## GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

+ + + + +

# DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA'S FISCAL YEAR 2013

## CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE

**EVALUATION REPORT** 

(CAPER) PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

NOVEMBER 20, 2013

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing convened in the First Floor Conference Room, 1800 Martin
Luther King Jr. Ave SE, Washington, D.C., at 6:30 p.m., Michael P. Kelly, Director, DHCD, presiding.

PRESENT:

MICHAEL P. KELLY, Director, DHCD
NATHAN SIMMS, Chief Program Officer, DHCD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This hearing is being conducted on Wednesday, November 20, 2013. It's approximately 6:40 and we're at the Department of Housing and Community Development's headquarters here at 1800 Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20020. I would like to at this point recognize the managers at the table -- the manager at the table, Nathan Simms, who serves as the Chief Program Officer here at the agency, is with me

1 tonight.

As many of you know, DHCD's mission is to create and preserve opportunities for affordable housing and economic development and to revitalize underserved communities of the District of Columbia. We accomplish this mission through the use of both federal and local funding.

The U.S. Department of Urban Development, commonly known as HUD, requires that the Department complete an annual performance and evaluation report which details how the federal entitlement funding was spent.

The purpose of tonight's hearing is to give the public an opportunity to provide feedback on the CAPER and how we have used federal funds to meet the District's housing and community development needs.

These comments will be incorporated into DHCD's and the District's evaluation.

The District receives four

entitlement grants from HUD. We received the

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), the HOME Investment Partnership Program, commonly called HOME, the Energy Shelter Grant (ESG), and finally the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). D.C. administers the CDBG and the HOME funds directly. Emergency Service Grant Program is administered by the Department of Human Services, and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS program is administered by the D.C. Department of Health. In addition, the Department uses the Local Housing Production Trust Fund which is funded for the District's deed recordation and transfer taxes for the production and preservation of affordable housing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

D.C. utilizes this federal local funding to support a variety of initiatives including, but not limited to single-family, multi-family housing developments, comprehensive housing counseling services, and direct assistance to both current and future

1 These initiatives help improve homeowners. 2 and revitalize our District neighborhoods. So before we begin tonight, just a few 3 4 housekeeping items. Please make sure that 5 your cell phones and other electronic devices are silent and on vibrate. I'll do that 6 7 myself.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As you participate in this hearing, we understand that you may need to use personal examples when voicing your concerns. We'll be happy to speak with you one-on-one about any of those personal concerns that you may have after the meeting. Lastly, we ask that you please be respectful of others who are speaking and keep side conversations to a minimum. We'll have nine witnesses this evening who have registered to provide testimony. After we have heard from all those pre-registered witnesses, we'll open the floor for additional persons who wish to provide testimony. If you have written testimony, please make sure we have a copy.

Again, please limit your testimony to about three minutes.

Our first witness this evening is Mr. Samuel Ruffin. On to number two, Ward 8 resident Fred Hill.

MR. HILL: Good evening, Director
Kelly and Nathan Simms. I have a few brief
questions relating to, one, the single family
rehab program. Earlier this year you
testified before D.C. Council that you were
going to allocate \$14 million to the Single
Family Rehab Program. Historically that
program has never spent all of 3 million
dollars each year so I'm curious to find, one,
what would the 14 million do that the 3
million hasn't done.

Then there's also the question of how many CBEs participated in the District funded or DHCD funded development projects.

Who would those CBE companies be? How much money did they earn out of the 33.4 million?

How many jobs were created with those

1 your testimony.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

University Legal Services, Annie
Tyson.

Good evening. MS. TYSON: My name is Annie Tyson. I work for University Legal Services. We have three locations and I'm housed here. I'm here just to say that we have helped many clients purpose homes through the HPAP program and rehab program, and the other housing programs that you have here like the Lead Program. I think these are good programs that we need in the community. If it wasn't for these programs, which I have been working with HPAP since 1987, I've seen so many people that didn't think they was able to afford a house. Now they are homeowners. I've seen a lot of people that was having problems with the house. They didn't have money to get rehab work done on their houses. Now their houses are completed and fixed. think that these programs are good programs and we should continue with them.

1	The newest program that we are
2	working with is Lead and we was able to help
3	a lot of people up on Chesapeake Street and
4	Brandywine. Those people was able to get the
5	lead out of their buildings with the help of
6	DHCD Lead Program. I think it's very
7	important that they continue on adding money
8	into these programs so we can help more and
9	more people. It feels good when you have
10	someone that says, "Golly, I never even though
11	I could buy a house." \$22,000 and you're in
12	a house. That's pretty good. That's all I
13	have.
14	DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you, Ms.
15	Tyson.
16	MS. TYSON: You're welcome.
17	DIRECTOR KELLY: Housing and
18	Community Development Director of the Greater
19	Washington Urban League, Jacqueline Ward
20	Richardson.
21	MS. RICHARDSON: Good evening.
22	The League administers key programs funded

1 with the U.S. Department of Housing and

2 | Community and Urban Development sourced hours.

3 Our largest program is the DHCD's Home

4 Purchase Assistance Program. During our

5 administration of the Home Purchase Assistance

6 Program we have helped over 7,000 families to

7 realize the dream of homeownership. In fiscal

8 year 2013 our achievements were as follows:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The facilitation of 269 loans for homeownership. Fifty percent utilized CDBG funding for \$4,093,000. Four percent utilized home funds for an addition of \$328,805.

Therefore, 54 percent of the HPAP funds funded in FY '13 were made using HUD program funding.

We expended a total of 8.5 million including the Negotiated Employer Assistant
Housing Program. The majority of our clients purchased in Ward 7 amounted to 134 clients, and Ward 8: 53 clients. However, each D.C. ward received HPAP home buyers. Of the \$4.5 million HPAP funding went to Ward 7 and 8.

Our HPAP clients are low to

moderate income residents of the District of Columbia. The average client earns approximately \$56,000 and purchases a home for about \$240,000. The average HPAP loan is \$32,852 in Ward 7 and \$36,604 in Ward 8. Recent years have been very difficult for prospective home buyers. Insecurity of the job and housing markets have resulted in many persons not taking steps toward homeownership. However, the D.C. market has seen an upturn in the housing market, with increasing property values for qualified first-time home buyers. This is an excellent time for families to purchase in the city utilizing the funds from the HPAP program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Millions of dollars are pumped into the local economy when people buy homes. Our local government receives the benefit of property and transfer taxes. HPAP is one of the largest programs of this kind operating in this country. I believe the way it is structured, where clients can chose their

lenders and realtors, that being the source delivery and single source for funds, is a national model.

The League is proud to be DHCD's provider of choice for the administration of this program. We are proud to be associated with the successful program and that means so much to thousands of families residing in the District. Thank you.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you very much.

The Communications Director from the Latino Economic Development Center, Ash Kosiewicz.

MR. KOSIEWICZ: Good evening. My name is Ash Kosiewicz, Communications Director for LEDC. We equip people with the skills and the financial tools to buy and stay in their homes, take control of the decisions affecting their apartment buildings, and start or expand small businesses. Thank you for the chance to highlight how our partnership with DHCD helped

meet some of our city's housing and economic development needs in Fiscal Year 2013. In the wake of Mayor Gray's \$100 million investment in support of affordable housing and continued efforts to support small businesses, DHCD is helping us level the playing field for D.C. residents.

Given D.C.'s improved financial position, the time is now to make key investments to harness the city's economic growth, to preserve the city's economic and cultural diversity. In FY 2013 with the support of DHCD, LEDC assisted roughly 4,500 families through our comprehensive approach to community based economic development.

Over the last year LEDC worked with entrepreneurs to stimulate the local economy and create jobs. Our lending program dispersed approximately \$357,000 to 28 small local businesses, retaining or creating 154 jobs. Almost one quarter of these loans are part of the KEVA City/D.C. partnership which,

is a really an exciting innovative private nonprofit partnership between LEDC, KEVA, and Capital One.

Our small business development program connected aspiring and established entrepreneurs with workshops in areas ranging from technology integration to smart financial management to help them better compete in the digital economy.

About 350 individuals participated in our training and 193 accessed almost 400 101 TA sessions to strengthen businesses in areas including marketing and business planning. So the funds from DHCD Small Business Technical Assistance Program they were really vital in this effort, and support for this program's funding during the last budget cycle was money really well spent.

We also know the impact of DHCD funds to support affordable housing preservation and homeownership. Over the last year our Affordable Housing Preservation

Program provided assistance to 3,500 families and 75 apartment buildings and limited equity housing co-ops. The city's Tenant Purchase Assistance Programs in particular continue to be one of DHCD's best investments. In FY 2013 19 buildings completed the TOPA process and 16 retained 100 percent affordability.

We are currently working with six buildings that are ready-to-use funds from the Mayor's \$100 million commitment to buy their buildings. LEDC's homeownership program in turn helped 61 D.C. perspective first-time home buyers become first-time homeowners. And this is a 35 percent increase from FY 2012.

In that same time, we educated 733 clients on those responsibilities of homeownership. We really firmly believe that that dream of responsible homeownership is alive and well, and we want to help more people do this in the District of Columbia.

So all of these successes wouldn't be possible without the financial support of

HUD and DHCD. So thank you for your support and we hope that DHCD will champion these programs, Small Business Technical Assistance Program, and neighborhood-based services for tenants and first-time home buyers as it prepares its Fiscal Year 2015 budget request.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you.

Resident Samuel Jackson.

Okay. Director of Multi-Family
Programs Mi Casa, Judy Meima. I don't see
Judy here tonight.

Barracks Row Main Street, Tom Litke.

MR. LITKE: This is moving quick tonight. That's great. I guess we all feel compelled to grab the microphone. It's here but I understand it's not working. Director Kelly, Mr. Simms, thanks for having us come out tonight. We appreciate the opportunity. I prepared testimony, but folks are rolling along so I'm going to hit you with the highlights, I suppose.

My name is Tom Litke. I'm the Program Manager for Barracks Row Main Street. It's one of the local main street programs, nonprofit, here in Capitol Hill. I'm also an owner of D.C. Facades. I handle a lot of facade improvement projects in many of the communities. I'm also a D.C. certified business enterprise. I thought I would offer comment tonight. Martin Smith was unable to attend, but on behalf of both our organizations, Barracks Row and D.C. Facades, I want to express our gratitude to the Department, for both its financial support and improving the coordination of services that have been going on, allowing us to expand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

During Fiscal 2013 nearly a dozen properties were rehabilitated and renovated on behalf of local businesses and property owners. Through the use of public funds, it was also able to leverage \$250,000 of private money, which is always beneficial to all groups served. We were able not only to work

on 8th Street, SE, which I think most people are familiar with the successes, but we've been able to reach out to smaller nonprofits working with groups in Wards 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 to help with their storefront improvements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So while fewer groups are applying for the storefront funds, actually more properties are being completed through one group that can handle a reimbursable check grant system. It's worked out rather well. With those 12 properties we've also expanded, as I mentioned. I wanted to commend DHCD on improving the process. Specifically I've been working with Lamont Lee and Stephanie Davis. Better communications. I know I've been in D.C. for almost a decade now, the last seven or eight years helping with storefront improvements. It's also been a positive experience making adjustments to the program. I guess the way I put it is, as the owner of a private business that represents a lot of these local nonprofits, I really wanted to

mention it's really been well received how

DHCD has been able to bring closer to a market

reality that they are bringing in private

contractors who sometimes have to help out.

We get more buildings done. We increase the

credibility of D.C.'s government efforts, as

well as the local nonprofits and we get to

finish the properties.

Obviously the District benefits
from these properties. I know in one of the
neighborhoods alone brought in almost a
million dollars in property taxes that we
didn't see just a few years ago. We found the
program has been effective in maintaining the
historic integrity of the commercial
districts, stabilizing and retaining the longtime business tenants and also providing
community outreach. A lot of these
neighborhoods are transitional and overcoming
language barriers helps with both cultural
preservation.

I guess the phrase I tend to like

1 to use is that it keeps us being competitive 2 but also keeps us interested. There's a 3 certain vibrancy that stays to the neighborhood. So in conclusion, I appreciate 4 5 the opportunity to express our gratitude. hope that we will continue to see CDBG funds 6 7 for more storefront improvements. Thank you. 8 DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you for

DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Litke.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LITKE: Thank you.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Ward 8 resident, and much more, Leonard Watson.

MR. WATSON: Good evening, Mr.

Kelly, DHCD staff. My name is Leonard Watson,

Sr. I'm a resident of Ward 8 and a Ward 8

community activist. I am pleased to be given

the opportunity to offer my testimony

regarding the FY 2013 Consolidated Annual

Performance Evaluation Report, covering the

periods from October 1, 2012 through September

30, 2013.

As a former eight-year Housing

Production Trust Fund Board Member, I do have some observations, comments, and questions regarding the evaluation report. In the executive summary of the report the total federal funds was \$43,133,074. And then the total expenditures were listed at \$47,383,397. There is an overlap in the spending of \$4,250,309. I want to know what budgetary funds were used to cover this overspending? Also, what did you spend the money on?

And I'll move, fast forward to the checks. On page 22, under Section 3, I want to know how many Section 3 jobs were created as a result of this funding and how many actually was Ward 8 residents who received jobs under Section 3. On page 24, under matching funds, I'm looking at Washington but I'm skipping down to the next paragraph where you talk about your home funding program.

It's says in FY 2013 DHCD contributed, then they have two Xs. They don't give you the dollar amount, I don't know what that is,

toward the home match. I would like to know what is the monetary figure that deals with the matching funds in that area.

On page 31 you outline your budget. In Table 4 you speak of the Home Purchase Assistant Program, HPAP. I want to know how many Ward 8 residents was involved in this program, how many received assistance as a result of this funding. In terms of the storefront facade development, I want to know how many facades will actually receive money to upgrade their storefronts in Ward 8. I see a lot of areas across the city that's getting help.

Also the gentleman who testified before me said they've done some things on Barracks Row. I want to know what's happening in Ward 8. My concentration is solely on Ward 8, because that's where I live at. On page 39 I want to talk about your CDBGs. And specifically I'm speaking to Lydia's House. Lydia's House, in terms of output they said

the ownership council provided to 996 individuals but they don't say how this was done. Did they do it by survey, telephone interview? What was the process they used to get it to these 996 individuals?

They speak of, No. 2, they speak of 136 households. How many foreclosures were there in Ward 8? In terms of the LSW, how many resources were used in these particular projects? They also speak to the home buyers for 35 members. Are any of these members in Ward 8?

In terms of -- the next piece goes to -- I want to talk about the ARCH. I notice, in the funding for ARCH, you list ARCH twice. On page 42 I see ARCH listed as receiving \$229,822. Also on page 43, under 5, there's a different number that speaks to -- under the IDIS number it says 1850. It says they received \$229,822. I don't know if this is a duplication of your funding, or additional funding where they received a half

a million dollars. I want to know answers to that.

In terms of the -- I'm speaking directly as it relates to ARCH. When I was on the Housing Construction Trust Fund Board under the Williams and Fenty administration, and the former director of DHCD was Lisa Edmond. She was adamant about the fact that ARCH owed the District of Columbia a large sum of taxes. I want to know whether or not ARCH is current with their taxes with the D.C. government. If not, I question why DHCD is continuously providing ARCH with CDBG funding. I want to know that because they are getting the funding.

In terms of when you go back to Lydia house, they say they only dealt with five people's applications. I mean, that's totally ridiculous. When you compared that to your legal service people, they have 590 some people that they dealt with. There is a disparity.

I saw the monetary value for the legal people was more advanced than Lydia's house. By me living in this ward, knowing Lydia House I don't think Lydia House has a stellar reputation for the money that they're getting and I don't think they are providing the services. I can't glean where they're at with the output because I don't know if this verified information comes to you where you can pin them down. I don't know that. I'm just looking at bullets, if you will, with no verification.

Lastly, I want to talk about the Big K project. I've been around for a long time and Greta Fuller, who is a commissioner in 8A, she was on the found floor of this particular funding and she was adamant about certain things maintained as it was. I want to know: how much federal funding did Big K receive for this particular project? And I also want to know why is DHCD continuing to support the project despite no public or

community support. The Big K developer shopping development did not comply with the original RFP so why is DHCD doing this? The community wants the historic homes to stay on the site and not move.

Assuming it is moved, these historical sites, which the community objects to, who would defray the cost for move and relocation? Would it be DHCD? Would it be the developer? We need to know answers in that particular area.

I want to know why, or maybe DHCD could take under advisement they might want to issue another RFP, even if you go as far as to chose another developer that will meet the needs of this community and what they are asking for as relates to the Big K project. Thank you for your time.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Watson.

If we can circle back at this point to see about the ones that have already

registered. Did Mr. Samuel Ruffin get here?

How about Samuel Jackson? I know Judy is

here. Judy Meima, Director of Multi-Family

Programs at Mi Casa.

MS. MEIMA: Good evening. Thanks for the opportunity to talk. A lot of work needs to be done I see. My day today has been sandwiched between two DHCD events. The first was a press conference this morning that the Mayor and Director Kelly and Deputy Director Hoskins announced the awards for the current Super NOFA. I'm here tonight to talk a little bit about what I see being done and how Mi Casa can continue to work with the Department.

event. In addition to the announcement of the actual money invested, \$187 million for affordable housing, what was even more heartening was hearing the Mayor and the rest of the speakers affirm their commitment to affordable housing and affirming the commitment that came out of that comprehensive

housing strategy to 10,000 units affordable housing in 2020.

But even more so, I heard the

Mayor make a deeper commitment this morning in
saying two things in response to questions

from the press. One was regarding
affordability, and I heard the Mayor say that
technical meeting the definition of
affordability was not enough, the HUD
definition using median income.

I heard the Mayor say that his administration wanted to address the needs of D.C. residents and really target all income levels, including 30 percent of AMA in D.C. That was encouraging. Also I heard the Mayor say and acknowledge that \$187 million is great but it's not going to solve the housing gap in the city. Also even 10,000 units by 2020, which is a very high goal to set, still won't solve the issue. It's good to hear a Mayor acknowledge that and I believe that behind that some commitment to follow through on

1 that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mi Casa is not-for-profit affordable housing development organization. We are about 20 years old and we have three programs: single family first time homeownership, providing consulting services through tenant opportunity to purchase, and also affordable rental. Tonight I just want to spend a few minutes on some of the awards that Mi Casa has directly been involved in and kind of the picture behind what those loans and grants accomplish. One of the cooperatives today that was awarded a grant Mi Casa works with, and that's the Kara House Cooperative located at 1498 Spring Place in D.C.

They exercised their rights in
2005 to purchase their building. And since
that time they've been working to find funds
to complete the development. Very much needed
rehabilitation is needed. During those eight
years they have managed their cooperative.

They've gotten some support from the Housing
Finance Agency, a small McKinney Loan, which
they have since paid back. Today they got a
commitment from the Department to complete the
construction which will be also leveraged with
private funds. In that building for 60
percent of the people, the current residents,
lived in the building when it was purchased,
so they've hung in there kind of through this
time persistently finding the funds.

When the building was purchased a lot of those households were young families and they've grown since that time. One of the nice things about this grant is that it will allow them to combine units and make some family sized units, which are in great need in D.C. It's not an inexpensive project. All that cost money. The eight years in trying to find the financing have created needs in the building but it's a valuable project. I think the fact that many of the residents still live there show their commitment to the city.

Also today, a co-op that bought their building on the very same day as Kara House, Crestwood Cooperative, received funds from the FY 2012 NOFA. Currently they are undergoing construction. They will be moving back to their rehabed unit in March of next In that building there's a lot of year. elderly single Latina women who have lived in that community, in the Adams Morgan community, for long years and would certainly have been displaced out of their homes if they couldn't have purchased through TOPA. Now they know that they have an affordable home for the rest of their lives, in the neighborhood that they have lived in so long.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The final area in which Mi Casa has worked with the Department to try address the needs is providing services to co-ops.

Under this NOFA we've the received grants to do technical assistance and training with co-ops that are post development. We had our first training under that grant last Saturday.

About 30 people from 14 co-ops came. And the spirit in that room was tremendous. We talked about budgeting and how do you budget for your building. Not only spirit but the kind of experience and knowledge that people brought to the room and shared with each other.

Certainly it's more than the professional staff of our agencies can match, because they have been living this throughout the days. Without the grant that you provided to Mi Casa, they would have no other forum or resources in which to come together and maintain their co-ops and find the support they can do, because it's a difficult job.

Affordable housing is difficult in any circumstances. When you combine that with trying to do cooperative housing it becomes more difficult. People at the training said: we need a space to meet. We need a way to come together to share ideas and to get the help to keep going. That's what your grant has done.

Again, Mi Casa appreciates working with the Department and we look forward to continuing to do what we can to address the needs.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Meima.

Okay. At this point I would like to open it up to any other resident to provide any kind of testimony for tonight. Would anyone else like to provide testimony for the public record?

Please come up. State your name.

MS. CRAWFORD: Good evening. My name is Tonya Crawford. I reside here in Ward 8, actually around the corner. I really appreciate the opportunity to be here and to share my testimony with you this evening.

Unfortunately I haven't had a chance to take a look at the performance evaluation, so I really can't speak on that.

I can just share what my experience has been. I have recently been --

actually, my home has recently been renovated through the Lead Safe Washington Program. I am also an applicant of the rehabilitation, single family rehabilitation program which I am still waiting to work out or hear from.

I would just like to say that the program, on a very personal note has just done so much to my neighborhood. I have a fresh coat of paint on my home. I have fresh windows. The inside of my home, practically the entire inside of my home has been repainted. My radiators have been cleaned up. I don't know the technical term for what was done. It's just like a new home.

I mean, I'm not -- my income is not really at the poverty -- it isn't at the poverty level.

However, I did qualify for the program.

Although generally speaking, I am not at that income level, I'm still at an income where I could not have ever really afforded to take care of all the things that the program took

1 care of.

Again, as I mentioned, it's just created a wonderful vibe in my neighborhood.

My neighbors along the way commented on the paint and everyone is coming and talking about how wonderful the house looks. Just that interaction and that feeling of just renovation and renewal and just, care.

My home is actually situated at
the -- it's the very last rowhouse on my
street and it is parallel to -- well, it's
perpendicular to an alley where lots of people
walk to get to Minnesota Avenue so there are
lots of people that see the home, lots of
people who have seen it over the years in a
not so positive way because of the lead paint
and the chipped paint and all of the things.

I have been the victim of graffiti in the past. Now it seems to be a different sense of appreciation for the space. Again,
I could not have afforded it myself. The program staff has been -- I can't say enough

Page 37

good about the staff. They have always been really forthright with me, responsive, proactive, just really informative. This is the very first program that I had ever been part of so I guess you can say I was really unaware of what the process was. Again, I had not been part of any other district programs where they took care of me in that way.

So it was really refreshing for me to be a part of this program and realize that, yes, these are stewards of the District of Columbia who really cared about the fact that my home was in need of these changes and these improvements. Just seeing that the staff and the people in the program wanted to make sure that I received the services that I most needed.

One thing that I would suggest,
however, is that more people are made aware of
the program. It was really kind of haphazard
how I found out about the program just being
in this particular building actually with

someone else who was trying to find out information about becoming a homeowner. Just my intuitive or just my inquisitive self just taking everything, any and all brochures that were housed here, and that's how I stumbled upon the program. Otherwise, I might not have ever really been privy to that. Or, at least if it came in the mail, I didn't really pay much attention to it.

I would suggest that whatever means that the program, particularly the Lead Safe Program, is advertised and beefed up a bit, or maybe some different strategies are used. Again, I can't say enough good things about the program. I'm recommending to everyone who complements me on my home. They say, "Ooh, I love the color." I say, "You know, I didn't pay a dime for this." And I want to share that with people. They are in the same situation that I'm in, probably economically less stable than myself.

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.

I want people to know about the

Page 39

program. It does mean a lot when you home feels renewed, when it's refreshed. Again, I believe that the neighborhood appreciates it and tried to take better care of it. Thank you.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you so much.

Anybody else who would like to present testimony for tonight's Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report Hearing?

Hearing none then, I think I would like to make a couple announcements before I conclude the hearing. As part of our continuing education series -- Ms. Crawford, this is apropos to your comments a moment ago -- on December 4th we'll be having a rent adjustment under the Rental Assistance Act, Substantial Rehabilitation petitions.

There will be a workshop conducted right here. That topics will include housing provided petitions in general and when to consider filing for a substantial rehab

Page 40

petition. We are going to be having, on December 10th, a housing regulation administration quarterly tenant stakeholder meeting. Again, this is an opportunity for tenants and tenant stakeholder groups to come and discuss issues with us. And a Housing Regulation Administration quarterly housing provider stakeholder meeting. This is at the attention of realtors, property managers, housing providers, and small landlords. will be on Thursday, December 12th. Both the tenant meeting and the provider meeting will start at 12:00 on the 10th and the 12th respectively.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Again, thanks for your testimonies this evening. Your feedback is valuable to the agency and to the city as a whole. Again, your comments will be incorporated into our larger District Evaluation Reports. This concludes tonight's hearing of the Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report.

	Page 41
1	Please feel free to stay to speak
2	to myself or to any of the senior managers
3	here for any other issues. Thanks again for
4	coming tonight everybody.
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
6	matter went off the record at 7:21 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A	afforded 35:21	32:16	<b>bit</b> 28:13 38:13	<b>CBE</b> 7:20
able 9:15 10:2,4	36:21	areas 15:6,13 23:13	Block 5:1	<b>CBEs</b> 7:18
18:20,22 19:3	agencies 33:8	<b>Ash</b> 2:10 13:13,16	<b>Board</b> 22:1 25:5	<b>CDBG</b> 5:1,6 11:10
20:2	agency 3:22 31:2	asking 27:17	bought 32:1	21:6 25:13
above-entitled 41:5	40:17	aspiring 15:5	Brandywine 10:4	<b>CDBGs</b> 23:20
Absolutely 8:20	agency's 3:9	assistance 5:22	brief 7:7	cell 6:5
accessed 15:11	<b>ago</b> 20:13 39:15	11:4,5 15:15 16:1	<b>bring</b> 20:2	Center 2:12 13:13
accomplish 4:7	<b>AIDS</b> 5:5,10	16:4 17:3 23:8	bringing 20:3	certain 21:3 26:18
30:12	<b>alive</b> 16:19	32:20 39:17	brochures 38:4	certainly 32:10
achievements 11:8	alley 36:12	Assistant 11:16	<b>brought</b> 20:11 33:5	33:7
acknowledge 29:16	allocate 7:11	23:6	<b>budget</b> 15:18 17:6	certified 18:7
29:21	allow 31:15	assisted 14:13	23:5 33:3	champion 17:2
<b>Act</b> 39:17	allowing 18:15	associated 13:6	budgetary 22:8	<b>chance</b> 13:21 34:18
activist 21:16	<b>AMA</b> 29:14	<b>Assuming</b> 27:6	budgeting 33:3	changes 37:13
actual 28:17	<b>amount</b> 22:22	attend 18:10	<b>building</b> 30:18 31:6	<b>check</b> 19:9
adamant 25:8	amounted 11:18	attending 3:9	31:8,11,20 32:2,7	checks 22:12
26:17	<b>Annie</b> 2:6 9:2,5	<b>attention</b> 38:9 40:9	33:4 37:22	Chesapeake 10:3
Adams 32:9	announced 28:11	<b>Ave</b> 1:14	buildings 10:5	<b>Chief</b> 1:22 3:21
adding 10:7	announcement 8:3	<b>Avenue</b> 3:18 36:13	13:20 16:2,6,9,11	chipped 36:17
addition 5:11 11:12	28:16	avenues 8:13	20:5	choice 13:5
28:16	announcements	average 12:2,4	bullets 26:11	<b>chose</b> 12:22 27:15
additional 6:20	39:12	awarded 30:13	<b>business</b> 15:4,13,15	circle 27:21
24:22	<b>annual</b> 1:6 3:10	awards 28:11 30:9	17:3 18:8 19:21	circumstances
address 29:12	4:11 21:18 39:10	<b>aware</b> 37:19	20:17	33:16
32:17 34:3	40:21		businesses 13:21	<b>city</b> 12:14 23:13
adjustment 39:17	answer 8:10	<u>B</u>	14:5,20 15:12	29:18 31:22 40:17
adjustments 19:19	answered 8:14	back 25:16 27:21	18:18	city's 14:1,10,11
administered 5:8	answers 25:1 27:10	31:3 32:6	<b>buy</b> 10:11 12:17	16:3
5:10	Anybody 39:8	Barracks 2:14	13:18 16:10	City/D.C 14:22
administers 5:5	apartment 13:20	17:12 18:2,11	<b>buyers</b> 11:20 12:7	<b>class</b> 35:15
10:22	16:2	23:17	12:12 16:13 17:5	cleaned 35:12
administration	applicant 35:3	barriers 20:20	24:10	client 12:2
11:5 13:5 25:6	applications 25:18	based 14:15	<u>C</u>	<b>clients</b> 9:8 11:17,18
29:12 40:3,7	applying 19:6	basically 8:5		11:19,22 12:22
advanced 26:2	appreciate 17:19	becoming 38:2 beefed 38:12	call 3:11	16:16
advertised 38:12	21:4 34:16		<b>called</b> 5:3	closer 20:2
advisement 27:13	appreciates 34:1	<b>behalf</b> 18:10,18 <b>believe</b> 12:21 16:17	<b>CAPER</b> 1:8 3:11	coat 35:9
<b>affirm</b> 28:20	39:3	29:21 39:3	4:16	<b>color</b> 38:17
affirming 28:21	appreciation 36:20	<b>beneficial</b> 18:21	Capital 15:3 Capitol 18:4	Columbia 1:1 4:7
afford 9:16	approach 14:14	benefit 12:18	care 35:22 36:1,8	12:2 16:20 25:9
<b>affordability</b> 16:7	approximately	benefits 20:9	37:8 39:4	37:12
29:7,9	3:15 12:3 14:19	best 16:5	cared 37:12	COLUMBIA'S 1:6
affordable 4:4 5:16	apropos 39:15	better 15:8 19:15	Casa 2:20 17:10	<b>combine</b> 31:15
14:4 15:20,22	<b>ARCH</b> 24:14,15,15	39:4	28:4,14 30:2,10	33:16
28:18,21 29:1	24:16 25:4,9,10	<b>Big</b> 26:14,19 27:1	30:14 32:16 33:11	come 17:18 33:12
30:3,8 32:13	25:13	27:17	34:1	33:20 34:12 40:5
33:15	area 23:3 27:11	21.11	JT.1	comes 26:9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

<b>coming</b> 36:5 41:4	28:9	current 5:22 25:11	19:12 20:2 21:14	14:8 16:12 18:5,7
commend 19:12	connected 15:5	28:11 31:7	22:20 25:7,12	18:11 19:16 20:6
comment 18:9	consider 39:22	currently 16:8 32:4	26:21 27:3,9,12	25:11 29:13,14
commented 36:4	Consolidated 1:6	cycle 15:18	28:8	30:16 31:17
comments 4:19	3:10 21:18 39:9	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	<b>DHCD's</b> 4:2,20	30.10 31.17
8:16 22:2 39:15	40:21	2:1	11:3 13:4 16:5	
40:18	construction 25:5	2.1	different 24:18	Earlier 7:9
commercial 20:15	31:5 32:5		36:19 38:13	earn 7:21
commissioner	consulting 30:6	<b>Davis</b> 19:14	difficult 12:6 33:14	earns 12:2
26:15	<b>continue</b> 9:22 10:7	day 28:7 32:2	33:15,18	economic 2:11 4:5
commitment 16:10	16:4 21:6 28:14	days 33:10	The state of the s	13:13 14:1,10,11
		deals 23:2	digital 15:9 dime 38:18	14:15
28:20,22 29:4,22	continued 14:4	dealt 25:17,21	direct 5:22	economically 38:21
31:4,22	<b>continuing</b> 26:21	decade 19:16		economy 12:17
<b>commonly</b> 4:10 5:2	34:3 39:14	December 39:16	directly 5:6 8:14	14:18 15:9
communications	continuously 25:13	40:2,11	25:4 30:10	Edmond 25:8
2:10 13:12,16	contractors 20:4	decisions 13:19	director 1:15,21	educated 16:15
19:15	contributed 22:20	deed 5:14	2:2,11,19 3:3,5	education 39:14
communities 4:6	control 13:19	deeper 29:4	7:6 8:7,20,22	effective 20:14
18:7	convened 1:13	definition 29:8,10	10:14,17,18 13:10	effort 15:16
<b>community</b> 1:4 3:6	conversations 6:16	defray 27:8	13:12,16 17:7,9	efforts 14:5 20:6
3:16 4:18 5:1	cooperative 30:15	delivery 13:2	17:17 21:8,11	eight 19:17 30:21
9:12 10:18 11:2	30:22 32:3 33:17	Department 1:3	25:7 27:19 28:3	31:18
14:15 20:18 21:16	cooperatives 30:13	_	28:10,10 34:5	
27:1,4,7,16 32:9,9	coordination 18:14	3:6,15 4:9,11 5:8	39:6	eight-year 21:22
companies 7:20	<b>copy</b> 6:22	5:11,12 11:1	discuss 40:6	elderly 32:8 electronic 6:5
compared 25:19	corner 34:15	18:13 28:14 31:4 32:17 34:2	disparity 25:22	embedded 8:17
compelled 17:16	cost 27:8 31:18		dispersed 14:19	
compete 15:8	council 7:10 24:1	Deputy 28:10	displaced 32:11	Emergency 5:7
competitive 21:1	counseling 5:21	despite 26:22	<b>district</b> 1:1,6 4:6,21	Employer 11:16
complements 38:16	country 12:21	details 4:12	6:2 7:18 12:1	encouraging 29:15
complete 4:11	couple 39:12	<b>developer</b> 27:1,10	13:9 16:20 20:9	Energy 5:3
30:20 31:4	cover 22:9	27:15	25:9 37:7,11	enterprise 18:8
completed 9:20	covering 21:19	development 1:4	40:19	entire 35:11
16:6 19:8	<b>co-op</b> 32:1	2:12 3:7 4:5,9,18	districts 20:16	entitlement 4:13,22
comply 27:2	<b>co-ops</b> 16:3 32:18	5:1 7:19 8:1	<b>District's</b> 4:17,20	entrepreneurs
comprehensive	33:1,13	10:18 11:2 13:13	5:14	14:17 15:6
5:21 14:14 28:22	Crawford 2:22	14:2,15 15:4	diversity 14:12	equip 13:17
concentration	34:13,14 39:14	23:10 27:2 30:3	<b>doing</b> 27:3	equity 16:2
23:18	create 4:3 14:18	30:20 32:21	dollar 22:22	<b>ESG</b> 5:3
<b>concerns</b> 6:11,13	created 7:22 22:13	developments 5:20	dollars 7:14 12:16	established 15:5
8:16	31:19 36:3	Development's	20:12 25:1	<b>evaluation</b> 1:7 3:10
conclude 39:13	creating 14:20	3:16	<b>dozen</b> 18:16	4:12,20 21:19
concludes 40:20	credibility 20:6	devices 6:5	<b>dream</b> 11:7 16:18	22:3 34:19 39:10
conclusion 21:4	Crestwood 32:3	<b>DHCD</b> 1:15,21,22	duplication 24:21	40:19,21
conducted 3:13	cultural 14:12	2:2 7:19 10:6	<b>D.C</b> 1:14 3:5,18 5:5	evening 3:3 6:17
39:19	20:20	13:22 14:5,13	5:11,17 7:10 8:1	7:3,6 9:4 10:21
conference 1:13	curious 7:14	15:14,19 17:1,2	11:19 12:10 14:6	13:15 21:13 28:5

24.12.17.40.16	final 20.16	11.10 12 10:14	gmoot 17:15 20:16	10.10 16.10 17.5
34:13,17 40:16	final 32:16	11:12,13 12:14	great 17:15 29:16	12:12 16:13 17:5
event 28:16	finally 5:4	13:2 15:14,20	31:16	22:19 23:1,5
events 28:8	Finance 31:2	16:9 18:19 19:7	Greater 2:8 10:18	24:10 32:13 35:1
everybody 3:4 41:4	<b>financial</b> 13:18	21:6 22:5,9,17	Greta 26:15	35:9,10,11,14
examples 6:10	14:8 15:7 16:22	23:3 30:19 31:6	group 19:9	36:9,14 37:13
excellent 12:13	18:13	31:10 32:3	groups 18:22 19:4	38:16 39:1
exciting 15:1	financing 31:19	future 5:22	19:6 40:5	homeowner 38:2
executive 22:4	<b>find</b> 7:14 30:19	<b>FY</b> 11:14 14:12	grown 31:13	homeowners 6:1
exercise 8:10	31:19 33:13 38:1	16:5,14 21:18	growth 14:11	9:16 16:13
exercised 30:17	<b>finding</b> 31:10	22:20 32:4	guess 17:15 19:20	homeownership
<b>expand</b> 13:20	finish 20:8	G	20:22 37:5	11:7,10 12:9
18:15	<b>firmly</b> 16:17		H	15:21 16:11,17,18
expanded 19:11	<b>first</b> 1:13 7:3 28:8	gap 29:17	-	30:6
expended 11:15	30:5 32:22 37:4	general 39:21	half 24:22	homes 9:8 12:17
expenditures 22:6	first-time 12:12	generally 35:19	handle 18:5 19:9	13:19 27:4 32:11
experience 19:19	16:12,13 17:5	gentleman 23:15	haphazard 37:20	honored 3:5
33:5 34:22	<b>fiscal</b> 1:6 3:11 11:7	getting 23:13 25:14	happening 23:17	hope 17:2 21:6
express 18:12 21:5	14:2 17:6 18:16	26:6	happy 6:11	HOPWA 5:5
	five 25:18	give 4:15 8:3,4	harness 14:10	Hoskins 28:11
	<b>fixed</b> 9:20	22:21	headquarters 3:17	hours 11:2
facade 18:6 23:10	<b>floor</b> 1:13 6:20	given 14:8 21:16	Health 5:11	house 9:16,18
facades 18:5,11	26:16	glean 26:7	hear 29:20 35:5	10:11,12 23:21,22
23:11	<b>folks</b> 17:20	<b>go</b> 25:16 27:14	heard 6:18 29:3,7	25:17 26:3,4,4
facilitation 11:9	<b>follow</b> 29:22	goal 29:19	29:11,15	30:14 32:3 36:6
fact 25:8 31:21	follows 11:8	goes 24:13	hearing 1:8,13 3:9	<b>housed</b> 9:7 38:5
37:12	foreclosures 24:7	going 7:11 17:21	3:13 4:14 6:9	households 24:7
familiar 19:2	former 21:22 25:7	18:15 29:17 33:21	28:19 39:10,11,13	31:12
families 11:6 12:13	forthright 37:2	40:1	40:20	housekeeping 6:4
13:8 14:14 16:1	<b>forum</b> 33:11	Golly 10:10	heartening 28:19	houses 9:19,20
31:12	<b>forward</b> 22:11 34:2	<b>good</b> 3:3 7:6 9:4,11	help 6:1 10:2,5,8	<b>housing</b> 1:3 3:6,16
family 7:8,12 30:5	<b>found</b> 20:13 26:16	9:21 10:9,12,21	15:8 16:19 19:5	4:4,18 5:4,9,12,16
31:16 35:4	37:21	13:15 21:13 28:5	20:4 23:14 33:21	5:20,21 9:10
far 27:14	four 4:21 11:11	29:20 34:13 37:1	helped 9:8 11:6	10:17 11:1,17
fast 22:11	Fred 2:5 7:5	38:14	13:22 16:12	12:8,11 14:1,4
federal 4:8,13,17	free 41:1	gotten 31:1	helping 14:6 19:17	15:20,22 16:3
5:17 22:5 26:19	fresh 35:8,9	government 1:1	helps 20:20	21:22 25:5 28:18
feedback 4:16	<b>Fuller</b> 26:15	12:18 20:6 25:12	high 29:19	28:21 29:1,2,17
40:16	<b>Fund</b> 5:13 22:1	grab 17:16	highlight 13:22	30:3 31:1 33:15
feel 17:15 41:1	25:5	<b>graffiti</b> 36:18	highlights 17:22	33:17 39:20 40:2
<b>feeling</b> 36:7	<b>funded</b> 5:13 7:19	grant 5:1,3,7 19:10	Hill 2:5 7:5,6 8:12	40:6,7,10
feels 10:9 39:2	7:19 10:22 11:13	30:13 31:14 32:22	8:18,21 18:4	<b>HPAP</b> 9:9,14 11:13
Fenty 25:6	<b>funding</b> 4:8,13 5:18	33:10,21	historic 20:15 27:4	11:20,21,22 12:4
<b>fewer</b> 19:6	11:11,14,21 15:17	grants 4:22 30:12	historical 27:7	12:15,19 23:6
<b>field</b> 14:6	22:14,19 23:9	32:19	Historically 7:12	<b>HUD</b> 4:10,22 8:17
Fifty 11:10	24:15,21,22 25:13	gratitude 18:12	hit 17:21	11:14 17:1 29:9
figure 23:2	25:15 26:17,19	21:5	home 5:2,3,6 11:3,5	Human 5:8
<b>filing</b> 39:22	<b>funds</b> 4:17 5:6	<b>Gray's</b> 14:3	11:12,20 12:3,7	<b>hung</b> 31:9

	issues 40:6 41:3	language 20:20	32:10,15	McKinney 31:2
ideas 33:20	items 6:4	large 25:9	look 34:2,19	mean 25:18 35:16
IDIS 24:19		larger 40:19	looking 22:17	39:1
impact 15:19	J	largest 11:3 12:20	26:11	means 13:7 38:11
important 10:7	<b>Jackson</b> 17:8 28:2	<b>Lastly</b> 6:14 26:13	looks 36:6	median 29:10
improve 6:1	Jacqueline 2:7	Latina 32:8	<b>lot</b> 9:17 10:3 18:5	meet 4:17 14:1
improve 0.1 improved 14:8	10:19	<b>Latino</b> 2:11 13:13	19:21 20:18 23:13	27:15 33:19
improved 14.8 improvement 18:6	<b>job</b> 12:8 33:14	lead 9:11 10:2,5,6	28:6 31:12 32:7	meeting 6:13 29:8
improvements 19:5	<b>jobs</b> 7:22 14:18,21	35:2 36:16 38:11	39:1	40:4,8,12,12
19:18 21:7 37:14	22:13,16	<b>League</b> 2:8 10:19	lots 36:12,14,14	<b>Meima</b> 2:19 17:10
improving 18:14	<b>Jr</b> 1:14 3:18	10:22 13:4	love 38:17	28:3,5 34:6
19:13	<b>Judy</b> 2:19 17:10,11	<b>LEDC</b> 13:17 14:13	low 11:22	Member 22:1
include 39:20	28:2,3	14:16 15:2	LSW 24:8	members 24:11,11
included 8:8		<b>LEDC's</b> 16:11	<b>Luther</b> 1:14 3:17	mention 20:1
including 5:19	K	Lee 19:14	<b>Lydia</b> 25:17 26:4,4	mentioned 19:12
11:16 15:13 29:14	<b>K</b> 26:14,19 27:1,17	legal 2:6 9:2,5	Lydia's 23:21,22	36:2
income 12:1 29:10	<b>Kara</b> 30:14 32:2	25:20 26:2	26:2	<b>Mi</b> 2:20 17:10 28:4
29:13 35:16,20,20	keep 6:15 33:21	lenders 13:1		28:13 30:2,10,13
incorporated 4:19	keeps 21:1,2	lending 14:18	M	32:16 33:11 34:1
40:18	Kelly 1:15,21 2:2	Leonard 2:17	mail 38:8	<b>Michael</b> 1:15,21
increase 16:14 20:5	3:3,4 7:7 8:7,20	21:12,14	main 2:14 17:12	2:2 3:4
increasing 12:11	8:22 10:14,17	level 14:6 35:17,20	18:2,3	microphone 17:16
individuals 15:10	13:10 17:7,18	<b>levels</b> 29:14	maintain 33:13	<b>Middle</b> 35:15
24:2,5	21:8,11,14 27:19	leverage 18:20	maintained 26:18	million 7:11,13,15
inexpensive 31:17	28:10 34:5 39:6	leveraged 31:5	maintaining 20:14	7:16,21 11:15,21
information 26:9	<b>KEVA</b> 14:22 15:2	<b>limit</b> 7:1	majority 11:17	14:3 16:10 20:12
38:2	key 10:22 14:9	<b>limited</b> 5:19 16:2	making 19:19	25:1 28:17 29:16
informative 37:3	<b>kind</b> 12:20 30:11	<b>Lisa</b> 25:7	managed 30:22	Millions 12:16
initiatives 5:18 6:1	31:9 33:4 34:9 37:20	<b>list</b> 24:15	management 15:8	minimum 6:16
innovative 15:1	<b>King</b> 1:14 3:17	<b>listed</b> 22:6 24:16	manager 3:20 18:2	Minnesota 36:13
inquisitive 38:3	know 4:2 15:19	<b>Litke</b> 2:14 17:13,14	managers 3:20	minutes 7:2 30:9
<b>Insecurity</b> 12:7	19:15 20:10 22:8	18:1 21:9,10	40:9 41:2	mission 4:3,7
inside 35:10,11	22:13,22 23:1,7	little 28:12	March 32:6	model 13:3
integration 15:7	23:10,17 24:20	live 23:19 31:21	market 12:10,11 20:2	moderate 12:1
integrity 20:15	25:1,10,14 26:8	lived 31:8 32:8,15	marketing 15:13	moment 39:15
interaction 36:7	26:10,19,21 27:10	lives 32:14	marketing 13.13	monetary 23:2
interested 21:2	27:12 28:2 32:12	living 26:3 33:9	<b>Martin</b> 1:13 3:17	26:1
interview 24:4	35:13 38:18,22	loan 12:4 31:2	18:9	money 7:21 9:19
intuitive 38:3	knowing 26:3	loans 11:9 14:21	match 23:1 33:8	10:7 15:18 18:21
invested 28:17	knowledge 33:5	30:11	matching 22:17	22:10 23:11 26:5
investment 5:2	known 4:10	local 4:8 5:12,17	23:3	28:17 31:18
14:3	Kosiewicz 2:10	12:17,18 14:17,20	matter 41:6	Morgan 32:9
investments 14:10	13:14,15,16	18:3,18 19:22	Mayor 14:3 28:10	morning 28:9,15
16:5		20:7	28:19 29:4,7,11	29:4
involved 23:7	L	located 30:15	29:15,20	move 22:11 27:5,8
30:10	<b>Lamont</b> 19:14	locations 9:6	Mayor's 16:10	moved 27:6 moving 17:14 32:5
issue 27:14 29:20	landlords 40:10	long 20:16 26:14	1.24,01 5 10.10	moving 17.14 32.3
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		<del>_</del>		

multi-family 2:19	0	parallel 36:11	7:1 34:12 41:1	9:10,12,13,21,21
5:20 17:9 28:3	objects 27:7	<b>part</b> 14:22 37:5,7	pleased 21:16	10:8,22 12:20
	observations 22:2	37:10 39:13	<b>point</b> 3:19 27:22	16:4 17:3,10 18:3
N	Obviously 20:9	participate 6:8	34:7	28:4 30:5 37:7
name 3:4 9:4 13:16	October 21:20	participated 7:18	<b>poor</b> 35:15	program's 15:17
18:1 21:14 34:12	offer 18:8 21:17	15:10	position 14:9	<b>project</b> 26:14,20,22
34:14	Officer 1:22 3:22	particular 16:4	positive 19:18	27:17 31:17,20
<b>Nathan</b> 1:22 3:21	Okay 8:18 17:9	24:9 26:17,20	36:16	<b>projects</b> 7:19 8:1
7:7	34:7	27:11 37:22	possible 16:22	18:6 24:10
national 13:3	<b>old</b> 30:4	particularly 38:11	post 32:21	proof 8:2
nearly 18:16	ones 27:22	partnership 5:2	<b>poverty</b> 35:17,17	properties 18:17
necessarily 8:9	one-on-one 6:12	13:22 14:22 15:2	practically 35:10	19:8,11 20:8,10
need 6:9 9:12 27:10	Ooh 38:17	<b>pay</b> 38:8,18	prepared 17:20	<b>property</b> 12:11,19
31:16 33:19,19	open 6:19 34:8	<b>people</b> 9:15,17 10:3	prepares 17:6	18:18 20:12 40:9
37:13	Opening 2:2	10:4,9 12:17	<b>present</b> 1:19 39:9	prospective 12:7
<b>needed</b> 30:20,21	operating 12:20	13:17 16:20 19:1	preservation 5:15	<b>proud</b> 13:4,6
37:17	opportunities 4:4	25:20,21 26:2	15:21,22 20:21	<b>provide</b> 4:16 6:18
needs 4:18 14:2	5:4,9	31:7 33:1,5,18	<b>preserve</b> 4:3 14:11	6:21 34:8,10
27:16 28:7 29:12	opportunity 4:15	36:12,14,15 37:15	presiding 1:16	<b>provided</b> 16:1 24:1
31:19 32:18 34:4	8:10 17:19 21:5	37:19 38:19,22	press 28:9 29:6	33:10 39:21
Negotiated 11:16	21:17 28:6 30:7	<b>people's</b> 25:18	pretty 10:12	<b>provider</b> 13:5 40:8
neighborhood 21:4	34:16 40:4	percent 11:10,11	pre-registered 6:19	40:12
32:14 35:8 36:3	ops 32:21	11:13 16:7,14	<b>private</b> 15:1 18:20	providers 40:10
39:3	organization 30:3	29:14 31:7	19:21 20:3 31:6	providing 20:17
neighborhoods 6:2	organizations	performance 1:6	<b>privy</b> 38:7	25:13 26:6 30:6
20:11,19	18:11	3:10 4:11 21:19	proactive 37:3	32:18
neighborhood-ba	original 27:3	34:19 39:10 40:21	<b>probably</b> 8:8 38:20	<b>public</b> 1:8,13 4:15
17:4	outline 23:4	periods 21:20	problems 9:18	8:2,11 18:19
neighbors 36:4	output 23:22 26:8	perpendicular	<b>process</b> 16:6 19:13	26:22 34:11
never 7:13 10:10	outreach 20:18	36:12	24:4 37:6	<b>pumped</b> 12:16
new 35:14,15	overcoming 20:19	persistently 31:10	production 5:13,15	purchase 11:4,5
newest 10:1	overlap 22:7	personal 6:10,12	22:1	12:14 16:3 23:6
nice 31:14	overspending 22:9	35:7	professional 33:8	30:7,18
<b>nine</b> 6:16	owed 25:9	persons 5:5,10 6:20	<b>program</b> 1:22 3:22	purchased 11:18
<b>NOFA</b> 28:12 32:4	owner 18:5 19:20	12:9	5:2,7,10 7:9,12,13	31:8,11 32:12
32:19	owners 18:19	perspective 16:12	9:9,9,11 10:1,6	purchases 12:3
<b>nonprofit</b> 15:2 18:4	ownership 24:1	petition 40:1	11:3,4,6,14,17	<b>purpose</b> 4:14 9:8
nonprofits 19:3,22	O-F 2:1	petitions 39:18,21	12:15 13:6,7	purposes 8:15
20:7		phones 6:5	14:18 15:5,15	<b>put</b> 8:11 19:20
<b>note</b> 35:7	P	phrase 20:22	16:1,11 17:4 18:2	putting 8:12
notes 8:9	<b>P</b> 1:15,21 2:2	picture 30:11	19:19 20:14 22:19	P-R-O-C-E-E-D
<b>notice</b> 24:15	page 22:12,16 23:4	<b>piece</b> 24:13	23:6,8 35:2,4,7,18	3:1
not-for-profit 30:2	23:19 24:16,17	<b>pin</b> 26:10	35:22 36:22 37:4	<b>p.m</b> 1:15 3:2 41:6
November 1:10	paid 31:3	<b>Place</b> 30:15	37:10,15,20,21	
3:14	paint 35:9 36:5,16	planning 15:14	38:6,11,12,15	Q
<b>number</b> 7:4 24:18	36:17	playing 14:6	39:1	qualified 12:12
24:19	paragraph 22:18	please 6:4,14,22	programs 2:20	qualify 35:18
	1	, , , , ,		
	1	1	1	<u> </u>

quarter 14:21	39:18	Richardson 2:7	set 29:19	15:18
quarterly 40:3,7	reimbursable 19:9	10:20,21	seven 19:16	spirit 33:2,4
question 7:17 8:9	relates 25:4 27:17	ridiculous 25:19	share 33:20 34:17	<b>Spring</b> 30:15
25:12	relating 7:8	right 8:19,21 39:20	34:21 38:19	Sr 21:15
questions 7:8 8:6	relocation 27:9	rights 30:17	shared 33:6	stabilizing 20:16
8:11 22:2 29:5	Remarks 2:2	rolling 17:20	Shelter 5:3	stable 38:21
quick 17:14	renewal 36:8	room 1:13 33:2,6	shopping 27:2	staff 21:14 33:8
quick 17.14	renewed 39:2	roughly 14:13	shopping 27.2 short 8:5	36:22 37:1,14
R	renovated 18:17	Row 2:14 17:12	short 8.3 show 31:22	stakeholder 40:3,5
radiators 35:12	35:1	18:2,11 23:17	side 6:15	40:8
ranging 15:6	renovation 36:8	rowhouse 36:10	<b>silent</b> 6:6	start 13:20 40:13
reach 19:3	rent 39:16	<b>Ruffin</b> 7:4 28:1	Simms 1:22 3:21	State 34:12
ready-to-use 16:9	rental 30:8 39:17		7:7 17:18	stay 13:18 27:4
reality 20:3	repainted 35:12	S	single 7:8,11 13:2	41:1
realize 11:7 37:10	report 1:7 3:11	s 14:8 20:6	30:5 32:8 35:4	stays 21:3
really 15:1,16,18	4:12 21:19 22:3,4	Safe 35:2 38:12	single-family 5:19	stellar 26:5
16:17 19:22 20:1	39:10 40:22	<b>Samuel</b> 7:4 17:8	site 27:5	Stephanie 19:14
29:13 34:15,20	Reports 40:19	28:1,2	sites 27:7	steps 12:9
35:16,21 37:2,3,5	represents 19:21	sandwiched 28:8	situated 36:9	stewards 37:11
37:9,12,20 38:7,8	reputation 26:5	Saturday 32:22	situation 38:20	stimulate 14:17
<b>realtors</b> 13:1 40:9	request 17:6	saw 26:1	six 16:8	storefront 19:5,7
receive 23:11 26:20	requires 4:10	saying 29:5	<b>sized</b> 31:16	19:17 21:7 23:10
received 4:22 11:20	reside 34:14	says 10:10 22:20	<b>skills</b> 13:17	storefronts 23:12
20:1 22:15 23:8	resident 2:5,17,22	24:19,19	skipping 22:18	strategies 38:13
24:20,22 32:3,19	7:5 17:8 21:11,15	<b>SE</b> 1:14 19:1	small 13:21 14:5,19	strategy 29:1
37:16	34:8	<b>Section</b> 22:12,13,16	15:4,14 17:3 31:2	street 2:14 10:3
receives 4:21 12:18	residents 8:1 12:1	see 8:2 17:10 20:13	40:10	17:12 18:2,3 19:1
receiving 24:17	14:7 22:15 23:7	21:6 23:12 24:16	smaller 19:3	36:11
recognize 3:19	29:13 31:7,21	27:22 28:7,13	<b>smart</b> 15:7	strengthen 15:12
recommending	residing 13:8	36:14	<b>Smith</b> 18:9	structured 12:22
38:15	resources 24:9	<b>seeing</b> 37:14	<b>solely</b> 23:18	stumbled 38:5
record 8:11,13,17	33:12	seen 9:14,17 12:10	<b>solve</b> 29:17,20	substantial 39:18
34:11 41:6	respectful 6:14	36:15	<b>source</b> 13:1,2	39:22
recordation 5:14	respectively 40:14	<b>self</b> 38:3	sourced 11:2	successes 16:21
refreshed 39:2	response 8:4 29:5	senior 41:2	<b>space</b> 33:19 36:20	19:2
refreshing 37:9	responsibilities	<b>sense</b> 36:20	speak 6:11 23:5	successful 13:7
regarding 21:18	16:16	September 21:20	24:6,6,10 34:20	suggest 37:18
22:3 29:6	responsible 16:18	<b>series</b> 39:14	41:1	38:10
registered 6:17	responsive 37:2	serve 3:5	speakers 28:20	sum 25:9
28:1	rest 28:19 32:13	served 18:22	speaking 6:15	summary 22:4
regulation 40:2,7	result 22:14 23:9	serves 3:21	23:21 25:3 35:19	<b>Super</b> 28:12
rehab 7:9,12 9:9,19	resulted 12:8	service 5:7 25:20	speaks 24:18	<b>support</b> 5:18 14:4,5
39:22	retained 16:7	services 2:6 5:9,21	specifically 19:13	14:13 15:16,20
rehabed 32:6	retaining 14:20	9:2,6 17:4 18:14	23:21	16:22 17:1 18:13
rehabilitated 18:17	20:16	26:7 30:6 32:18	<b>spend</b> 22:10 30:9	26:22 27:1 31:1
rehabilitation	revitalize 4:5 6:2	37:16	spending 22:7	33:13
30:21 35:3,4	<b>RFP</b> 27:3,14	sessions 15:12	<b>spent</b> 4:13 7:13	suppose 17:22

sure 6:4,22 8:16	29:5 31:14 35:22	<u>U</u>	18:12 22:8,12	19:10 32:17
37:15	36:17 38:14	unable 18:9	23:6,10,17,20	working 9:14 10:2
survey 24:3	think 9:11,15,21	unaware 37:6	24:14 25:1,10,14	16:8 17:17 19:4
<b>system</b> 19:10	10:6 19:1 26:4,6	undergoing 32:5	26:13,18,21 27:12	19:14 30:19 34:1
<b>S.E</b> 3:18	31:20 39:11	undergoing 32.3 underserved 4:6	27:13 30:8 38:19	works 30:14
	thought 18:8	understand 6:9	38:22	workshop 39:19
T	thousands 13:8	17:17	wanted 19:12,22	workshops 15:6
<b>TA</b> 15:12	three 7:2 9:6 30:4	Unfortunately	29:12 37:15	wouldn't 16:21
table 3:20,21 23:5	Thursday 40:11	34:18	wants 27:4	written 6:21
take 13:19 27:13	time 12:13 14:9	unit 32:6	ward 2:5,7,17,22	
34:18 35:21 39:4	16:15 20:17 26:15	units 29:1,18 31:15	7:4 10:19 11:18	X
talk 22:19 23:20	27:18 30:5,19	31:16	11:19,20,21 12:5	<b>Xs</b> 22:21
24:14 26:13 28:6	31:10,13	University 2:6 9:2	12:5 21:11,15,15	
28:12	today 28:7 30:13	9:5	22:15 23:7,12,18	Y
talked 33:2	31:3 32:1	upgrade 23:12	23:18 24:8,12	<b>year</b> 1:6 3:11 7:9
talking 36:5	<b>Tom</b> 2:14 17:12	uplifting 28:15	26:3 34:14	7:14 11:8 14:2,16
<b>target</b> 29:13	18:1	upturn 12:10	<b>Wards</b> 19:4	15:22 17:6 32:7
taxes 5:14 12:19	tonight 4:1 6:3	Urban 2:8 4:9	Washington 1:14	years 12:6 19:17
20:12 25:10,11	17:11,15,19 18:9	10:19 11:2	2:8 3:18 10:19	20:13 30:4,22
technical 15:15	28:12 30:8 34:9	use 4:8 6:10 18:19	22:17 35:2	31:18 32:10 36:15
17:3 29:8 32:20	41:4	21:1	wasn't 9:13	<b>young</b> 31:12
35:13	tonight's 3:9 4:14	uses 5:12	Watson 2:17 21:12	Φ
technology 15:7	8:15 39:9 40:20	utilized 11:10,11	21:13,14 27:20	\$
telephone 24:3	<b>Tonya</b> 2:22 34:14	utilizes 5:17	way 12:21 19:20	<b>\$100</b> 14:3 16:10
tenant 16:3 30:7	tools 13:18	utilizing 12:14	33:19 36:4,16	\$147:11
40:3,5,12	<b>TOPA</b> 16:6 32:12	<b>U.S</b> 4:9 11:1	37:8	<b>\$187</b> 28:17 29:16
tenants 17:5 20:17	<b>topics</b> 39:20		ways 8:14	\$22,000 10:11
40:5	total 11:15 22:4,6	V	Wednesday 1:10	<b>\$229,822</b> 24:17,20
tend 20:22	<b>totally</b> 25:19	valuable 31:20	3:14	\$240,000 12:4
term 35:13	training 15:11	40:16	welcome 2:2 10:16	<b>\$250,000</b> 18:20
terms 23:9,22 24:8	32:20,22 33:18	value 26:1	went 11:21 41:6	\$32,852 12:5
24:13 25:3,16	transfer 5:14 12:19	values 12:12	<b>we'll</b> 6:11,16,19	\$328,805 11:12
<b>testified</b> 7:10 23:15	transitional 20:19	variety 5:18	8:12 39:16	\$357,000 14:19 \$36,604 12:5
testimonies 40:15	tremendous 33:2	verification 26:12	we're 3:15	\$36,604 12:5
testimony 6:18,21	<b>tried</b> 39:4	verified 26:9	we've 19:2,11	\$4,093,000 11:11
6:22 7:1 9:1	<b>Trust</b> 5:13 22:1	<b>vibe</b> 36:3	32:19	<b>\$4,250,309</b> 22:8
17:20 21:9,17	25:5	vibrancy 21:3	Williams 25:6	<b>\$4.5</b> 11:20 <b>\$43,133,074</b> 22:5
34:6,9,10,17 39:9	<b>try</b> 32:17	vibrate 6:6	windows 35:10	. / /
thank 3:8 8:21,22	<b>trying</b> 31:18 33:17	<b>victim</b> 36:18	wish 6:20	<b>\$47,383,397</b> 22:6 <b>\$56,000</b> 12:3
10:14 13:9,10,21	38:1	<b>vital</b> 15:16	witness 7:3	\$50,000 12:5
17:1,7 21:7,8,10	turn 16:12	voicing 6:10	witnesses 2:4 6:17	1
27:18,19 34:5	<b>twice</b> 24:16		6:19	1 19:4 21:20
39:4,6	two 7:4 22:21 28:8	W	women 32:8	<b>10th</b> 40:2,13
thanks 17:18 28:5	29:5	waiting 35:5	wonderful 36:3,6	<b>10,000</b> 29:1,18
40:15 41:3	<b>Tyson</b> 2:6 9:3,4,5	<b>wake</b> 14:3	work 9:5,19 18:22	<b>100</b> 16:7
thing 37:18	10:15,16	walk 36:13	28:6,14 35:5	<b>101</b> 15:12
things 23:16 26:18	<b>T-A-B-L-E</b> 2:1	<b>want</b> 8:15 16:19	worked 14:16	11 2:8

			Page 43
10 10 11	44 20 16		
<b>12</b> 19:11	4th 39:16		
<b>12th</b> 40:11,13	<b>4,500</b> 14:13		
<b>12:00</b> 40:13	<b>400</b> 15:11		
<b>13</b> 2:12 11:14	<b>42</b> 24:16		
<b>134</b> 11:18	<b>43</b> 24:17		
<b>136</b> 24:7			
<b>14</b> 7:15 33:1	5		
<b>1498</b> 30:15	<b>5</b> 19:4 24:17		
<b>154</b> 14:20	<b>53</b> 11:19		
<b>16</b> 16:6	<b>54</b> 11:13		
<b>17</b> 2:14	<b>590</b> 25:20		
	370 23.20		
<b>1800</b> 1:13 3:17	6		
<b>1850</b> 24:19	<b>6</b> 19:5		
<b>19</b> 16:6			
<b>193</b> 15:11	6:30 1:15		
<b>1987</b> 9:14	<b>6:39</b> 3:2		
	<b>6:40</b> 3:15		
2	<b>60</b> 31:6		
<b>2</b> 19:4 24:6	<b>61</b> 16:12		
<b>20</b> 1:10 3:14 30:4			
<b>20020</b> 3:18	7		
<b>2005</b> 30:18	<b>7</b> 2:5 11:18,21 12:5		
<b>2012</b> 16:14 21:20	<b>7,000</b> 11:6		
32:4	<b>7:21</b> 41:6		
<b>2013</b> 1:6,10 3:12,14	<b>733</b> 16:15		
11:8 14:2,12 16:5	<b>75</b> 16:2		
18:16 21:18,21			
22:20	8		
<b>2015</b> 17:6	<b>8</b> 2:5,17,22 7:4		
<b>2013</b> 17.0 <b>2020</b> 29:2,18	11:19,21 12:5		
	21:11,15,15 22:15		
<b>22</b> 2:17 22:12	23:7,12,18,19		
<b>24</b> 22:16	24:8,12 34:15		
<b>269</b> 11:9	<b>8A</b> 26:16		
<b>28</b> 2:20 14:19	8th 19:1		
3	<b>8.5</b> 11:15		
<b>3</b> 2:2 7:13,15 22:12	9		
22:13,16	92:6		
<b>3,500</b> 16:1	<b>996</b> 24:1,5		
<b>30</b> 21:21 29:14 33:1	7.021.1,5		
<b>31</b> 23:4			
<b>33.4</b> 7:21			
<b>35</b> 2:22 16:14 24:11			
<b>350</b> 15:10			
<b>39</b> 23:19			
4			
<b>4</b> 19:4 23:5			
	l		

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: FY 2013 CAPER Public Hearing

Before: DC DHCD

Date: 11-20-13

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &