amendment as required by Federal regulations 24 CFR Part 91.

This hearing is solely reserved to discuss DHCD's Proposed Substantial Amendment.

The District receive entitlement, a grant from HUD, a Community Development Block Grant or CDBG, Home Investment Partnerships commonly referred to as HOME, Emergency Solicitation Grant or ESG and finally Housing Opportunities for Persons with

AIDS or HOPWA.

The DHCD administers the CDBG and qualifies directly via the ESG grant is administered by the Department of Human Services and the HOPWA grant is administered by the D.C. Department of Health.

In addition the Department uses the

Local Housing Production Trust Fund which is

funded through the District's Deed and

Recordation and Transfer Taxes for the production
and preservation of affordable housing in the

District.

The DHCD uses its Federal and local fundings to support a variety of initiatives including but not limited to single family and multi family housing development, comprehensive housing counseling services and direct assistance to both current and future homeowners. These initiatives help improve and stabilize District neighborhoods.

Before we begin today I'd like to mention a few housekeeping rules. Please make

sure all cell phones and other electronic devices are on silent or vibrate. If you participate in this hearing I understand you may want to use personal examples when voicing your concerns, we would be happy to speak with you one-on-one about your concerns that you may have.

Lastly, we ask that you please be respectful of others who are speaking and keep side conversations to a minimum.

We have one witness who is registered for today's testimony. After we have heard from that witness we will open the floor or any additional persons who wish to provide testimony. If you have witness testimony please make sure that we have a copy and limit your testimony to three minutes.

Our first witness Ms. Johanna Shreve.

MS. SHREVE: Good evening and thank you for allowing me to come in and talk with you this evening about your Proposed Substantial Amendment to the Department of Housing and Community

Development regarding the creation of the Tenant

Based Rental Assistance Program.

I am the Chief Tenant Advocate for the District of Columbia and in my particular role it is my responsibility to review all local and Federal regulations as well as any programmatic submissions that go to either of those entities to insure that the rights of tenants are being protected throughout the District of Columbia.

will make this evening are extremely brief in that I just received the notice today and so I've been spending a great deal of time today talking to one of your partners and reviewing again the HUD regulations as it relates to the TBRA program. So, my comments are not focused specifically on every item that has been outlined in the submission, but to speak to what I believe might be some additional suggestions that would enhance the approval of this by HUD going forward.

To start with I'm going to refer to the TBRA program and particularly the

administration that speaks to the certification.

And to establish a TBRA program a PJ must certify in the consolidated plan that TBRA is an essential part of its approved housing strategy and that their market conditions in the locality make TBRA a viable option. This means that an assessment of market factors has been undertaken and because there is an ample supply of housing.

A TBRA program is an effective way to expand affordable housing opportunities in a community.

In my review of your particular amendment I did not see that certification. I did see, however, a brief discussion regarding the market and with that in mind, I'd like to make a recommendation that you expand the market conditions assessment to include for the purposes of HUD's review the challenges that the District faces in the area of affordability and the supply of affordable housing.

As well, I think by indicating the marketing factors of the cost of housing within the city you will be able to have HUD look at

this with a critical eye in terms of your proposal due to the high cost of housing within the District. As well as it relates to the overall audience that you are attempting to service, I believe that it is also important that you speak to some of the underlining issues that have created of the challenge for the District of Columbia in being able to assist families in finding affordable housing, i.e., I refer to the most recent newspaper reports that spoke to the notion that a majority of those who are in the homeless category are 24 years or younger. of them have never held a first-time job. Some of them have large family compositions and they may not have graduated from high school. So, it puts us as a city in a position to look at a variety of variables and it would give, I think, the agency a better assessment of what it is they're trying to attempt in putting together a TBRA program.

When you start to talk about your point in time, you show in terms of the analyses

20

21

that looks at the current way the homeless program is administered in the city, where it has been and you indicate that the HOME funds dedicated to provide to TBRA will allow the District to transition households out of shelter units and into housing which will increase the number of shelter units available for hypothermia placements.

I caution you to look at this
language. I caution you to then go back to the
regulatory guidance because you will find that
that is while it could be a purpose that the PF
is intending to serve, I believe that the agency
would be better suited in looking at this program
as (a) a demonstration program, a program that
they would be able to use as a tracking vehicle.
For those families that they do assist it is my
belief that the demonstration project would help
you to meet the HOME program criteria and it
would also give you the ability to identify new
ways to promote stability and continuity in the
life of the beneficiaries.

-

During the implementation phase which in talking to Maribeth earlier today I was informed that the program guidelines had not been actually put together. I think it's important that you do have some sense of a programmatic outline that is attached to your supplemental request to HUD. And the reason I say that is because I believe the DHCD should be the first responder in this case getting its funds from your agency that are going to be used.

And in light of the fact that the proposed thought is to a transfer of these funds to the Department of Human Services, I caution you strongly with regards to that particular thought. I caution you because you would have to develop your sub-recipient agreement, that's number one. Two, you would have to constantly monitor to insure that there was compliance with the regulatory provisions. And, three, I believe that if you're going to develop a TANF program for the city, one, we know that TANF is only a 24-month program. So, therefore, as a

demonstration program it would give you the
ability to look at what were the best practices,
what worked well, what didn't and in what ways
can we as a city improve on our ability to serve
the needs of those who do find themselves

homeless for whatever reason.

As you are all aware I fun the Emergency Housing Program for the District of Columbia. So, I am one in which you would call the first responder for many of the individuals who end up in a homeless shelter. We know based upon our experiences that there are a variety of challenges that the Government faces in attempting to assist these families, (a) there are very large families involved so finding suitable housing for those individuals is going to be a challenge. That's why I say maybe a demonstration program would work better because there's a flexibility enhancement there that you don't get if you just turn the program over and you try and use the existing HUD regulations, (b) I also believe that in the efforts that have

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

been undertaken thus far by Government entities, meaning DHS, specifically, where they put out a request for funding activity. There may be a need to look at that whole thought and think about doing what we would call the master lease approach where you would contract with the housing provider and that way you have control over the units because (a) the housing provider knows that there would be stability in them receiving their financial payments, (b) this would also allow you to guarantee that all participants were involved in the case management program, (c) for those who have not completed high school it would be a requirement to finish within a two-year period, (d) for those that needed a job the coordination between DHCD and DOES would go much smoother. So, I think that that would be one of the reasons to show that there could be then a way for your to measure the success of all aspects of the program.

With regards to the coordinated entry situation I was fascinated by the information

21

that Maribeth provided to us this evening as well as earlier today to talk about how families are actually being assessed and how the continuity of that assessment allows us to see across the city who is the most vulnerable and where are the needs. And I think that as a part of that a District-wide map should be developed that has pins in it that show by who are those individuals, where are they located currently and what are the needs that we need to develop in order to associate with this particular program?

And, lastly, I do believe that there needs to be a time line associated with your submittal to HUD. I don't think that it is appropriate just to send in the amendment but if you have a time line that shows (a) you've had your public hearings, (b) you've looked at your comments, and (c) then the next part is the overall regulatory guidance that you're going to use to develop this plan.

With that, that's the end of my comments but within a short period of a couple of

hours today I felt it was important for me to 1 2 come and talk to you as colleagues. We are all in this together and because of my past 3 experience working in all of the HUD programs, I 4 felt lending that expertise at this particular 5 exercise was also going to be beneficial to what 6 7 you do in the future. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SIMMS: Absolutely. 8 9 MS. SHREVE: Okay. With that I'm finished. 10 11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR SIMMS: Thank you. All Thank you very much. 12 right. 13 Are there other witnesses in the audience wishing to testify? 14 15 DR. DELORENZO: Please come forward. 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR SIMMS: Seeing no others other than you two pointing at each other, 17 18 thank you so much for your testimony this evening. Your feedback is valuable to this 19 20 agency and the city as a whole. Again, your comments will be part of 21 the DHCD's Substantial Amendment to the 22

Consolidated Plan as required under the Federal 1 2 Regulations. 3 This concludes today's public hearing on the Consolidated Plan Proposed Substantial 4 Amendment for FY 15, HOME Tenant Based Rental 5 Assistant Program. 6 7 Please feel free to speak to our senior managers if you have any additional 8 9 concerns. 10 Thank you for attending. Good night. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 11 12 went off the record at 7:18 p.m.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

\$3 4:5 ability 10:20 12:2,4 able 8:22 9:8 10:16 above-entitled 16:11 Absolutely 15:8 accomplish 3:19 activity 13:3 addition 5:7 additional 6:13 7:18 16:8 administered 5:4,5 10:2 administers 5:2 administration 8:1 **Advisor** 1:18 3:12 Advocate 7:2 affordability 8:18 **affordable** 3:16 5:11 8:10.19 9:9 agency 9:18 10:13 11:10 15:20 Agency's 3:8 agreement 11:16 **AIDS** 5:1 allow 10:4 13:11 allowing 6:19 allows 14:4 amendment 1:6 3:9 4:1 4:11.14.17 6:20 8:12 14:15 15:22 16:5 **ample** 8:8 analyses 9:22 approach 13:6 appropriate 14:15 approval 7:19 approved 8:4 area 8:18 aspects 13:20 assessed 14:3 assessment 8:7.16 9:18 14:4 assist 9:8 10:17 12:14 assistance 1:7 3:10 4:7 5:17 7:1 Assistant 16:6 associate 14:11 associated 14:13 attached 11:6 attempt 9:19 attempting 9:4 12:14 attending 3:7 16:10 **audience** 9:4 15:14 available 10:7 aware 12:7 В

back 10:10 based 1:7 3:9 7:1 12:11 16:5 **belief** 10:18 **believe** 7:17 9:5 10:13 11:8.19 12:22 14:12 beneficial 15:6 beneficiaries 10:22 best 12:2 better 9:18 10:14 12:18 **Block** 4:19 brief 7:10 8:13

c 13:13 14:18 call 12:9 13:5 case 11:9 13:12 category 9:12 caution 10:9,10 11:13 11:15 **CDBG** 4:19 5:2 cell 6:1 Center 1:14 certification 8:1,12 certify 8:2 **CFR** 4:15 **challenge** 9:7 12:17 **challenges** 8:17 12:13 Chief 7:2 city 8:22 9:16 10:2 11:21 12:4 14:4 15:20 colleagues 15:2 Columbia 1:2,13 3:18 4:8 7:3,8 9:8 12:9 come 6:19 15:2,15 comment 4:12 comments 4:13 7:9,15 14:18,22 15:21 commonly 4:20 communities 3:18 **community** 1:4,13 3:6 4:19 6:21 8:10 completed 13:13 compliance 11:18 compositions 9:14 comprehensive 5:16 **concerns** 6:4,6 16:9 concludes 16:3 conditions 8:5,16 consolidated 1:7 3:8 4:2,12 8:3 16:1,4 constantly 11:17 **CONTENTS** 2:1 continuity 10:21 14:3 contract 13:6 control 13:7

convened 1:13

conversations 6:9

coordinated 13:21 coordination 13:16 **copy** 6:15 cost 8:21 9:2 counseling 5:17 **couple** 14:22 create 3:15 created 9:7 creation 6:22 criteria 10:19 critical 9:1 current 5:18 10:1 currently 14:9

d 13:15 **D.C** 1:14 3:5 5:5 deal 7:12 **DECEMBER** 1:10 dedicated 10:4 **Deed** 5:9 **Delorenzo** 1:17 3:12 15:15 demonstration 10:15

10:18 12:1,18 **Department** 1:4,13 3:6 4:3,8 5:4,6,7 6:21 11:13

Deputy 1:15,17 2:4 3:3 3:5 15:8,11,16 develop 11:16,20 14:10 14:20

developed 14:7 **development** 1:4,13,18 3:6,17 4:4,19 5:16 6:22

devices 6:1 **DHCD** 4:5,12 5:2,13 11:8 13:16

DHCD's 3:15 4:14,17 15:22

DHS 13:2 direct 5:17 directly 5:3

Director 1:15,17 2:4 3:3 3:5,13 15:8,11,16

discuss 3:21 4:17 discussion 8:13 **District** 1:2,12 3:18 4:8

4:18 5:12,19 7:3,8 8:17 9:3,7 10:5 12:8

District's 3:22 5:9 District-wide 14:7 **doing** 13:5

DR 15:15 **due** 9:2

Ε

earlier 11:2 14:2 economic 3:17 effective 8:9 efforts 12:22 either 7:6 electronic 6:1 **Emergency** 4:21 12:8 enhance 7:19 enhancement 12:19 entities 7:6 13:1 entitlement 4:18 entry 13:21 **ESG** 4:21 5:3 essential 8:4 establish 8:2 evening 3:4,14 6:18,20 7:10 14:1 15:19 examples 6:4 exercise 15:6 existing 12:21 expand 8:9,15 experience 15:4 experiences 12:12 expertise 15:5 extremely 7:10 **eye** 9:1

F

faces 8:18 12:13 fact 11:11 factors 8:7,21 families 9:8 10:17 12:14,15 14:2 family 5:15,16 9:14 far 13:1 fascinated 13:22 Federal 3:20 4:15 5:13 7:5 16:1 feedback 15:19 feel 16:7 felt 15:1,5 finally 4:22 financial 13:10 find 10:11 12:5 **finding** 9:9 12:15 finish 13:14 finished 15:10 **first** 6:17 11:8 12:10 first-time 9:13 fiscal 3:22 flexibility 12:19 **floor** 6:12 focused 7:15 form 4:13 forward 7:20 15:15 **Frank** 1:13 free 16:7 fun 12:7

b 12:22 13:10 14:17

Fund 5:8 funded 5:9 funding 3:20 13:3 fundings 5:14 **funds** 4:3,5 10:3 11:9 11:12 future 5:18 15:7 **FY** 4:1,1,6,11 16:5 **FY11-FY15** 1:6 G getting 11:9 give 9:17 10:20 12:1 go 7:6 10:10 13:17 going 7:19,21 11:10,20 12:16 14:19 15:6 Good 3:4 6:18 16:10 **Government** 1:1 12:13 13.1 graduated 9:15 grant 4:18,19,21 5:3,5 great 7:12 guarantee 13:11 guidance 10:11 14:19 guidelines 11:3 Н **happy** 6:5 Health 5:6 heard 6:11 hearing 1:7,12 3:8,21 4:16 6:3 16:3 hearings 14:17 **held** 9:13 help 5:19 10:18 high 9:2,15 13:14 4:21 10:3,19 16:5 12:6,11 homeowners 5:18

Home 1:7 3:9 4:3,5,20 homeless 4:7 9:12 10:1 **HOPWA** 5:1,5 hours 15:1 households 4:7 10:5 housekeeping 5:22 housing 1:4,13,17 3:6 3:12,16 4:4,22 5:8,11 5:16,17 6:21 8:4,8,10 8:19,21 9:2,9 10:6 12:8,16 13:7,8 **HUD** 4:4,6,19 7:14,19 8:22 11:7 12:21 14:14 15:4 **HUD's** 8:17 **Human** 4:8 5:4 11:13 hypothermia 10:7

i.e 9:9 identify 10:20 implementation 11:1 **important** 9:5 11:4 15:1 **improve** 5:19 12:4 include 8:16 including 5:15 increase 10:6 indicate 10:3 indicating 8:20 individuals 12:10,16 14:9 information 13:22 informed 11:3 initiatives 5:14,19 **insure** 7:7 11:18 intendina 10:13

job 9:13 13:16 Johanna 2:8 6:17

introduce 3:11

issues 9:6

item 7:16

Investment 4:20

involved 12:15 13:12

K keep 6:8 know 11:21 12:11 known 4:4 knows 13:9

language 10:10 large 9:14 12:15 lastly 6:7 14:12 **lease** 13:5 lending 15:5 life 10:22 light 11:11 limit 6:15 limited 5:15 line 14:13,16 local 3:20 5:8,13 7:4 locality 8:5 located 14:9 look 8:22 9:16 10:9 12:2 13:4 looked 14:17 **looking** 10:14 looks 10:1 **lot** 9:12

majority 9:11 management 13:12 managers 16:8 map 14:7 Maribeth 1:17 3:11 11:2 14.1 market 8:5,7,14,15 marketing 8:21 master 13:5 **matter** 16:11 meaning 13:2 **means** 8:6 **measure** 13:19 meet 10:19 mention 5:22 million 4:5 mind 8:14 minimum 6:9 minutes 6:16 **mission** 3:15.19 **monitor** 11:18 multi 5:16

N.W 1:14
name 3:4
Nathan 1:14,17 2:4 3:4
need 13:4 14:10
needed 13:16
needs 12:5 14:6,10,13
neighborhoods 5:20
never 9:13
new 10:20
newspaper 9:10
night 16:10
notice 1:14 7:11
notion 9:11
number 10:7 11:17

Office 3:13
Okay 15:9
one-on-one 6:5
open 6:12
Opening 2:3
opportunities 3:16 4:22
8:10
option 8:6
order 14:11
outline 11:6
outlined 7:16
overall 9:4 14:19

P P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 p.m 1:14 3:2 16:12 part 4:13,15 8:4 14:6,18 15:21 participants 13:12 participate 6:2

particular 7:3 8:11 11:14 14:11 15:5 particularly 7:22 partners 7:13 Partnerships 4:20 payments 13:10 **period** 13:15 14:22 personal 6:4 persons 4:22 6:13 **PF** 10:12 Ph.D 1:17 phase 11:1 phones 6:1 pins 14:8 **PJ** 8:2 placements 10:8 plan 1:7 3:8 4:2.12 8:3 14:20 16:1,4 please 5:22 6:7,14 15:15 16:7 point 9:22 pointing 15:17 portion 4:2 position 9:16 practices 12:2 preparation 4:10 PRESENT 1:16 preservation 5:11 preserve 3:16 presiding 1:15 production 5:8,10 program 7:1,15,22 8:2 8:9 9:20 10:2,14,15 10:15,19 11:3,20,22 12:1,8,18,20 13:13,20 14:11 16:6 programmatic 7:5 11:5 programs 15:4 project 10:18 promote 10:21 proposal 4:13 9:2 proposed 1:6 3:9,22 4:17 6:20 11:12 16:4 proposes 4:5 protected 7:8 provide 4:6 6:13 10:4

provide 4:6 6:13 10:4 provided 14:1 provider 13:7,8 provisions 11:19 public 1:7,12 4:12 14:17 16:3 purpose 3:21 10:12 purposes 8:16 pursuant 1:14 put 11:4 13:2 puts 9:15 putting 9:19

Q

I	1	1	1
qualifies 5:3	short 14:22	think 8:20 9:17 11:4	Υ
	show 9:22 13:18 14:8	13:4,17 14:6,14	year 3:22
R	shows 14:16	thought 11:12,15 13:4	
reason 11:7 12:6	Shreve 2:8 6:17,18 15:9	three 6:16 11:19	years 9:12
reasons 13:18	side 6:9	time 7:12 9:22 14:13,16	younger 9:12
receive 4:18	silent 6:2	today 5:21 7:11,12 11:2	Z
received 4:3 7:11	Simms 1:14,17 2:4 3:3	14:2 15:1	
receiving 13:10	3:4 15:8,11,16	today's 3:7,21 6:11	
recommendation 8:15	single 5:15	16:3	0
	situation 13:22	tracking 10:16	
record 16:12		transfer 5:10 11:12	1
Recordation 5:10	smoother 13:17		10 1:10
Reeves 1:13	solely 4:16	transition 10:5	11 4:1
refer 7:21 9:9	Solicitation 4:21	Trust 5:8	14th 1:14
referred 4:20	speak 6:5 7:17 9:6 16:7	try 12:21	15 4:1 16:5
regarding 6:22 8:13	speaking 6:8	trying 9:19	10
regards 11:14 13:21	speaks 8:1	turn 12:20	2
registered 6:10	specifically 7:16 13:2	two 11:17 15:17	200 1:13
regulations 4:15 7:5,14	spending 7:12	two-year 13:15	
12:21 16:2	spoke 9:10		2000 1:14
regulatory 10:11 11:19	stability 10:21 13:9	U	2014 1:10
14:19	stabilize 5:19	U.S 4:3	2015 3:22 4:6,11
relates 7:14 9:3	start 7:21 9:21	underlining 9:6	24 4:15 9:12
Remarks 2:3	strategy 8:4	understand 6:3	24-month 11:22
rental 1:7 3:10 4:6 7:1	Street 1:14	undertaken 8:7 13:1	
16:5	strongly 11:14	units 10:6,7 13:8	3
reports 9:10	sub-recipient 11:16	Urban 4:4	3 2:3
reprogram 4:2	submission 4:10 7:17	use 3:20 6:3 10:16	
request 11:7 13:3	submissions 7:6	12:21 14:20	4
required 4:14 16:1	submittal 14:14	uses 5:7,13	
requirement 13:14	substantial 1:6 3:22	uses 5.7,15	5
requirement 13.14 reserved 4:16	4:11,14,17 6:20 15:22	V	
	16:4		6
respectful 6:8	success 13:20	valuable 15:19	6 2:8
responder 11:9 12:10		variables 9:17	6:30 1:14
responsibility 7:4	suggestions 7:18 suitable 12:16	variety 5:14 9:16 12:12	0.00
review 7:4 8:11,17		vehicle 10:16	7
reviewing 7:13	suited 10:14	viable 8:6	7:04 3:2
revitalize 3:17	supplemental 11:6	vibrate 6:2	7:18 16:12
right 3:3 15:12	supply 8:8,18	voicing 6:4	7.16 10.12
rights 7:7	support 5:14	vulnerable 14:5	8
role 7:3	sure 6:1,14		
Room 1:13		W	
rules 5:22	T	want 6:3	9
	TABLE 2:1	Washington 1:14	91 4:15
s	talk 6:19 9:21 14:2 15:2	way 8:9 10:1 13:7,19	
Schedule 3:9	talking 7:12 11:2	ways 10:21 12:3	
school 9:15 13:14	TANF 11:20,21	WEDNESDAY 1:9	
see 8:12,13 14:4	Taxes 5:10	went 16:12	
Seeing 15:16	TBRA 7:14,22 8:2,3,6,9	wish 6:13	
seeking 4:12	9:19 10:4	wishing 15:14	
send 14:15	Tenant 1:7 3:9 6:22 7:2	witness 2:6 6:10,12,14	
senior 16:8	16:5	6:17	
sense 11:5	tenant-based 4:6	witnesses 15:13	
serve 10:13 12:4	tenants 7:7	work 12:18	
served 3:18	terms 9:1,22	worked 12:3	
service 9:5	testify 15:14	working 15:4	
services 4:9 5:4,17	testimony 2:6 6:11,13	Working 10.4	
11:13	6:14,15 15:18	X	
shelter 10:5,7 12:11	thank 3:7,13 6:18 15:11		
3.16.161 10.5,7 12.11	15:12,18 16:10		
1	13.12,10 10.10		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DHCD

Date: 12-10-2014

Place: Washington, D.C.

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Rous &