GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
MARCH 20, 2013

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing convened in Conference Room 110, 1800 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue S.E., Washington, DC, at 6:30 p.m., Michael P. Kelly, Director, DHCD, presiding.

PRESENT

MICHAEL P. KELLY, Director, DHCD
DENISE M. JOHNSON, Realty Project Manager,
DHCD

NATHAN F. SIMMS, DHCD TIMOTHY CHAPMAN, Chapman Development

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Welcome and Opening Remarks, Michael P. Kelly, Director, DHCD	3
Statement by Nathan Simms, Chief Program Officer, DHCD	4
Presentation by Denise Johnson, Realty Project Manager, DHCD	8
Testimony:	
Brenda Lee Richardson	7 9 2
Holmes	
Ouestions and Answers	2

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2.1

2 | 6:44 p.m.

everybody. Let's get rolling here. My name is Michael Kelly. I am honored to serve as the Director of Department of Housing and Community Development in the city of Washington, D.C. We are here at the Big K public hearing. It is March 20, 2013. It's 6:48 p.m. We're at 1800 Martin Luther King, Jr. Ave, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20020.

I first of all want to thank
everybody for coming out to see all these
great friends and community partners. I look
forward to continuing to do levelization
throughout the morning throughout historic
Anacostia, particularly at this incredibly
symbolic and important site at the Big K.

Tonight is the public hearing on the disposition. I know this is a project that has had a lot of community input up to this point. As Director I commit to continued

1	community involvement every step of the way.
2	Tonight we are going to do some updates and
3	hear from the community about thoughts.
4	We have a format. The program
5	manager for the Department will be walking us
6	through the rules of engagement as well as
7	some of the specifics about the site. I'm
8	joined here at the head table with Denise
9	Johnson. She works for the Department's
10	Property Acquisition. And Mr. Timothy Chapman
11	from Chapman Development Company who is the
12	developer.
13	Without further ado, again, I
14	thank everyone for coming out and look forward
15	to engaging the public in this important
16	review. Without further ado, Mr. Nathan
17	Simms.
18	MR. SIMMS: Thank you, Director
19	Kelly. Good evening everyone. Again, my name
20	is Nathan Simms. I'm the chief program
21	officer responsible for the programmatic

oversight of the Property Acquisition and

1	Disposition Division, otherwise known as PADD,
2	and four other divisions within the D.C.
3	Department of Housing and Community
4	Development.
5	PADD was established at the
6	beginning of fiscal year 2008 and consolidates
7	the Homestead Housing Preservation Program,
8	the Home Again Program, and the Land and
9	Housing Development Opportunities Program and
10	other property acquisition and disposition
11	functions into one division.
12	The goal of PADD is to stabilize
13	neighborhoods by (1) decreasing the number of
14	vacant and abandoned residential properties in
15	the District, and (2) transforming vacant
16	and/or deteriorated properties into home
17	ownership or economic development
18	opportunities for the District of Columbia
19	residents.
20	During this evening's public
21	hearing, DHCD will present the proposed

disposition plan for the Big K Site located at

2228 to 2252 Martin Luther King, Jr. Ave. The lot numbers are 5802-0810, 5802-0811, 5802-0977, and 5802-0978.

PADD issued a solicitation for offers to the general public for the above-referenced property on June 4, 2012. The property was additionally awarded to Chapman Development, otherwise known as 2228 MLK, LLC through a competitive selection process on November 1, 2012.

On February 15, 2013 2228 MLK, LLC executed a Property Disposition Agreement pursuant to the requirements of the Housing Act of 2002 as amended under the D.C. official code Section 42-3171.0381.

The Department of Housing and

Community Development is holding today's

public hearing to ensure that citizens are

informed about the sale of the property

identified above to be named purchaser and to

ensure that all citizens have the opportunity

to present publicly their views concerning

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

such sale.

2.1

Testimony will be presented this evening on disposition process and future development plan for 2228-2252 Martin Luther King, Jr. Ave., S.E., otherwise known as the Big K Site. The public hearing notice announcement for today's hearing was published in the D.C. Register on February 15, 2013.

A few housekeeping items before we begin. Please make sure that all cell phones and all other electronic devices are on silent or vibrate. We ask that you please be respectful of others who are speaking and keep side conversations to a minimum.

Witness testimony will be limited to three minutes. We'll begin with testimony from DHCD. After DHCD's testimony, we will hear witness testimony, if any, which I'm pretty sure we will. After we've heard from all registered witnesses, we will open the floor to hear other persons who wish to provide testimony.

_	
1	We will end the evening by
2	responding to any questions you may have.
3	2228 MLK, LLC, the developer to whom the
4	property has been awarded, and is in
5	attendance, along with the DHCD is available
6	to respond to questions.
7	Written statements may be
8	submitted this evening or until 4:45 p.m.
9	Wednesday, April 3, 2013, and should be
10	addressed to Michael P. Kelly, Director, D.C.
11	Department of Housing and Community
12	Development, attn: PADD, otherwise known as
13	the Property Acquisition Disposition Division,
14	1800 Martin Luther King, Jr. Ave., S.E., 3rd
15	floor, Washington, D.C. 20020.
16	Without further ado, we'll now
17	start the presentation and I have the pleasure
18	of turning it over to Denise Johnson.
19	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. Good
20	evening everyone. I'm Denise Johnson and I'm
21	the property manager for Big K Site. Before

NEAL R. GROSS

I get started with my presentation, I just

want to go through a couple of reminders. If you have not signed in yet, could you please make sure to sign in before you leave.

In terms of written testimony, as

Nate mentioned, we'll accept it up until April

3rd. If you come away from this meeting and

decide there's something that you want to tell

us, you'll have that opportunity. Or you can

actually testify this evening.

ask that you limit your testimony to three minutes. We would ask that you provide your name and contact information on the witness list. Again, those are out there at the signin table so if you haven't signed up to be a witness but would like to, please go ahead and fill out that form.

In terms of questions, we are asking everyone to write down their questions this evening and pass them in. There are forms out there at the front desk. Then we'll take all of your questions and answer them as

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

we have them come in and I'll read out every question that we've got. And make sure to include your name on the question so we know who is asking the question.

With that, I'm going to start with our presentation this evening. I know that there have been a lot of questions swirling around the Big K and tonight I will dispel some of those questions.

I know that some of you think
we're a little farther along than what we
actually are so a lot of this conversation
this evening is going to be about the process,
about what has happened to date, and then
what's going to happen going forward.

I can assure you there are going to be plenty of times for community input, continuing community input. Again, at the end of this presentation we are happy to hear your thoughts, your comments, and take your questions.

A couple of the things that we've

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

done already. Back in January of 2012 the
Historic Preservation Review Board approved
the demolition of 2228 MLK. It was a property
that we had hoped to save but, unfortunately,
it had fallen into such disrepair when we
acquired the property that we could no longer
save it.
In return for that, we promised
the Historic Preservation Review Board that we
would do several other things on the site.
One of them was a significant stabilization of
2234 and 2238 MLK, the other two historic
houses on that site.
That was a significant amount of
work to make sure they are going to stay
standing and that they will be available to
the developer to work with, or try to work
with, and try to incorporate into the final
development.
This is a little bit of our

NEAL R. GROSS

timeline. We issued the solicitation on June

4, 2012. The solicitation specifically

21

referenced many of the community studies and market studies that had taken place.

2.1

The proposals were due on September 7th of 2012. We did quite a bit of outreach not only through our normal sources but also the deputy mayor was kind enough to add this to one of their ads. Nationally I think that's how Tim Chapman found out about this particular project.

We received two proposals. Even though there was a significant amount of interest through the pre-bid process, only two proposals came in. One of those proposals was non-responsive and that means that proposal was missing so much information that we decided in the end that we couldn't honestly consider that.

We approached our selection committee and had the selection committee make the decision to actually review the one proposal that we did get in and that was the one from Chapman Development.

Our first selection committee

meeting was September 20th. We reviewed that

proposal and decided that we wanted to move

forward and actually interview the developer

and ask specific questions about his proposal.

That interview took place on the 27th of

September. Then we had a final selection

committee meeting on October 26 to make our

final decision.

In terms of the selection process,

In terms of the selection process, what we reviewed and what we were evaluating our decision on were the following things:

The responsiveness to commercial development. That we know that the community specifically had talked about commercial development and their interest in seeing commercial development on that site. That is how this solicitation was written.

We looked at the track record of the developer. We looked at the proposed development team members. We looked at the attention that the developer gave in the

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	proposal to the community engagement process.
2	Also historic preservation. That was one of
3	the things that the community talked about and
4	was very concerned about.
5	Willingness to work with DHCD to
6	apply the concept. I'll get to that in a
7	moment, exactly what that means. Then what
8	the developer's access was to capital and
9	financing because, as you all know, a project
10	won't work unless it's a feasible project and
11	unless a bank is going to finance it. Those
12	were the things that we took under
13	consideration.
14	In terms of the specific proposal
15	that we looked at and, again, I know that
16	there have been some conversations circulating
17	about what the proposal was. It was initially
18	proposed to be medical office space with
19	ground floor retail.
20	The development team consist of
21	Chapman Development; American Management

Corporation, who is a co-developer and also a

1	CBE; PGN Architects on the design side;
2	Bozzuto Construction as the general
3	contractor; and Bozzuto Management Company as
4	the management company.
5	Here are some examples of
6	Chapman's track record. In particular, we
7	looked at historic rehabilitation. A lot of
8	his projects have been affordable housing, one
9	that many of you may be familiar with. It's
10	The Grays on Pennsylvania Avenue which is both
11	affordable housing and a grocery store. And
12	the Lotus Apartments on Kennelworth Avenue,
13	S.E.
14	Do we need more chairs out there
15	in the audience? Could somebody put a few
16	chairs out, please? Thank you.
17	The award process. This is
18	really, really important because I think this
19	is where some of the misperception has come
20	from about the project. We've awarded this
21	project conditionally and that's a little bit

unusual for us to do that. But we did it for

a couple of reasons.

2.1

One, we felt that given the extensive marketing effort and the kind of response that we got that if we were comfortable with the developer and the developer's track record, we wanted to try to have an opportunity to work through this deal and see if we could come up with something that we thought worked for us and also works for the developer.

In particular, the thing that we weren't sure about was the medical office building concept. We knew that we were comfortable with the concept of commercial development but we know that there are a lot of other medical facilities. We weren't sure what the market was for medical office space.

We wanted to give the developer an opportunity to explore it, but we also said,

"We want you to explore traditional commercial office space as well as other potential uses."

We felt the best and the most

1	objective way to do that was through a market
2	study, an independent market study that would
3	look at all of the potential pieces and list
4	what is the highest and best use for this
5	site. We know that it's an extremely
6	important development site on MLK. It's goin
7	to be one of the first coming up.
8	DHCD wants to make sure we get it
9	right, not only for us but especially for you
10	the community, because we think that it's
11	going to be one of the catalysts that will
12	help the future development along the
13	corridor. So we decided to award the property
14	conditionally to Tim Chapman based upon what
15	this market study comes back with.
16	Then if we can agree on a revised
17	concept, then we'll be prepared to move
18	forward. This is really going to be a two-
19	part process. That's what is a little bit
20	unusual for us.
21	An award letter, a conditional

NEAL R. GROSS

award letter, was issued to Chapman

Development on November 1st of 2012. The reason we did that is because we are asking him to commission this market study. We wanted to give him the comfort level that we want to work with him as the selected developer. Again, assuming that we can reach a final concept that we think is appropriate for the site.

Typically in this process the next step is to sign a Property Disposition

Agreement. This is the agreement that we had that allows the developer doing the predevelopment activities. That is, to investigate the site, do things like this market study.

It gives the developer enough confidence that he's got to deal with the DHCD, at least a conditional one, to go out and spend that money. So this conditional PDA has been signed by the development. He has established a new entity, 2228 MLK, LLC.

After this hearing this

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2.1

conditional PDA will be fully executed,

meaning our director will then sign it and

that will be the green light for Chapman

Development to go ahead and order this market

study. That's going to be the next critical

step in this process. We are expecting to get

those results back in 60 days from the time

that he orders it.

After that the developer and the DHCD will look at the market study, look at those results. We are going to try to determine what the highest and best use is.

I'm going to give the developer an opportunity to come back with a final concept that hopefully matches that highest and best use.

He'll submit that updated concept and then we are actually going to reconvene our selection committee and go through another deliberation about the market study, about the revised concept, whether it meets the terms of some of the things the community has been asking for, and whether we think that the

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

product, in fact, is going to be catalytic and is the right thing for the MLK corridor.

In terms of finalizing this

concept, if an agreement is reached and the

project moves forward, then we'll go ahead and

amend the Property Disposition Agreement that

we currently have to reflect this new concept.

If we can't reach an agreement on this final

concept, then the Property Disposition

Agreement will be cancelled and we'll put it

back out for solicitation again.

Again, the way to look at this is that we have a strong proposal, strong enough that we think that we want to move forward with Mr. Chapman but we need to give him the opportunity and give us the opportunity to make sure that we have the right concept on the table.

We are actually going to come back into a second public hearing once we get that revised concept. That is really how this process normally goes. Normally you would be

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

here this evening hearing a presentation from the developer about the project and the specifics of the project but we don't know that yet.

I've heard about going on in the community are talking about things that really we don't know the answer to that yet. Once he submits that revised concept, however, that's going to be where he will come back and do that formal presentation.

You'll have an opportunity to come back again. You'll have an opportunity to comment on it. We'll try to make sure that we share the results of that market study with the community as well so you are informed before you get to that meeting and you'll have a much better understanding of the decisions that are being made going forward.

Once that amended Property

Disposition Agreement is signed by the

developer, again, we won't execute it until

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1 after we've had that public hearing, until 2 you've had an opportunity to participate and 3 provide your input. At that meeting, as we mentioned, 4 5 the developer will present a full proposal. 6 He'll also be submitting a Community 7 Engagement Plan so he'll be prepared to tell you how he is going to engage the community 8 9 through the pre-development stage. 10 understands that your input is important and that he is going to need to do that. You'll 11 hear of some specifics about that at that next 12 13 stage. 14 Just to give you an idea of some 15 of the things that happened during the predevelopment phase he'll be doing environmental 16 work. He'll actually be filing for permits 17 for the project. He'll be going through the 18 19 Historic Preservation Review Board review and

Again, that is another public process where all of you would have an

NEAL R. GROSS

approval.

20

2.1

opportunity to weigh in to say whether you like what he's proposing or not. Then his design development stage, actually designing the project.

The property transfer. Again,

I've been hearing things. People, I think,

are concerned that we are ready to transfer

the property. We are not ready yet. That is

not going to happen until he's gone through

all of that pre-development phase until he's

actually got a permit in hand.

Again, during that predevelopment phase there are going to be many opportunities for the community to participate and to engage in this process. It takes about 18 months to get through that process before we get to a closing.

The transfer of the property will not take place until we actually get to the closing. That is at least 18 months away from when that amended Property Disposition

Agreement is signed. Once that property is

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	transferred to the developer and he closes on
2	it and actually owns it, that's when the
3	actual development starts. That's pretty much
4	the process that we are going through here.
5	That's pretty much my
6	presentation. That is my contact information.
7	If anyone has specific questions that come up
8	after you go home and think about it, feel
9	free to call me or email me and I would be
10	happy to answer those questions.
11	At this point I would like to go
12	ahead and start with our testimony. Let me
13	remind you if you do have a question or want
14	to bring up a question, please fill out a
15	form, hand that in and we'll read out all of
16	the questions and respond to them after the
17	witness testimony.
18	I'm going to ask you to come up
19	four at a time if you could. The first four
20	people that we want to have come up.
21	Brenda Lee Richardson.
22	Bruce Holmes. Is Bruce Holmes

1	here?
2	PARTICIPANT 1: He wanted me to
3	read his testimony for him.
4	MS. JOHNSON: Did he sign the
5	witness form?
6	PARTICIPANT 1: I can't tell you.
7	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
8	PARTICIPANT 2: It could be
9	submitted into the record as well.
10	PARTICIPANT 1: It doesn't matter
11	to me. He just asked me to.
12	MS. JOHNSON: Would you like to
13	read it in?
14	PARTICIPANT 1: Sure.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. I'm just
16	going to ask you to sign this and you can take
17	a seat.
18	James Bunn. I know you're here.
19	Derrick Colbert. Is Derrick
20	Colbert here?
21	Charles Wilson. Charles.
22	Ronald Williams.

1	David White. Come on up, David.
2	Greta Fuller. Greta was here.
3	MS. FULLER: I'm right here.
4	MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to call
5	you next. I'm just going down my list.
6	Come on up now, Greta, if you
7	could.
8	Ms. Richardson, if we can start
9	with you. Please at the start of your
10	testimony if you could just give your name
11	before you start talking for the court
12	reporter. Thank you.
13	MS. RICHARDSON: Thank you.
14	This is done in the form of a
15	letter to Mr. Kelly.
16	Dear Mr. Kelly. My name is
17	Can you hear me?
18	PARTICIPANTS: No.
19	MS. RICHARDSON: Can you hear me
20	now? Hello? Oh, I'm sorry.
21	Dear Mr. Kelly. My name is Brenda
22	Lee Richardson. I am a resident of Ward 8.

1	I am strongly supportive of Chapman
2	Development building the Big K Development
3	Site.
4	Chapman Development is very
5	passionate in their investment in the Ward 8
6	community. Not only did the company do an
7	excellent job with the Grays Building on
8	Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E., but they have a
9	history of supporting various community
10	events.
11	Furthermore, Chapman Development
12	understands and appreciates the significance
13	of community engagement and the power of
14	communication. The Big K Site is not far from
15	the gateway of Ward 8. I'm very confident
16	that this company will build a structure that
17	will become an important part of the fabric of
18	Anacostia in Ward 8 and it will be admired and
19	used for many years to come. Thank you.
20	MR. WHITE: Good evening. My name
21	is David White, President emeritus,
22	Schokokichen Community Organization.

First of all, I would like to thank you all for having this meeting because you have belayed a tremendous amount of concerns. There were so many people running around with misinformation about what was coming, what was there. We discerned for ourselves this evening that hasn't even been determined yet. That much I appreciate you for.

Any type of development that would enhance the economic value and the community spirit of Anacostia is long overdue, grossly over needed, and we would appreciate it. I'm not readily familiar with the Chapman organization but I will be paying close attention in monitoring what it is they are actually trying to do because as a 40-year resident of this community, I expect a whole lot better than what I've seen.

I don't want to hear like we did in 2004 or 2005 that the money fell apart so now the dilapidation and despair remains. I'm

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	hoping that whatever we bring it will be
2	feasible. It would be an economic boom to the
3	community and it would be beacon where people
4	can say proudly, "I'm a resident of
5	Anacostia." Thank you.
6	MS. FULLER: Good evening. My
7	name is Greta Fuller, former ANC Commissioner
8	for Historic Anacostia. I am the chair of the
9	Historic Anacostia Design Review Committee and
10	I am a citizen of Historic Anacostia.
11	Today I come before you before I
12	have been involved with this process. As long
13	as I have been a resident and a commissioner
14	of Historic Anacostia, which is six years, I
15	was under the impression before we got to this
16	process that DHCD would come back to the
17	community, or at least to the leaders of the
18	community, to let them know exactly where you
19	were in the process. I feel that did not
20	happen.
21	I also am here to say that the

NEAL R. GROSS

residents of Historic Anacostia and the

surrounding community was not aware of this meeting tonight on March 20, 2013. It was on the D.C. Register but it was not on your homepage for DHCD as you talk about this Property Disposition Agreement meeting so many people were not aware of this meeting. I feel that DHCD did not take the proper steps to let the community know that there would be a meeting here tonight.

I was at a meeting last night where there were over 50 residents that live in the surrounding immediate area of the Big K Housing Development and I asked how many people in the room knew about this meeting.

Only three people raised their hands. That let me know that DHCD did not do due diligence to let the community know what was going on.

The other thing that I have to say tonight is that you refined the process. You only had two people who bid on the project.

Why didn't you go back out into the community

-- I mean, out to the public and let them know

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

that you were redefining the bid of the solicitation.

2.1

Where did the dollar amount come from that the property might be sold for a dollar? Was that dollar said to the entire general population so that maybe you could have had more bids that came back to you to maybe bid on this property other than Chapman Development. I don't have a problem with Chapman Development.

My issue right now is how this disposition agreement is going to date. I ask that we don't move further with anything as far as Big K properties until we actually have a market study and that DHCD actually take all this property and give us a community cohesive plan of what you plan on doing with Historic Anacostia.

One piece of land doing one thing and we don't need another Salvation Army in our neighborhood. I don't know what you plan on doing with the historic houses on that

1 property. I don't understand why a market 2 study would be done after you have passed some property on to a developer and we don't know 3 what's going on today. 4 5 Why can't we find out today what's 6 going on with the property and what means we 7 can use the property for. We are definitely not interested in medical facilities. We have 8 9 a medical facility at Galen and 16th Street, 10 Unity Healthcare, a brand new building. 11 is also one at Parkside. We are also going to 12 have one in Bellevue. I have many more 13 questions but you only gave me three minutes. 14 MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to remind 15 you to please feel free if you need to say 16 more, you are always invited to provide 17 written testimony and that record will be open until April 3rd. 18 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, 20 everyone. My name is Ronald Williams. I was

NEAL R. GROSS

born here 32 years ago, Garfield Heights,

Robinson Place, S.E. I've seen a lot of

2.1

medical facilities and so I echo some of the sentiments that Ms. Fuller raised as well.

2.1

We have a number of methadone clinics. We have a number of mental health CSAs. We have a number of social service organizations here within our ward that, you know, align Martin Luther King as well as Good Hope Road. I have no problem with that. I have family members that use those services.

However, I think as far as looking as far as like the five, 10, 15-year future of Anacostia, like a another medical facility just would not work. I like the idea that the project manager Ms. Johnson brought up about blanket commercial development to see if we can attract some other development other than medical facilities to this area.

I have a nephew that was just born. He's about to be one so I have to look at what you can expect 10, 15 years down the line. When I was five my parents moved me from this area and we moved out to PG County

because of the public school. I don't want to have to do that again with my nephew.

I think, and this is just my opinion as a resident of Ward 8, that a grocery store, a market, something like Gallaudet. The old Florida Avenue Market over by Gallaudet would be conducive to what the residents need over here. We just had Anacostia supermarket that just closed.

We have nowhere to walk to go by groceries unless it's a convenience store.

You have to consider and question how fresh are those groceries or that food that's laying in that convenience store as well. I'm not here to beat up on Chapman Development or DHCD or Mr. Kelly.

Looking at the PowerPoint

presentation you guys have selected a great

CD, American Management Corporation, because

I've seen some of their work throughout the

city. I want to be a partner but I want to be

a partner to something that is going to be

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	beneficial to the community as a whole and I
2	think the first step is our health in reverse.
3	Sorry. Yes, thank you.
4	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
5	All right. Mr. Bunn, are you back
6	in the room?
7	MR. BUNN: Yes.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. I'm just
9	checking gain. Bruce Holmes is not here?
10	Derrick Colbert? Charles Wilson is not here.
11	John Muller.
12	Do we have anyone else that wants
13	to give testimony this evening?
14	Did you sign? Why don't you come
15	up to the table and I'll bring you this to
16	sign up.
17	Does anyone have questions they
18	need to turn in that they want us to answer
19	after this? If you could please hand them
20	over to Sandy. There are more question sheets
21	up there.

Is this written testimony? We'll

1 take that in. 2 Go ahead, Mr. Bunn. 3 Thank you. Good MR. BUNN: 4 afternoon. I'm James Bunn from Columbus 5 Heights and from Ward 8. Most of you in the room know me. There may be a few that don't 6 7 but I've been around the area for 44 years. 8 I oversee quite a few things for the council 9 member and the community along with ANCs. 10 I just want to say that I have 11 seen many things that has been done in this 12 ward that I do have some concerns about it. 13 However, looking at the GC that we're going to 14 have to do this project, and Big K was one of 15 those, I did not like some of the things that 16 I thought was going to be happening. I say very little about those 17

I say very little about those things because this is a part of Ward 8

Anacostia and I try to let the people in Anacostia take the lead on what they want to do. I must say that I am a person knows Mr. Chapman very well. I know his organization

NEAL R. GROSS

18

19

20

2.1

1	very well and I've seen his projects. Mr.
2	Chapman produced some of the better projects
3	that has been developed in this ward.
4	I know Tim Chapman is based on the
5	incredible development of The Grays building
6	over on Pennsylvania Avenue, the one on 295,
7	and several more. I'm just here to assure
8	you, Mr. Kelly, and the community that Chapman
9	Development has a vested interest in the
10	community. They build bridges of trust and
11	commitment whether they are working on a site
12	in the ward or not.
13	Finally, I strongly urge you to
14	give this company a chance to show the
15	magnitude of a signature project that they can
16	build for this community to behold. Mr.
17	Chapman and his group has responded to the
18	community.
19	All these things that you see that
20	council member do from time to time, we had to
21	go out and ask people to help us do those

things. It's those kind of people that I

1	truly believe that should have an opportunity
2	to build whatever is needed to be built in
3	this community.
4	I'm going to stop here but I would
5	just say to you again, Director Kelly, I think
6	you have chosen the right person to do the
7	job. I'm going to be supporting it. The ward
8	is going to be supporting it.
9	Whether it's all the ward or not
10	I'm can't say but I do speak for Ward 8 simply
11	because of the fact that we have seven
12	divisions in this ward so Anacostia is not
13	Ward 8, Bellevue is not Ward 8, Congress
14	Heights is not Ward 8.
15	All of those make up this ward.
16	Most of the people that I've spoken to they
17	have agreed that they think Mr. Chapman will
18	be the right person for this position. Thank
19	you.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
21	Mr. Miller?
22	MR. MULLER: Muller.

1	MS. JOHNSON: Muller. I
2	apologize.
3	MR. MULLER: My name is John
4	Muller. My role, I guess, is local historian
5	and reporter but I'm not wearing that hat
6	right now. I'm speaking for Mr. Bruce Holmes
7	so I'm just going to read his testimony.
8	Dear Mr. Kelly. My name is Bruce
9	Holmes. I'm a resident of Historic Anacostia.
10	I have lived in the community for the past 30
11	years. I'm here to testify in support of the
12	Big K Site Development. It has been a long
13	time coming for that corner to get some
14	attention.
15	I have attended several HABA,
16	Historic Anacostia Block Association, where
17	presentations were made on the Big K project.
18	It was very exciting to learn about the
19	proposed plans for the site. However, I am
20	very clear that everyone here has a certain
21	role to play from the Government to the

developer to the ANC to the community.

1	Therefore, it is our
2	responsibility to have clarity on what
3	happened in the past with our community
4	participation with DHCD when this whole
5	process started awhile ago. There were lots
6	of meetings conducted by the city to get our
7	input on this project.
8	It is not our responsibility to
9	judge anyone but to make a concerted effort to
10	create a working partnership that will
11	showcase a beautiful project that will also
12	enrich our community at the end of the day.
13	Thank you. Sincerely, Bruce Holmes.
14	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
15	Ms. Lyon.
16	MS. LYON: Good evening, everyone.
17	My name is Arnehl Lyon. I am the President of
18	the Hillsdale Civic Association. The reason
19	why I'm speaking today is because of the
20	concern of what or what will not be built on
21	the site.

I would like to say ditto, no more

more. I would like to see maybe a cleaner, a petite grocery store, maybe other little things, but no more social service.

I don't know if it's feasible to put one or two low-rise buildings with a historical facade on it to give it the look that it is still part of Historical Anacostia. Maybe office or condos or a mixture of both on the top floor.

Now, one of my main concerns I'm hearing is that they thought it was already in writing that the two homes have to stay. I have a concern with that because I've been here for close to 30 years. On Martin Luther King I see facade held up by sticks. I'm just tired or looking at those type of things.

This is a corridor, a major corridor. If we can satisfy maybe everyone, maybe it's possible that we can more those homes to a residential section and in its place put up some brand new building again

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	with an historical look.
2	Thank you. That's all. I just
3	wanted to check my notes. Thank you.
4	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
5	Okay. This is going to be my last
6	call for testimony. Derrick Colbert, Charles
7	Wilson. Then we are going to close the oral
8	testimony part of our hearing this evening.
9	I've got three questions. The
10	first question I have is from Ronald Williams,
11	Jr. "I'm a licensed crabber of the Chesapeake
12	Bay and I wanted to know how do I go about
13	renting the building 1209 Martin Luther King,
14	Jr. Ave. and put a seafood and poultry market
15	there for residents."
16	Mr. Williams, where are you? That
17	building is currently not under a solicitation
18	process. Until such time that DHCD is ready
19	to release a solicitation for it, that would
20	be the time to step up and express that
21	difference.

The next question I have is from

1	Pierre Johnson.
2	Mr. Johnson, are you here? Thank
3	you.
4	"When will the decision to
5	finalize the agreement with Chapman
6	Development be made?"
7	The answer to that is going to be
8	the next phase of this process so the very
9	next thing that we will do is order a market
10	study. That market study will be reviewed.
11	That market study is going to indicate what
12	the highest and best use for that site is
13	which should help define that development
14	concept.
15	Again, DHCD will run it back
16	through our selection process, reconvene our
17	selection committee. Chapman will be allowed
18	an opportunity to revise his concept based on
19	that market study and then the selection
20	committee will make a formal decision at that
21	time. Once that selection committee makes the

decision, we'll hold another public hearing

1	like this one.
2	The big different will be that
3	there will be a concept on the table and that
4	you will hear from Chapman Development and his
5	development partners the specifics of that
6	concept. Does that answer your question?
7	MR. JOHNSON: Will the decision be
8	made after or before the public meeting?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. So the
10	question is he's asked the question will
11	the decision be made after or before the
12	public meeting. It will be very similar to
13	this where the selection committee will make
14	a decision.
15	The amended Property Disposition
16	Agreement, assuming that we're moving forward,
17	will be signed by the developer saying this is
18	what he's proposing and that's the official
19	project that's under consideration.
20	Assuming that DHCD accepts that

proposal, we will hold a public hearing but

that Property Disposition Agreement will not

21

1	be executed yet by our director. The public
2	hearing step comes first so we'll have an
3	opportunity to hear from the public before the
4	director executes the final agreement.
5	Does that answer your question?
6	Ma'am, if you have a question can
7	you write that down for us and hand it in?
8	We'll make sure and get you a question form if
9	you don't have one.
10	Next question is from Bill Alston.
11	Are you here? Okay. Thank you.
12	Your question is, "Who bought it?
13	How much did they pay for it? What do they
14	plan to do with the property?"
15	So no one has bought it yet. No
16	sold. Still owned by the D.C. Department of
17	Housing and Community Development. We're
18	going through the disposition process now.
19	How much did they pay for it?
20	They proposed paying for the property one
21	dollar. I'll actually take a moment and

address that because I think that Ms. Fuller

brought that up as one of her questions and her concerns.

2.1

Often times when we issue a solicitation for developers to come back with their proposals, we don't actually list a suggested purchase price. We let the developer suggest what the purchase price is.

There are many different ways that you can look at it, but in many cases, especially on a corridor like this that you are trying to bring back, we would expect to have some form of subsidy in the project to help make the project happen. That's part of our role as the D.C. Department of Housing and Community Development.

One of the ways to do that is when a developer proposes a dollar for the purchase price it basically means that in lieu of paying for the property, that's his subsidy.

Any and every developer that responds to a solicitation for offers has the ability to suggest whatever seems reasonable for that

developer. Someone can suggest a higher purchase price, but often times when a developer does that, they don't come back and ask for some other form of subsidy so there is a trade off there. I think DHCD, or at least I personally, expected that there would be some level of subsidy in this project and that is what the one dollar purchase price relates to.

In terms of what do we plan to do with the property, we are still not quite sure of that yet and that is the purpose of the market study, so we'll know a little bit more about that and have a firm concept once we've had the opportunity to do the market study and the developer has given us a revised concept plan.

Okay, let's see. Ms. Goggans,
this is your question. Okay. The first
question is, "Will you make the PowerPoint
presentation available to the committee? When
and how can we access it?" We will post it on

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	our website and I will try to see if we can
2	get it posted before the end of this week.
3	Second question. "What were the
4	elements evaluated when looking at the
5	developers track record? Specifically,
6	Bozzuto. I was a tenant at a property owned
7	by Bozzuto in PG County. The living
8	conditions were horrendous. Bozzuto refused
9	to respond despite county citations."
10	Not quite sure how to respond to
11	your question except from the standpoint of we
12	really looked at this as a development team.
13	We know that Chapman has worked with Bozzuto
14	before. We felt that the overall development
15	team was a strong team.
16	Mr. Chapman is sitting here right
17	now so I know he's hearing this comment. I
18	think that is something that he can certainly
19	address going forward in terms of his
20	expectations of the management of the project.
21	Is there anything that you might
22	want to add about that and Bozzuto and their

track record?

2.1

MS. GOGGANS: Can I just say the question was about DHCD and the elements that were used to determine -- like to look at the track record. Was it number of projects? Was it income? I really want to know about the evaluation of the proposal.

MS. JOHNSON: Again, we go to description as a response to the solicitation of all of the development partners, their projects, their track records, where their projects are, what they've done. Did we actually go and call up references? Not necessarily.

Again, with Chapman as the developer, Bozzuto as the building and the proposed management company, we are really relying on our developer to manage that process over all. Most of that onus is on the developer and that is how we evaluated it.

Question No. 3. Did DHCD talk to residents, community members, commercial

1	tenants about their experiences with the
2	developers?
3	No, we did not but, again, one of
4	the purposes of the public hearing and this
5	testimony this evening is that if people do
6	have concerns, this is your opportunity to
7	raise them.
8	Fourth question. What would
9	Chapman contribute to the community regarding
10	a bid, First Source, education,
11	sustainability? What local organizations will
12	they go partnerships with? Is DHCD supportive
13	of the above and how?
14	MS. GOGGANS: Environmental part
15	is important. The sustainability was
16	environmentally as well as like green
17	buildings.
18	MS. JOHNSON: Let me read that in
19	as well. I'm sorry. I skipped over that part
20	of your question. For sustainability
21	specifically you're asking about environmental

as well as green design.

In the solicitation the solicitation talks about First Source

Agreements so that is something that the developer will be required to sign. In terms of sustainability, that is also included in the solicitation. It is also addressed in the proposal. Those are posted on our website so you can see that this being a commercial project. We are looking for LEED's requirements to be met for that.

In terms of a bid that would not be up to the developer, that is something that is really much more community wide in terms of the commercial community. I would imagine at some point in the future as the Anacostia commercial corridor develops it might be possible. Typically you need to have businesses that are doing pretty well that can make a contribution to the bid in order to make that happen.

In terms of education and local schools, we have not seen anything about

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

reaching specifically in terms of education and local schools. I would probably say that you might not necessarily expect that to happen because we asked for a commercial development. It could be that could be encountered as part of the tenant mix, for example, but that is not something that we specifically asked the developer to look at.

In terms of local organizations
will they build partnerships with community
organizations? That's going to be part of
their Community Engagement Plan. In terms of
understanding beyond the Advisory Neighborhood
Commissions, what are the other community
organizations that the developer is going to
need to reach out to.

Is DHCD supportive of the above?

I would say, yes, we are supportive of all those things; the bid, the First Source, certainly anything that would have an impact on the local education facilities. That would be a good thing.

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	Sustainability is extremely
2	important to DHCD. It's a requirement that we
3	have in all our projects. The same thing for
4	the community engagement process. We think
5	that is really important and key to having a
6	successful project.
7	The next question is from Ronald
8	Williams, Jr.
9	Mr. Williams?
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Me again.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Your question
12	is will the solicitation process be a standard
13	process in acquiring DHCD buildings for use?
14	Mr. Williams, it depends on the
15	specific project as to what the disposition
16	process is. One of the things that I might
17	invite you to and I'm going to turn it over
18	to you, Nate is the next session that we
19	are having.
20	Can you talk about that a little
21	bit?
22	MR. SIMMS: Sure. So for DHCD,

1	and we realize that individuals are certainly
2	they hear a lot about our agency but don't
3	know necessarily what our agency does, and we
4	have probably 30 different programs that we
5	administer. One of the things that we did
6	starting in January was we launched some
7	workshops.
8	The purpose of the workshops were
9	to educate individual stakeholders,
10	contractors, developers who are interested in
11	engaging in opportunities with DHCD to learn
12	about the process, learn about our funding
13	sources, learn about what we do.
14	We completed two thus far. The
15	next one that we have will be next week, March
16	27th, from 11:00 to 1:00 at St. Elizabeth's
17	campus. I'm sorry.
18	PARTICIPANT: No one can get
19	there.
20	MR. SIMMS: Well, you would be
21	surprised. We actually had about 100 over
22	100 different people show up to that so that

is kind of where it is. On the 27th from 11:00 to 1:00 at St. Elizabeth's east campus.

We have been doing them
essentially around our development
opportunities, our contracting opportunities
with our single family rehab Washington
program, our property acquisition. We are
trying to help people and really educate them
about the process.

There's a lot of moving pieces to

it. I've heard a lot of different questions

about a lot of different things. I think to

Denise's credit I think she has articulated it

I think very well. It's a very complicated

process. It's very complicated.

From that standpoint we try to help people understand and kind of digest where it is we're coming from because we have no secrets. We have nothing to hide. We certainly try to make it as adjustable as possible but we understand that it can get a little bit convoluted.

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	We think the workshops will
2	certainly help so we invite people to attend.
3	If you have any questions, when we wrap up
4	this I'll be happy to give you information.
5	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Next question
6	is Juanita White?
7	MS. WHITE: Yes.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you,
9	Ms. White. Your question is, "This is for my
10	community of Ward 8. I'm asking each of you
11	in attendance that we hold our meeting and
12	decide what we want to see on this property."
13	Ms. White, I'm not sure exactly
14	what you're asking but I can assure you that
15	there will be
16	MS. WHITE: Do you want me to make
17	it a little plainer to you?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Sure.
19	MS. WHITE: What I'm saying is
20	this. If you are someone in Ward 8 that is
21	affected by Big K, I think we need to come
22	together and host our meeting so we can decide

1	what we want to see on that property ourselves
2	because what has happened in the past we have
3	developers come in and tell us what they want
4	us to have.
5	We decide among ourself what we
6	want to have and not have 10, 20, 30 different
7	ideas. Come together and have a good meeting
8	among us and we decide what we want to see on
9	that Big K property.
10	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you,
11	Ms. White.
12	Okay. This is Bill Alston again
13	who has got questions about the question
14	here is, "Please ask him" and I'm assuming
15	you are referring to Mr. Chapman,
16	"concerning Bozzuto hiring practices."
17	MR. ALSTON: Yes.
18	MS. JOHNSON: Tim, would you be
19	willing to just talk for a moment about your
20	experience with Bozzuto and why you chose them
21	for your team?
22	MR. CHAPMAN: Good evening. Thank

1	you for having me here tonight. I appreciate
2	your questions. I know there are a lot of
3	concern about this site. I'm glad that you're
4	here to share this conversation.
5	I personally had a very good
6	experience with Bozzuto. I can't speak to
7	their hiring practices. I just wouldn't be
8	able to speak to their hiring practices but if
9	there is a specific comment or concern. Which
10	group is it within Bozzuto that you've got a
11	concern with?
12	MR. ALSTON: When they did the
13	Methodist and Baptist Church
14	MR. CHAPMAN: Yes.
15	MR. ALSTON: I took pictures of
16	them from the ground up. There was not one
17	Ward 8 resident working o that project. I
18	know Mr. Stine's got a good job to put that
19	number out. I had pictures. There was not
20	one Ward 8 resident on that Matthews project,
21	the guy that built it.

MR. CHAPMAN: I can't speak to

Matthews but what we've done in the past and what we like to do is as we move forward with the development, long before the development actually starts or construction starts, we have community charettes where we invite Ward 8 contractors to the charettes so that they understand what's coming, what opportunities there are.

They submit a very short basic information sheet to us. At the same time, if they submit it to us, we ask that they submit it to the council member's office as well as part of the submission. It's more of a submission of interest.

or not it was submitted, if we were to say we didn't get one, then there would be one at the council member's office so that we track that.

As we move forward, the Ward 8 contractors and residents have an opportunity prior to anyone else to be part of the opportunity to get a contract or work on the site.

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	One other thing I would like to
2	speak to, and I know that we haven't flushed
3	out exactly what we are going to agree on as
4	the developer and as the community for what
5	should be developed there.
6	Some of the words I've already
7	used about the medical proposal, we weren't
8	proposing a medical facility like a methadone
9	clinic or a hospital facility. Really what we
10	were talking about was more a medical office
11	building such as doctor's offices or
12	physician's assistants offices or such so it's
13	more of where you would normally go to your
14	doctor.
15	Not that you would go to the
16	hospital to see a doctor to have a checkup or
17	your annual physical, but actual doctor's
18	offices is what we were talking about.
19	Thanks.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. John Muller.
21	John, are you still here? Thank
22	you.

1 First question you have, "Is the 2 development being discussed tonight 3 exclusively only 2228? I mean, the 4 construction of an entirely new structure on 5 this lot only." 6 The way I would answer that 7 question is no. We are looking at the project 8 as an entirely site so it consist of core 9 There is a brick commercial building on 10 the corner of MLK and Morris Road, the two 11 historic properties and then the vacant lot where the house was demolished. 12 developers will be looking at that as one 13 14 development site. 15 Question No. 2. "Does the one 16 dollar proposed purchase price as a subsidy then restrict the development from receiving 17 further Government subsidies from the city 18 19 such as Yes Organic received?" 20 The way I would answer that is the 2.1 city does not necessarily bundle all of its

NEAL R. GROSS

subsidies together for a project. There may

be other subsidies that this project is qualified for, eligible for, that the developer could look at but it does not necessarily mean that it is something that DHCD controls.

2.1

It could be coming from another part of the Government. I know that Mr.

Chapman is also considering New Markets tax credits. This is an additional way to finance the project. There may be other subsidies that come into this but we are not aware of any at this time.

Third question is, "Is any effort being made to purchase 2226 MLK, Jr. Avenue as promoters?" We know that would actually be a good thing for this particular site. DHCD was not able to acquire it but we know that Chapman Development, or 2228 MLK, LLC is interested and is engaging in and will be engaging in more earnest conversations with that owner to see if he can make that a part of the entire site.

Edith Cromwell. Ms. Cromwell.

Good evening, Ms. Cromwell. It's very nice to see you this evening. Thank you for your questions.

First question is, "How do you

know if you have the right team if you don't know what is being built?" It's a good question. We had to base our decision on the developer's response to our solicitation for proposals. Our solicitation was somewhat general so we felt at the end of the day the proposal was responsive because it proposed commercial office space which was the concept that we were looking for.

The twist was that it proposed medical office space which was something that we were not sure of. That one particular aspect of it was the part that we really wanted to explore further which is why we made this a conditional award.

We felt comfortable evaluating the proposal based on what was written in it and

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	what the developer proposed and the team that
2	he proposed working with to do the
3	development. We want to see if we can treat
4	this concept a little bit better to make sure
5	that we have the right concept and what we
6	think will be the most successful concept to
7	help development on MLK.
8	Second question from Ms. Cromwell.
9	"Why was this process different from the
10	normal process?" That's a good question. I
11	think that all of us have recognized the
12	challenges of the development of this
13	particular site. One of the biggest
14	challenges of the site is the historic
15	preservation aspect of it.
16	The Historic Preservation Review
17	Board has been very concerned about what is
18	called demolition by neglect and the fact that
19	the previous owners of the property allowed
20	those properties to deteriorate.
21	We also know that there are

several community members that expressed an

interest in wanting to preserve those houses as part of the historic fabric of the Anacostia Historic District so we are trying to walk a fine line.

DHCD at the end of the day does not have control over that. We can certainly be supportive of the developer's concept but the entity that has control over that is the Historic Preservation Review Board. As an agent our role is to make sure that we follow the rules, make sure that we follow the regulations.

At the end of the day we know that the Historic Preservation office staff has expressed a great degree of flexibility to try to work with the developer. They also know that it's important to have that flexibility to be able to have a successful project.

Yes, it might be easier if those properties weren't there and it was a totally clear site. But we are trying to come up with a proposal that can save those houses in some

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

form or fashion and still create a new development, an exciting development that will help revitalize the avenue.

We are going to leave some of those harder decisions up in the Historic Preservation Review Board. We are going to try to work closely with the staff, with the developer to come up with something that will not only pass the Review Board but also be satisfactory to the community and something that will work for the developer. A tall order but we are going to do our best to get there.

In terms of why this was different from our typical process, again, is because we wanted to move forward. We did not want to wait another three months, another six months and put a solicitation out and get the same level of response back. We felt that we had a strong enough proposal that we thought we were comfortable in terms of moving forward with Chapman Development.

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	In order to accommodate that and
2	in order to come back with what we thought was
3	the best proposal overall, we did adjust our
4	process and actually lengthened it and added
5	a couple of extra steps in there.
6	Ms. Allen. Thank you, Ms. Allen.
7	"How will the First Source Agreement be
8	enforced by and who?" The First Source
9	Agreement is, again, part of the development
10	process.
11	Nate, can you talk a little bit
12	about the enforcement of it? I'm not familiar
13	with the actual enforcement.
14	MR. SIMMS: Sure. So the
15	enforcement once we get the First Source
16	Agreement is really the Department of
17	Employment Services. That is the entity that
18	is responsible for it.
19	There may be some other.
20	Certainly the monitoring responsibilities of
21	the project overall is our responsibility but
22	the First Source Agreement is out of the

1	Department of Employment Services and they are
2	the ones that enforce that.
3	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
4	Second question. "Will the
5	Community Engagement Plan be available to the
6	public? Where will it be visible?"
7	The Community Engagement Plan is
8	going to come along with Phase 2 of this
9	process so you will hear about it at the
10	public hearing. You will hear about it in any
11	presentations that will be made in the
12	community and it will actually become part of
13	the Property Disposition Agreement.
14	Generally we will post all those
15	things on our website so they are available
16	for the public to see as well. We will try to
17	let the community know. I am taking to heart
18	Ms. Fuller's comments about reaching out to
19	the community.
20	We are going to take a look at
21	that and make sure our lists are up to date
22	and that we get the word out to let people

and that we get the word out to let people

know when these things are posted. I also encourage all of you to frequently or often check our website to see those updates and they will be readily available.

2.1

Third question. "How will the community be alerted to major changes to the project?" Again, probably the first line of action will be on our website. Again, we will make sure there will be periodic updates.

Assuming that we get through the second phase of the Disposition Agreement, then it really falls on the developer following his Community Engagement Plan to regularly engage with the community and let them know the different steps of the project, the different benchmarks, and the different opportunities that the community is going to have an opportunity to give some input. We'll be reviewing that so we'll also be aware of what the developer has promised and we will be monitoring that.

MS. ALLEN: I just have one quick

1	question. When you were talking about the
2	First Source Agreement, you said that your
3	agency would be monitoring it?
4	MR. SIMMS: No, we do not monitor
5	First Source Agreements. That's the
6	responsibility of the Department of Human
7	Services. There are other restrictions.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Department of
9	Employment Services.
10	MR. SIMMS: Employment Services.
11	Excuse me. There are other restrictions that
12	would go along with the project and DHCD would
13	ultimately be responsible for that.
14	MS. ALLEN: Let me see if I get
15	this straight. I want to make sure that I'm
16	clear. When the question was first posed I
17	thought you said that you would monitor and
18	the Department of Human Services would
19	enforce. Now you're saying that
20	MR. SIMMS: No, no, no. What I
21	said, and I deal with this across bases in
22	terms of any construction activity that we

1	have. The First Source is documented. The
2	First Source Agreement is under the Department
3	of Employment Services. That is the agency
4	that is responsible for the document.
5	Any activity that we fund or
6	dispose of requires we are required to
7	monitor every aspect of that transaction so
8	there's a long list of things that are
9	reported. There are covenants that are
10	reported that govern the use of the project.
11	We make sure that we monitor that that stays
12	in place.
13	MS. ALLEN: So DHCD will monitor
14	the Division of Employment Services reports?
15	MR. SIMMS: No. The First Source
16	Agreement either inception, execution, or
17	monitoring is what the Department of
18	Employment Services. It is required. It is
19	a District requirement and the agencies have
20	to deal with that.
21	In terms of the enforcement,
22	outside of the requirement of our agency with

1	a First Source Agreement all other that is
2	our only connection really to that.
3	Everything else associated with that is with
4	the Department of Employment Services.
5	MS. ALLEN: Okay.
6	PARTICIPANT: Except that you do
7	make sure it is included. At least that much,
8	right? That has to be part of the plan.
9	MR. SIMMS: Yes.
10	PARTICIPANT: So I think that is
11	where the discussion is. You do require that
12	they have to have a plan for that but you
13	don't monitor it.
14	MR. SIMMS: Right. That
15	requirement would come in so this is only
16	at the disposition phase. When he entered
17	into the construction phase, he would be
18	required to have that. That's where that
19	piece would come into play so, yes.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Ms. Fuller, I think
21	you are going to get the last words this

evening. Let me go ahead and read this in.

"What you do today will set

precedence for Historic Anacostia moving

forward. I'm not against development or

Chapman. It's about getting it right. We

need a comprehensive plan for all DHCD's

property. Why is the property being sold for

one dollar?" I think I answer that earlier

which is that we are considering that a

subsidy for the project. It's the amount the

developer proposed paying for the project.

"Was that amount put out to the general public?" No. And it wouldn't be because when someone responds to a proposal, they can put in any price they want and we would look at the proposal accordingly and look at it over all and decide whether that was reasonable or not reasonable. It varies from property to property.

To give you an example, if we had property that was in a really strong real estate market, I don't think we would accept a proposal for a dollar. We would be looking

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

to get paid for that.

2.1

But if you're looking at a project that is in an up-and-coming area or one that is undergoing revitalization and you recognize that there probably needs to be some subsidy, that's when you would look at that and consider that as a reasonable offer.

"How will that subsidy be put back in the property?" So the subsidy was \$990,000 or a million, which is what DHCD paid, and that's correct. What that does, again, is help the developer in terms of his overall financing package and overall in terms of how he makes the project feasible.

Again, our proposal ask the developer to take historic preservation quite seriously on this site. Almost anything that anyone does from an historic preservation standpoint on this site is going to be more expensive so that, in part, that's an example of what that might pay for, that subsidy.

So no developer is going to do a

development unless it cash flows, unless it makes financial sense. They can't go around and get the financing unless the bank thinks it makes financial sense. All of these different parts and pieces come into play for them.

"How do we know the market study is what we want to see? Why didn't you post or inform the community on your website or in a manner that the average resident would see? Will we be informed moving forward other than the D.C. Register?"

I think I responded to some of those kinds of questions. Again, we're noting that you expressed some concerns about the community being part of the process and perhaps we are not reaching out the way that we could. I'm sure we are going to take a look at that and try to make an improvement.

In terms of whether the market study is what we want to see, it's really a challenging question, Ms. Fuller, because at

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

the end of the day we want the project to be successful for everyone which is why we have asked the developer to go out and get an independent or it's not our opinion, it's not the community's opinion, but an expert in commercial development that is coming back to tell us what they think the highest and best use of the property is.

There are some things that have changed along the avenue in the last year.

We've seen some movement from some of the other developers. We've seen some sales along the Avenue that have impacted prices. All of those things impact what the developer may or may not be able to achieve on this project.

Having that independent market study will help us and hopefully help the community understand a little bit better what we think is going to be the most catalytic thing that can happen out there on the avenue.

MS. FULLER: So you guys are going to make the decision at your hearing? When

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1 they come back with a market study, you, your 2 committee, DHCD, will get together and decide 3 if their market study is feasible for our 4 community. 5 MR. SIMMS: So here's the thing 6 about this. This is what makes it very 7 important. We understand that the community wants certain things and we talked about those 8 9 things with a number of different people. 10 he can't go out and get financing for the idea that we think is best for the community, or 11 12 what you think is best for the community or

what the community wants.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

It's not to say we are ignoring what the community wants but how you make that come to fruition. A market study really tells you what the best use of the site is. That is something that we would look at from a financing standpoint, how the lender is going to look at it from a financing standpoint.

It's really going to pencil it out. Things change over a period of time. I

think everybody talks about U Street, H

Street, or whatever but if you look,

businesses have changes from the late '80s to

2013. I say that to say it doesn't mean that

we are respectful of the community needs.

It's not that we're trying to put something in there. I think the fact that we had made it this far is really a sign, a strong sign, that we are getting community input. At the end of the day, if the developer cannot go out and obtain financing or attract a particular business to the community to do business in that community, it makes it very hard.

I'm saying it's a balance. I
don't want people to think like we don't care,
we're not listening. It's not up to DHCD at
the end of the day. Yes, we're responsible
for the disposition to Mr. Chapman and his
group but you have to understand we know as
well that Mr. Chapman has to go to a bank and
the bank is going to look at the same

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

information.

2.1

Mr. Chapman is going to reach out to businesses and businesses are going to analyze the same things, how feasible things are. I just want to make that clear to the community. It's not another Government spiel or anything like that. It's the truth.

back and tell folks what the market study detailed and what we think is the best use, or he thinks is the best use, and take it from there. I don't want anybody to necessarily walk out here and think that we're just tone death to the community.

It's multiple sides and multiple players to it. Just to give you a better perspective, it's the same thing with housing that we deal with all the time. Why are we so active in the housing market? Because people will have market studies that say here is the best use for a site.

That may be market rate and not

1	affordable. That is why we engage in these
2	types of things to kind of begin to look to
3	see what the best uses are, what the needs
4	are, because we do want to get it right. We
5	want him to get it right. We have the recourse
6	to always take the property back but we want
7	to be clear in terms of what the steps are.
8	There is no Boogie Man. There's
9	nothing hidden in there. We're just saying
10	that we're trying to proper due diligence.
11	This is what needs to be done around the city
12	and around the country so this isn't anything
13	fancy. It's nothing fancy. I just wanted to
14	make that clear.
15	MS. FULLER: And I would like to
16	make it clear that I understand why these
17	studies aren't used. I have at least three
18	here that have been done here in this
19	community within the last three to four years.
20	MR. SIMMS: But a market study
21	three or four years old. You need a recent

one.

MS. FULLER: Not all of them are.

One was done in 2011. One was done in 2010.

Yes, Ms. Johnson did say that there have been some changes. What we did was we got a building that was sold which was the furniture warehouse and nothing has happened to it.

My thing is what has really changed in this community that makes it so huge that you guys are saying, "We've been saying before some of those things aren't relevant." All of them are not but some of them are and that is what I'd like you guys to still take into consideration.

We've been saying for years. One market study, and I'm going to leave this market study alone, the last one done in 2011 by Green Door. A small grocery store or market would be feasible at that site. I understand that he's going back out and that's good and fine. We're not ignorant to market studies.

MR. SIMMS: I didn't say you were.

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	MS. FULLER: Also listen to what
2	we've been saying over and over and over.
3	MR. SIMMS: I'm not
4	MS. FULLER: That is something
5	that should be 100 percent used by anybody in
6	this room. Everybody doesn't have a kidney
7	disease. Everybody doesn't have a problem
8	with drugs. Everybody is not an alcoholic.
9	Those are the social services. We have enough
10	of those. Let's move forward with the
11	people
12	MR. SIMMS: Ms. Fuller, just to
12 13	MR. SIMMS: Ms. Fuller, just to move the conversation forward and put that
13	move the conversation forward and put that
13	move the conversation forward and put that piece to bed, the fact that we're sitting here
13 14 15	move the conversation forward and put that piece to bed, the fact that we're sitting here right now going through this process lets you
13 14 15 16	move the conversation forward and put that piece to bed, the fact that we're sitting here right now going through this process lets you know that we agree that there is a better use
13 14 15 16 17	move the conversation forward and put that piece to bed, the fact that we're sitting here right now going through this process lets you know that we agree that there is a better use for the site than those types of social
13 14 15 16 17	move the conversation forward and put that piece to bed, the fact that we're sitting here right now going through this process lets you know that we agree that there is a better use for the site than those types of social services. That's not what Mr. Chapman was
13 14 15 16 17 18	move the conversation forward and put that piece to bed, the fact that we're sitting here right now going through this process lets you know that we agree that there is a better use for the site than those types of social services. That's not what Mr. Chapman was proposing.

1 MS. FULLER: I never said that. 2 MR. SIMMS: I know that. To your 3 point, I just want to say thank you for your 4 comments. We certainly will continue to work 5 through the process. We understand that 6 you've had conversations about it. Everyone 7 here is talking about a grocery store, retail. 8 Those are the same conversations 9 that we had internally. Those are the same 10 kind of things that we talked about with Mr. 11 Chapman and I'm sure Mr. Chapman is looking at 12 as well. That is the point we wanted to 13 convey. It's a process. Even if you want a 14 grocery store, he's going to have to find a 15 grocery store. Thank you. 16 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thanks. The next comment and/or question 17 is, "There is talk of moving the historic 18 19 homes. If that is the case, will it be made 20 clear that the homes will be renovated and 2.1 sold, not leave the properties as an eyesore

NEAL R. GROSS

in our community? Will this be part of

ownership?"

2.1

The way that I -- the only way

that I can answer that question right now is

that all options are on the table. Some

developers have asked about the possibility of

moving the houses. That might be possible.

Again, this is not a decision that DHCD can

make. That's a decision that the Historic

Preservation Review Board makes.

and the developer wants to consider that, then
I think that is something that we would
consider. If it doesn't make sense or the
Review Board doesn't want to see that happen,
then I think we'll have to look at that. I
really think it's too early to answer that
question.

All I can say right now is that all possible options for the historic buildings are on the table. I don't think anybody is talking about leaving either of those buildings that have been stabilized to

1	continue in that state forever and ever.
2	Their ultimate disposition, whether it's with
3	this site or whether it's on another site,
4	would include the renovation or restoration of
5	those buildings.
6	Last. "Today Chapman had a chance
7	to say what they would like to go on the site.
8	What would that be? What would Chapman
9	Development put on that site if they had their
10	way today? Tim Chapman, please answer. DHCD,
11	please answer."
12	I think at this stage based on the
13	conversations that we've had this evening, it
14	would be really presumptuous to talk about
15	what we really like to see on the site. I
16	think there has been a lot of concern in the
17	community about the medical office space
18	piece. We don't think that is going to be the
19	concept on this site. That is what initially
20	caused us to ask for a market study.
21	I think at this point what we
22	really want to do is wait to get that market

study. I know that Tim Chapman is anxious to get started and move on to the next phase.

Once we can do that and have something in hand, it's not going to ignore the work that's been done.

Then we'll be able to come back and say, "Okay. This is what really, really makes sense to go here." The community, again, will have the opportunity to have some input, to give some input, and to discuss this. I would like to ask your continuing patience because I know that you felt that there was a long desert of time before we came out and talked about it.

Really it's because we've been going through this process. As soon as we can get this next phase of the process moving we are going to continue to communicate. Again, we'll come back to another public hearing when we get to that next phase.

I want to thank everyone for coming out tonight. Again, I will remind you

NEAL R. GROSS

2.1

1	the record will be open until April 3rd so
2	that if you go home and you feel like you have
3	something that you didn't get a chance to say
4	this evening, you certainly can write it down
5	and submit it as written testimony.
6	Thank you very much for coming out
7	this evening. Thank you for your interest and
8	we look forward to seeing you again in the
9	coming months.
10	(Whereupon, at 8:16 the hearing
11	was adjourned.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	