DRAFT



Adrian M. Fenty, Mayor Valarie Santos, Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development Government of the District of Columbia





FY 2010 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

October 1, 2009 - September 30, 2010





Leila Finucane Edmonds, Director Department of Housing and Community Development 1800 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20020 Notice of Non-Discrimination

In accordance with the D.C. Human Rights Act of 1977, as amended, D.C. Official Code Section §§2-1401.01_et_seq_,(the "Act"), the District of Columbia does not discriminate on the basis of actual or perceived: race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, personal appearance, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, familial status, family responsibilities, matriculation, political affiliation, genetic information, disability, source of income, victim of an intra-family offense, or place of residence or business. Sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination which is prohibited by the Act. In addition, harassment based on any of the above protected categories is prohibited by the Act. Discrimination in violation of the Act will not be tolerated. Violators will be subject to disciplinary action.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FY2010 CAPER

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
A. Assessment of the Five-Year Goals and Objectives	4
B. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	9
Actions Taken to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing	9
Affirmative Marketing	
Language Access Act	
Impediments Identified in the Analysis	
Efforts to Remove Barriers to Affordable Housing	
C. Affordable Housing	
Specific Housing Goals and Objectives	
Section 215 Housing Opportunities	
Efforts to Address Worse Case Needs	
Non-Homeless Special Needs Population	
D. Continuum of Care	
Actions Taken to Address Homeless Needs	
Actions Taken to Prevent Homelessness	
E. Other Actions	
Address Obstacles to Meeting Under-Served Needs	
Foster and Maintain Affordable Housing	
Eliminate Barriers to Affordable Housing	
Institutional Structure and Coordination	
Improve Public Housing and Resident Initiatives	
Evaluate and Reduce Lead-based Paint Hazards	
Compliance with Program and Comprehensive Planning Requirements	
Antipoverty Strategy	
Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies	
Section 3	
F. Leveraging Resources	
Matching Funds	
G. Citizen Comment	
H. Self Evaluation	
I. Monitoring and Compliance	39
Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs)	
Community-Based Organizations (CBOs)	
Status of Grant Programs	
J. CDBG Program and Use of Funds	41
Changes in Program Objectives	
K. HOME Program and Use of Funds HOME Match Requirement	
HOME Match Requirement	
•	
L. HOPWA Program and Use of Funds	
Distribution of HOPWA across the Washington DC EMSA	
Summary of Achievements	
M. ESG Program and Use of Funds	
Distribution of Funds by Goals	

ESG Matching Funds Method of Distribution Activity and Beneficiary Data	
Appendix A Development Finance Division FY2010 Funded Activities	61
Appendix B Residential Community Services FY2010 Funded Activities	75
Appendix C Income Levels	77
Appendix D Map of Funded Projects in FY2010	79
Appendix E Public Notice	81
Appendix F HOME Match Report	
Appendix G Map of CDBG Eligible Areas and Geographic Target Areas	87
Appendix H HOPWA FY2010 CAPER	89
Appendix I IDIS Reports	

TABLES

Table 1: Summary of Accomplishments, FY2010	2
Table 2: Outcome Performance Measurements (FY06 – FY10)	6
Table 3: Summary of Specific FY2010 Objectives	15
Table 4: Georgia Avenue NRSA Performance Data	29
Table 5: Carver, Langston, Ivy City, Trinidad NRSA Performance Data	30
Table 6: FY2010 CDBG Program (CD-29) Budget	41
Table 7: FY2010 HOME Program Budget	43
Table 8: FY2010 HOPWA Program EMSA-Wide Budget	46
Table 9: Summary of Specific HOPWA Objectives for FY2010	48
Table 10: Summary of Specific Homeless Objectives for FY2010	53
Table 11: ESG Program Expense, FY2010	53
Table 12: Local ESG Match Expenditures for 2010	55
Table 13: 2010 Continuum of Care Project Priorities	57

ABBREVIATIONS_

ADAP	AIDS Drug Assistance Program
ADDI	American Dream Down-payment Initiative
AFHMP	Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan
AI	Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice
AMI	Area Median Income
CAPER	Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report
CBDO	Community-Based Development Organization
CDBG	Community Development Block Grant
CDC	Centers for Disease Control
CHDO	Community Housing Development Organization
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
CPP	Citizen Participation Plan
DCHA	District of Columbia Housing Authority
DCRA	Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs
DFD	DHCD's Development Finance Division
DHCD	Department of Housing and Community Development
DHS	Department of Human Services
DMPED	Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development
DOES	Department of Employment Services
DOH	Department of Health
DSLBD	Department of Small and Local Business Development
EAHP	Employer Assisted Housing Program
EMSA	Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area
ESG	Emergency Shelter Grant
FHIP	Fair Housing Initiatives Program
FRPAP	First Right Purchase Assistance Program
FY2010	Fiscal Year 2010
HAHSTA	HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, STD, and Tuberculosis Administration
HAP	Homeownership Assistance Program
HCVP	Housing Choice Voucher Program
HDS	Housing Development Software
HoDIF	Home Ownership Developers Incentive Fund
HoFEDD	Housing Finance for the Elderly, Dependent, and Disabled
HOME	HOME Investment Partnerships Program
HOPWA	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS
HPAP	Home Purchase Assistance Program
HPTF	Housing Production Trust Fund
HQS	Housing Quality Standards
HUD	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
IDIS	Integrated Disbursement and Information System
LAA	D.C. Language Access Act of 2004
LAHDO	Land Acquisition for Housing Development Opportunities
LBP	Lead-Based Paint
LIHTC	Low-Income Housing Tax Credit
LISC	Local Initiatives Support Corporation
LSDBEs	Local, Small, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprises
LSW	Lead Safe Washington

National Capital Revitalization Corporation
Neighborhood Investment Fund Target Areas
Notice of Funding Availability
Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas
DHCD's Office of Program Monitoring
Pre-Apprenticeship Readiness Training
DHCD's Residential and Community Services Division
Request for Applications
Request for Proposals
Site Acquisition Funding Initiative
Single Family Residential Rehabilitation Program
Tenant Based Rental Assistance
Tenant Purchase Technical Assistance Program
Uniform Relocation Act
Water and Sewer Authority
Workforce Investment Council

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Fiscal Year 2010 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (the "FY2010 CAPER") is a summary of the accomplishments under the District of Columbia's Fiscal Year 2010 Annual Action Plan (the "FY2010 Annual Action Plan"). The FY2010 CAPER consists of narrative statements which explain the progress made in carrying out the activities, and achieving the objectives and priorities set forth in the FY2010 Annual Action Plan. It also describes the methods used to comply with federal regulations. Appendices with tables and reports supply additional details about the use of federal entitlement funding for the District of Columbia ("DC"). All of this information serves to document the significant amount of work contributed by the DC Department of Housing and Community Development ("DHCD") and community partners in an effort to carry out the priorities of the District's Five-Year Consolidated Plan ("Consolidated Plan").

The CAPER is submitted in accordance with regulations governing Consolidated Submissions for Community Planning and Development Programs (24 CFR 91.520) and Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reporting requirements as directed by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). The purpose is to report on DHCD's use of federal entitlement funding allocated from HUD and related program income. The federal entitlement funding and related program income sources, listed below, are from the Community Development Block Grant Program ("CDBG"), the HOME Investment Partnerships Program ("HOME"), the Emergency Shelter Grant Program ("ESG"), and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Grant Program ("HOPWA"). DHCD has been designated by the District of Columbia to receive and administer the entitlement funds allocated through the Consolidated Plan. However, the HOPWA grant is received directly by, and is administered by, the HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, STD, and Tuberculosis Administration (previously known as the HIV/AIDS Administration) of the DC Department of Health ("DOH").

Fiscal Year 2010 Federal Funding:

CDBG Entitlement Allocation	\$18,179,591
CDBG Program Income	9,333,558
HOME Entitlement Allocation	9,322,221
Home Program Income	1,384,684
ESG Entitlement Allocation	802,910
HOPWA Entitlement Allocation	12,213,518
Total:	\$51,236,482

<u>Fiscal Year 2010 Actual Expenditures:</u>

CDBG	\$30,147,736
HOME	13,009,473
ESG	569,537
HOPWA	12,605,820
Total:	\$56,332,566

DHCD is pleased to report solid performance across all priority areas and specific objectives in FY2010. Although performance in some program areas was directly impacted by the national recession, DHCD's performance in other areas met or exceeded targets despite the austere resource environment. This exemplifies the broad array of programs offered and evidences

DHCD's continuing efforts to be effective stewards of federal funds in the service of District residents.

DHCD has continued to be successful in providing and expanding affordable housing opportunities for District residents while facing a very challenging housing and economic market. In previous years, high land and construction costs, a great demand to live in the District, and intense competition from private housing developers all contributed to the difficulty of creating and maintaining affordable units. In FY2010, land and construction costs remained high in the District. At the same time, the District, like many jurisdictions across the country, faced rapidly diminishing local resources in addition to limited credit and private financing options. Despite these challenges, DHCD still created, preserved or assisted in the rehabilitation of 2,505 affordable ownership or rental units. The availability of HUD resources to leverage funds from other public and private sources were essential in the achievements of the objectives and priorities set forth in the Consolidated Plan and the FY2010 Annual Action Plan.

Table 1 is a summary of DHCD's accomplishments relative to the objectives and priorities set forth in the FY2010 Annual Action Plan.

	v	• <i>•</i>	
Total affordable housing units funded	2,505	Comprehensive housing counseling sessions	17,990
'New ownership' units and households funded	689	Technical assistance services provided to small businesses	2,562
First-time homebuyers funded by the Home Purchase Assistance Program (HPAP)	362	Loans or grants by the Single Family Rehab Program	50
First Right Purchase Assistance Program (Tenant Purchase) units funded	340	Affordable housing units rehabilitated	1,021
Special needs housing units funded	475	Storefront façades improved	73

 Table 1: Summary of Accomplishments, FY2010

Other accomplishments in FY2010 include the following:

- DHCD applied for and secured 100% of the funds allocated to the District by formula for which DHCD was the designated recipient by either the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) or the U.S. Treasury Department, including funds under the following programs: \$9,550,562 for the Neighborhood Stabilization program (Round Two); \$20,994,850 for Section 1602 (Grants in Lieu of Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program); \$11,644,346 for the Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP); \$7,489,476 for the Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-housing Program (HPRP); \$4,896,122 for the Community Development Block Grant-Recovery (CDBG-R); and \$2,616,843 for Lead Hazard Reduction Grants.
- DHCD submitted its Five-Year Consolidated Plan (FY11-15) and Annual Action Plan for FY11 to the Washington Field Office of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban

Development (HUD) following nearly a year of intensive community outreach and input.

- DHCD held the 2nd Annual Housing Expo & Foreclosure Clinic at the Walter E. Washington Convention Center where approximately 900 people interacted with representatives from the mortgage industry, community-based organizations, and DC government agencies offering information, services and referrals to attendees.
- The Housing Resource Center (HRC) was fully operational and approximately 6,500 stakeholders visited the HRC for counter services regarding DHCD services and programs.
- DHCD, DCRA, OP and OAG formed a multi-agency IZ implementation team to create the administrative framework for IZ implementation. IZ successfully became law in the District of Columbia in FY10. DHCD is in the final stages of implementing an IT solution for IZ, which will provide an efficient and effective management tool as well as make the IZ program accessible via the internet.
- DHCD's Development Finance Division committed and funded 100% of its TCAP and CDBG-R allocations, having closed six projects with these funds (four TCAP and two CDBG-R), with one additional TCAP project slated to close in FY 2011.
- DHCD's Lead Safe Washington (LSW) program successfully began implementation of the Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant for DC.
- DHCD successfully implemented the NEAHP Program. The Home Purchase Assistance Program (HPAP) staff promoted the new NEAHP benefit in partnership with the community-based organizations funded by DHCD to do intake and outreach for DHCD's residential and community service programs, the Greater Washington Urban League (GWUL), and the unions that represent the eligible union employees.

Despite challenging times, in FY2010, DHCD funded 2,505 total units of affordable housing, 38 percent higher than the 1,820 units initially projected. This number includes units financed for acquisition, rehabilitation and new construction, as well as lead multi-family and Home Purchase Assistance Program units. Focusing on infrastructure and efficiency, DHCD used creative and resourceful methods to fund as many affordable housing units as possible. In addition, the Department also met community development goals in the areas of neighborhood-based activities, including housing counseling, small business technical assistance, façade improvements, and crime prevention and youth programs. Housing counseling was a particular focus in FY2010. DHCD worked with several agencies, non-profit organizations, community-based grantees, and the private sector to enhance outreach, education, and counseling around foreclosure prevention and loss mitigation within the District.

A. Assessment of the Five-Year Goals and Objectives

FY2010 marked the fifth and final year of the District of Columbia's 5-year Consolidated Plan. The Five-Year Consolidated Plan for Fiscal Year 2006–2010 included specific objectives and priorities pertaining to suitable living environments, decent housing, and economic development activities to be achieved during the five-year period. These objectives and priorities, designed to assist persons of low- and moderate-income, are identified on an annual basis in the five Annual Action Plans. They include the following:

- Creation and retention of affordable homeownership and rental housing through new production, preservation, and rehabilitation; comprehensive housing counseling; and eviction prevention and homeless assistance;
- Expansion of homeownership through first-time homebuyer financial assistance, and technical assistance and counseling to convert rental properties to affordable homeownership; and
- Support of neighborhood-based economic and community revitalization activities through business expansion and retention services.

In an effort to prioritize needs and efficiently allocate resources, DHCD collaborated with citizens, elected officials, public-private agencies, and nonprofit organizations to determine community development needs for FY2010. The main areas of need acknowledged were affordable housing, economic development, and homelessness prevention and social service goals for the District of Columbia. DHCD is pleased to report solid performance across all priority areas and specific objectives.

During FY2010, DHCD focused on three strategic areas: (1) preserving and increasing the supply of affordable housing through new construction and rehabilitation; (2) increasing homeownership opportunities; and (3) revitalizing neighborhoods, promoting community development, and providing economic opportunities. To help meet the diverse housing needs of the District's low- to moderate-income residents, DHCD operated programs for individuals, developers, and community groups. In addition, completing the third year of integration of the local Housing Regulation Administration into its organizational structure, DHCD also worked to ensure the preservation and maintenance of affordable rental housing by regulating building sales and conversion, administering the DC Rental Housing Act of 2006, and by enforcing the DC Tenant Opportunity to Purchase Act (TOPA).

In terms of preserving and increasing affordable housing, DHCD provided funding for the predevelopment, rehabilitation and construction of 2,624 affordable units for both multi-family and single-family developments over the past year.

With respect to homeownership, DHCD provided loans for down payment and closing costs for 362 new first-time homeowners through our Home Purchase Assistance Program (HPAP). DHCD also converted 340 rental units into home ownership by assisting tenants in acquiring their rental units for condominium or co-op ownership under the District of Columbia's First Right to Purchase Assistance Program. DHCD assisted 85 families to remain in their homes by providing loans and grants for rehabilitation, including repairs for accessibility improvements, eliminating code violations, lead remediation, and the replacement of lead pipes. DHCD also provided housing counseling to 17,990 tenants, home buyers and new homeowners to increase access to housing and stable homeownership.

In connection with neighborhood revitalization and addressing community needs, DHCD activities ranged from small business technical assistance to individual assistance to prevent homelessness. As part of our neighborhood investments over the past year, DHCD funded technical assistance for 3,564 small neighborhood businesses and completed construction on façade improvement projects for 73 small businesses. As part of efforts to prevent homelessness, with ESG funds, emergency assistance was provided to prevent 123 households from becoming homeless and shelter was provided for 113 families in a family shelter.

The following Table 2 shows the District's progress from FY 2006 through 2010 in addressing the priorities set by the community.

OBJECTIVE: SUITABLE LIVING ENVIRONMENT	Etdec.	Actual -	Etoec.	Actuar -	Etoen.	Actual Poo	Etoer.	Actual Cog	Etoer.	Actual Actual	S. Lear	S.Vear	S. Vear of
Outcome: Availability/Accessibility													
Support homeless families.	55	103	45	76	60	115	70	114	56	113	286	521	182.17%
Promote home ownership through the													
reclamation of abandoned properties.	5	5	6	27	10	29	15	34	15	17	51	112	219.61%
Outcome: Sustainability													
Support property management	94	34	75	187	75	176	100	182	150	179	494	758	153.44%
Enhance function and apperarance of business facilities to strengthen commercial													
corridors.	100	120	50	25	39	45	90	32	100	73	379	295	77.84%
Conduct program monitoring activities	60	90	68	73	70	71	75	76	70	192	343	502	146.36%

Table 2: Outcome Performance Measurements (FY06 – FY10)

OBJECTIVE: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Etoeo.	Actual Poo	Etoer.	Actual .	Etoer.	Actual -	Etoen.	Actual -	Etoer.	Achusi			<mark><</mark> <	
Outcome: Sustainability														
Support retention and growth of local neighborhood businesses.	1500	1205	1500	3292	1450	3106	700	2113	2000	3564	7150	13280	185.73%	

	toec.	Actual FL	Crossies (06)	Actual F.	Etoecic (o)	Actual C	Etoecce	Actual E	Etoecc.	Actual C	S.Lear	S. Lear Z	S. Lear S. C. S. Lear S. S. C. S.
OBJECTIVE: DECENT HOUSING	4	/ Ÿ	4	/ Ÿ	4	/ 🏹	4	/ ₹	4	/ 🏹	<u> </u>	<u>/ </u>	<u> </u>
Outcome: Availability/Accessibility													
Provide counseling to tenants in assisted housing													
with expiring subsidies, to prevent involuntary	4000	4007	2000	4700	4000	5074	2500	0577	2500		44000	45007	404 500/
displacement. Provide housing information services to persons	1883	1637	3000	4782	1800	5371	2500	3577	2500		11003	15367	131.53%
living with HIV/AIDS.	1450	2173	1850	5844	1850	6917	3200	11400	3000		11350	26334	232.02%
Provide supportive services to HOPWA assisted	1450	2173	1050	5044	1050	0917	3200	11400	3000		11350	20334	232.02 /0
housing	2000	3170	2098	3695	2000	4373	2000	2472	2000		10098	13710	135.77%
Provide operating support to housing for persons	2000	0110	2000	0000	2000	-515	2000	2412	2000		10000	10710	10011170
living with HIV/AIDS.	30	38	478	305	400	221	400	274	350		1658	838	50.54%
Provide Comprehensive housing counseling													
services to low/moderate income households	7992	15528	15000	19079	15000	17338	15000	12532	15000	17990	67992	82467	121.29%
Outcome: Affordability													
Preserve and increase rental housing supply for													
low-moderate income, extremely low and very low-													
income residents.	1800	1402	1800	1526	1800	1990	1700	1196	1700	1595	8800	7709	87.60%
Increase supply of new single and multi-family													
ownership housing units.	200	1178	200	1247	200	305	1000	403	1000	910	2600	4043	155.50%
Support tenants through tenant organizations with													
first right to purchase assistance to convert rental	4700	0057	4700	0000	4700	0470	0000	0.400	0000	0004	0400	00004	
units to ownership units.	1700	9657	1700	2688	1700	8170	2000	3482	2000	2364	9100	26361	289.68%
Increase homeownership opportunites for first time low-moderate income residents	240	278	250	513	475	508	500	320	300	362	1765	1981	112.24%
Preserve and Increase homeownership	240	218	250	513	413	508	500	320	300	302	1765	1901	112.24%
opportunities for very low and low-income													
residents who are HOME income eligible.	05	50		400	20	404	40	440	20	50	400	404	201 2201
	25	59	38	100	30	161	40	113	30	58	163	491	301.23%
Preserve existing homeownership through assistance with rehabilitation to code.													
	60	86	60	125	60	142	70	66	75	85	325	504	155.08%
Assist conversion of rental units to condominium /													
co-op ownership units.	150	691	150	149	250	282	200	59	100	340	850	1521	178.94%
Prevent increases in homelessness	190	220	176	232	200	115	200	183	111	123	877	873	99.54%
Provide TBRA rental subsidies to person with													
HIV/AIDS	739	563	817	616	790	749	700	680	700		3746	2608	69.62%
Provide short-term rental, mortgage and utility													
assistance to persons with AIDS.	600	996	500	386	500	428	500	288	500		2600	2098	80.69%

B. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

As an entitlement jurisdiction DHCD is a recipient of federal funding and must adhere to Section 808(e)(5) of the Fair Housing Act, which requires Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing ("AFFH"). As part of its responsibility for implementing the Consolidated Plan, DHCD is committed to promoting fair housing choice for all residents of the District. In 2005, DHCD conducted an Analysis of Impediments (the AI"), and has since taken appropriate actions to overcome the adverse effects of impediments identified through the analysis. DHCD maintains records reflecting actions taken in this regard. This includes a comprehensive review of the District's "rules, regulations, business practices, administrative policies practices, and procedures, laws, legislation, and other factors created by the private and government sectors," which could directly or indirectly affect or create an impediment to "fair housing choice" in the District of Columbia. DHCD is currently working on updating is AI. In accordance with Section 104 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (24CFR Part 570.496(a), DHCD certifies that it will affirmatively further fair housing.

Actions Taken to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing

The 2005 AI looked at actions that could have a restricting effect on housing choice (impediments), as well as provided recommendations for the District to address the impediments. During the past year, District activities to increase housing opportunities for the general public and persons with special needs have included the following:

- **Interagency partnership** DHCD has partnered with the DC Department of Mental Health (DMH) to increase funding for affordable housing units which will house DMH consumers, including persons with disabilities.
- **Community-Based Organization (CBO) partnerships** DHCD partnered with CBOs and other institutions to implement an integrated legal assistance, housing counseling, and financial assistance program to constituents.
- Inclusionary zoning DHCD, working with the DC Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development and with the DC Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs, implemented the DC Inclusionary Zoning Program. This innovative regulatory program requires that developers of 10 or more units set aside up to 10 percent of the residential units for affordable housing. In return, they receive a 20% density bonus to build the affordable housing and market rate housing.
- **DCHousingSearch.org** DHCD launched its interactive website where individuals can browse up-to-date, detailed listings of available for-rent and for-sale properties. Listed properties include those developed or renovated with DHCD or DC Housing Finance Agency funding, as well as privately managed properties (those affordable to households with incomes up to 120% of AMI and those managed under the DC Housing Authority's Housing Choice Voucher Program). **DCHousingSearch.org** provides the ability to search using criteria such as number of bedrooms and baths; rent and deposit requirements; location (including a mapping feature); special amenities; as well as accessibility features for people with disabilities.
- **R-HOME consortium meetings** DHCD held monthly meetings with the R-HOME consortium of industry, government and community based organizations to discuss the effects of predatory lending on District constituents, ways to assist homeowners with potentially troubled mortgages, and how to offer responsible and safe home financing products to lower income households.

• **Targeted foreclosure prevention** – The Department has identified high interest first trust loans in its portfolio, and proactively worked with these borrowers to avoid foreclosure. Names are forwarded to a partner housing counseling agency to contact and counsel if necessary, in an effort to prevent default.

Affirmative Marketing

DHCD instituted and continues to enforce an internal certification process for all of its housing projects (new construction, rehabilitation and acquisition), as well as its service programs. The certification process ensures compliance with federal and local laws, and regulations. The process also ensures housing construction projects and service programs are affirmatively marketed and accessible to groups least likely to know about the services or housing.

As the agency charged with ensuring compliance with affirmatively furthering fair housing, DHCD aims to ensure prospective buyers, tenants and service recipients, regardless of their protected category, are given an opportunity to be informed about programs and prospective housing developments across the District, and feel welcome to apply. Through the certification process, DHCD brings greater diversity to areas that are suspected of having been subjected to housing discrimination based on the residents' protected class. Another result of the certification is that communities not likely to apply for the housing without special outreach efforts are targeted (due to self or forced segregation, linguistic isolation, neighborhood racial or ethnic composition and patterns, location, and or the price of housing, about the availability of housing).

In the program arena, the certification process is standard for all HUD programs. This certification ensures that non-housing activities, a standalone program or one associated with new current construction or rehabilitation project also abides by the affirmative marketing principle. DHCD requests completion of an Affirmative Marketing Plan ("AMP") certification form for those types of projects. For housing projects, an Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan (AFHMP) certification is submitted with accompanying information on residential housing projects of five units or more, whether these are located in one parcel or a scattered multi-family project.

Language Access Act

The District of Columbia Language Access Act of 2004 (LAA) is designed to provide greater access and participation by "Limited English Proficiency" or "No-English Proficiency" (LEP/NEP) communities in public services, programs, and activities. The District's implementation and monitoring of the LAA supports the Fair Housing Act's affirmatively furthering fair housing requirement by ensuring equal opportunity and accessibility to programs and services to all District residents.

Since 2001, DHCD has partnered with both the Mayor's Office on Latino Affairs and the Mayor's Office on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs, and more recently with the Mayor's Office on African Affairs to ensure the Department's programs and information are available in the appropriate languages.

The agency has implemented the approved 2009–2010 Biannual Language Access Action Plan by adhering to the LAA's five action objectives:

- 1. A thorough review of each agency's mechanisms for data collection in order to design better outreach programs for target LEP communities;
- 2. The use of oral language (interpretation) services to ensure agency's message on how to access the programs and services is reaching and understood by these communities;
- 3. The translation of vital documents in the appropriate language of the community targeted which depicts agency information on how services are acquired or vital information which could have a direct impact on heath or safety;
- 4. Ensuring cultural competency, awareness and sensitivity training is provided to staff; and
- 5. The creation of targeted LEP/NEP community educational outreach material to ensure language barriers do not impede District residents from accessing programs and services.

Each District agency reports on its LAC achievements to the DC Office of Human Rights ("OHR") on a quarterly basis. These results are analyzed yearly and are the basis for the agency's bi-annual plan.

DHCD holds an annual diversity and LAA training to ensure its public contact personnel are well appraised of the law and compliance measures.

DHCD proactively ensures that all LEP/NEP Communities have the agency's information through its sub-recipients and directly through the agency's website where a fact sheet about the pertinent programs can be found for easy download. The program information is available in Amharic, Chinese, Spanish, and Vietnamese. DHCD has also ensured that a bilingual interpreter is available at its public hearings.

The addition of the Housing Regulation Administration to the Department and the establishment of the enhanced Housing Resource Center in FY2009 have greatly increased the opportunities to further engage and do outreach to the LEP community on DHCD programs and housing services.

Impediments Identified in the Analysis

The District continues to address the four major areas of impediments to fair housing choice found in the 2005 AI. These were:

- 1. Lack of compliance of fair housing laws by the real estate and housing industry (real estate, lending/mortgaging, insurance/appraisals, etc.).
- 2. Decreasing number of affordable housing units for low and moderate-income households and special needs residents in target neighborhoods already experiencing a shrinking market.
- 3. Information on full range of housing available across many District neighborhoods offering affordable housing is not made available to individuals and families seeking homes due to segregated residential patterns.
- 4. Low levels of home buying literacy among particular protected classes and a high degree of home seekers with no or blemished credit history.

Locally, the complaint evidence from the D.C Office of Human Rights shows that discrimination continues at its current pace and in some instances, it has increased. Real estate and mortgage abuses have aggravated economic problems for low-income families, as they are much more susceptible to scams that promise to get them into a home with limited income.

Efforts to Remove Barriers to Affordable Housing

The District, through DHCD and other agencies, maintains and continues to implement strong measures that remove potential barriers to fair housing. Several of our efforts are listed below:

- 1. Housing Production Trust Fund The District continues to maintain a dedicated source of local funding for housing production through its Housing Production Trust Fund (HPTF) that is managed by DHCD.
- 2. **Rental Housing Conversion and Sale Amendment Act of 2005** DC continues to enforce the Rental Housing Conversion and Sale Amendment Act of 2005 this strong First Right of Refusal law is now administered at DHCD, more effectively aligning the purpose of the law with the Department charged with creating and preserving affordable housing opportunities.
- 3. The Housing Regulations Administration (HRA) HRA, which includes the Rental Accommodations Division, the Rental Conversion and Sale Division and the Housing Resource Center, continues to administer the District's rental housing regulations and now implements the District's Inclusionary Zoning program. The HRA is able to provide a faster response to apartment building conversion concerns which can affect low income and disenfranchised tenants since the notification process is streamlined within the agency and displacement can be avoided or prevented altogether.
- 4. **Residential and Community Services Division** The FY2010 Request for Applications (RFA) by the Residential and Community Services Division (RCS) addressed the needs of underserved areas by providing funds for housing counseling organizations targeting various communities.
- 5. **Program Monitoring Division** Through the Program Monitoring Division's fair housing unit, DHCD provides technical assistance and training to sub-recipients on fair housing and accessibility compliance for construction projects and programs. As well, DHCD continues to monitor all its sub-recipients to ensure compliance with fair housing and equal opportunity laws and regulations.
- 6. Fair Housing Symposium The District held its Ninth Annual Fair Housing Symposium in April 2010. This year, DHCD partnered with the DC Office of Human Rights and the Equal Rights Center to bring District residents and service providers a day full of experts in the areas of accessibility, policy, predatory lending, language access and immigration as it relates to fair housing. This year's theme, "Furthering Fair Housing, Pathway to Opportunity," and the program's panel discussions echoed the national sentiment of change.
- 7. **Community-Based Organization partners** The Department partners with nonprofit and private sector housing advocates and practitioners to affirmatively further fair housing and provide greater education coverage of housing and fair housing issues to target communities. This is accomplished by funding community-based organizations to provide outreach and education to District residents who are tenants

and homeowners on topics such a purchase programs for first time homeowners, comprehensive homeownership and housing counseling, foreclosure prevention and assistance for relocation, and location of apartments. DHCD has contracted with Marshall Heights Community Development Organization, University Legal Services, Latino Economic Development Corporation, Lydia's House and the Central American Resource Center, among others, to provide comprehensive housing services.

- 8. **Targeted spending of scarce resources** While the impact of the current economic and housing environment has created new challenges in efforts to preserve homeownership in diverse communities, the Department has partnered with community-based, private sector and nonprofit partners such as the Fannie Mae Corporation and the Urban Institute to ensure effective analysis and targeting of scarce resources to maximize outreach and education to empower residents about their choices.
- 9. Americans with Disabilities Act training program For several years DHCD hosts an annual mandatory accessibility compliance training covering Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Fair Housing Act as Amended, and the Americans with Disabilities Act for staff and sub-recipients. This year, the seventh annual training is scheduled for December 2010. The training covers practical and regulatory actions and requirements concerning accessible features for multifamily and single family housing and its parallels in the local municipal and federal regulations.

Likewise, other housing agencies and non-profit community development corporations also offer tools for assisting first time homebuyers and residents in crisis. The DC Housing Finance Agency has a Home Resource Center dedicated to education and training to first time homebuyers on homeownership opportunities. Manna, Inc., a non-profit housing corporation which builds affordable housing, also has its own first time home buyer training program and mortgage assistance program, through a sister organization, for low income residents citywide.

C. Affordable Housing

The challenges in FY2010 continue to be the increasing cost of housing, competition for a shrinking pool of affordable units, the impact of housing costs on the most vulnerable populations, the need for a well-educated and well-paid workforce to match living costs, regional employment trends, lack of access to transportation to regional employment opportunities, and the threat of displacement due to rising rents and/or the expiration of federally subsidized housing.

DHCD administers a number of programs that create and preserve opportunities for affordable housing and economic development, and revitalize underserved communities. DHCD continues to utilize its competitive funding process to target specific projects to meet achieve this mission, by issuing RFPs for development and acquisition projects and RFA for service-oriented grants. Additionally, DHCD works with partner organizations—including private, non-profit or semi-governmental development and financing entities—to provide housing and economic opportunities for low-to-moderate income residents.

For each fiscal year, based on community consultation, experiences within the marketplace, U.S. Census and other data, DHCD establishes objectives to meet the District's priority needs. These objectives are identified in the Department's Annual Action Plan. Table 3 presents a summary of DHCD's specific objectives for FY2010 within the categories specified by HUD and consistent with the District's priorities.

Specific Housing Goals and Objectives

During FY2010, DHCD assisted 362 first-time homeowners with loans from the Home Purchase Assistance Program (HPAP), and assisted another 340 units in the conversion from rental units to ownership as condominiums or co-ops. DHCD also provided housing counseling assistance to 17,990 individuals. This goal was revised during the year to reflect the actual level of activity and demand for services.

DHCD increased the supply of affordable housing by funding rehabilitation of multi-family and single-family units or pre-construction of new units for a total of 2,505 units. Among the affordable units supported were 1,864 rehabilitated multi-family or single-family units and 641 new construction multi-family or single-family units. Of the units funded in FY2010, 722 units were affordable to very low-income residents, 1007 units were affordable to low-income residents, and 776 units were affordable to low-to-moderate income residents.

Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/ Objective*
		Rental Housing			
Preserve and increase rental housing supply for low-moderate income, extremely low and very low-income residents.	CDBG, HOME, HPTF	 No. of affordable units No. of Section 504 accessible units No. of years of affordability Units in compliance with Lead Safe Housing Rule 	1,700	1,529	DH-2 DH-1
Support tenants through tenant	CDBG,	• No. of tenants receiving	2,000	2,364	DH-2

 Table 3: Summary of Specific FY2010 Objectives

Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/ Objective*
organizations with first right to purchase assistance to convert rental units to ownership units.	HOME	counseling for unit purchase			
Provide counseling to tenants in assisted housing with expiring subsidies, to prevent involuntary displacement	CDBG	• No. of tenants receiving counseling	2,500		DH-1
*		Owner Housing			
Increase homeownership opportunities for first time low- moderate income residents	CDBG, HOME, LOCAL	 No. of first-time homebuyers No. receiving down-payment assistance/ closing costs 	500	362	DH-2
Increase homeownership opportunities for very low- and low-income residents who are HOME-income eligible	HOME	 No. of first-time homebuyers No. receiving down-payment assistance/ closing costs 	30	58	DH-2
Preserve existing home- ownership through assistance with rehabilitation to code standards.	CDBG, HOME, HPTF	• No. of owner-occupied units rehabilitated or improved	70	85	DH-2
Increase supply of new single and multi-family ownership housing units	CDBG, HOME, HPTF	 No. of affordable units No. of Section 504 accessible units No. of years of affordability 	1,000	976	DH-2
Assist conversion of rental units to condominium /co-op ownership units.	CDBG, HPTF	 No. of units No. of affordable units No. of years of affordability 	200	340	DH-2
Promote home ownership through the reclamation of abandoned properties.	CDBG	 No. of units No. of affordable units No. of years of affordability 	15	35	DH-2
Provide comprehensive housing counseling services to low/moderate-income individuals.	CDBG	No. of low/moderate income individuals served.	15,000	17,990	DH-1
		Community Development			
Support retention and growth of local neighborhood businesses	CDBG	• No. of businesses assisted	2,000	3,564	EO-3
Fulance function - 1	CDDC	Economic Development			
Enhance function and appearance of business facilities to strengthen commercial corridors.	CDBG	• No. of businesses assisted	100	73	SL-3
	-	Planning/Administrative			
Conduct program monitoring activities	CDBG, HOME	• No. of monitoring activities	70	192	N/A

Section 215 Housing Opportunities

Section 215 of the Affordable Housing Act contains eligibility requirements for affordable housing as well as a definition, specifically pertaining to the HOME program. Sections 92.252

and 92.254 under Title 24 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 92 (24 CFR 92) further explains rental and owner-occupied HOME housing criteria necessary to qualify as Section 215 housing.

As such, during FY 2010, three types of assistance qualified as Section 215 housing:

- A total of 58 households received down payment assistance using HOME dollars through our HPAP program, which are allowable under HOME laws, regulations, and guidelines;
- No single-family owner occupied households were rehabilitated using HOME funds under the single-family rehabilitation program; and,
- 100 multifamily units were acquired, rehabilitated or constructed using HOME funds.

Efforts to Address Worse Case Needs

In FY2010, the District took several steps to address worse case housing needs, which are defined as low-income households who live in seriously substandard housing or have been involuntarily displaced.

The most important step taken by the District government was to use a dedicated source of local funding for the production of affordable housing. The Housing Production Trust Fund (HPTF or "Fund"), authorized by the Housing Production Trust Fund Act of 1988 as amended by the Housing Act of 2002, is a local source of money for affordable housing development. Capital for the HPTF is supplied from the legislated share of DC deed recordation taxes and real estate transfer taxes, currently 15%. The Fund is designed to direct assistance toward the housing needs of the most vulnerable District residents—very low- and extremely low-income renters. Pending the receipt of feasible project proposals, the statute requires that:

- 1. A minimum of 40 percent of all Fund monies disbursed each year must benefit households earning up to 30 percent of the area median income (AMI);
- 2. A second minimum of 40 percent of the Fund monies must benefit households earning between 31 and 50 percent of the AMI;
- 3. The remainder must benefit households earning between 51 and 80 percent of the AMI; and
- 4. At least 50 percent of the Fund monies disbursed each year must be used for the development of rental housing.

The rest of the funds may also be used for for-sale housing development, and loans associated with our other programs such as First Right Purchase Assistance Program and Lead Safe Washington.

Another step the District has taken to address worse case housing needs is by discouraging projects that involve the displacement of persons. However, if displacement of persons should occur in a project, DHCD will make provisions for the appropriate relocation assistance as established by federal regulations. It is DHCD's policy to minimize displacement in all of its projects. Each program officer in the Development Finance Division (DFD) keeps track of any relocation required for a project. Project managers review developers' plans and revise those plans as necessary to minimize displacement. Where relocation is required, the project

managers ensure, as part of the underwriting process, that the relocation plans are adequate and are funded as part of the project development costs. A number of DFD project managers have received training in the Uniform Relocation Act (URA). OPM has convened a team to oversee project compliance, including URA compliance, and to update the Division's operating protocols to ensure that all specialized monitoring disciplines are being addressed.

In FY 2010, seven (7) residential properties required submission and approval of temporary and/or permanent relocation plans. These projects were 1708-1710 T Street-Jasper29 T, Dahlgreen Courts, GW Carver 2000 (residents), Webster Gardens, 4000 Kansas Avenue (pending), E & G DC Co-op Development (pending) and Mayfair Mansion II (pending). In addition, three (3) non-residential properties required submission and approval of permanent relocation plans. One of the projects was housed at the Skyland Shopping Center. The project was Spin Cycle (DMPED). The remaining non-residential projects were Brookland Airspace Lofts and Barbara Chambers Children Center. Many of the developers were able to temporarily relocate tenants to other vacant units on their project sites to the extent possible in order to avoid relocation to off-project sites. Other developers housed residents permanently off-site in comparable units that were decent, safe and sanitary and inspected by DHCD. Any required relocation generated by DHCD's single family rehabilitation projects is incorporated into each project work plan, and associated costs are factored into the budget.

Non-Homeless Special Needs Population

Addressing the non-homeless special needs population, which includes the elderly and people with disabilities, is an important aspect of DHCD's Annual Action Plan. During the past fiscal year, to address the needs of this population, DHCD utilized five (5) programs to fund projects for the special needs population. These were the Home Purchase Assistance Program (HPAP), Single Family Residential Rehabilitation Program, Multi-Family Housing Construction and Rehabilitation Program, First Right Purchase Program, and the Handicapped Accessibility Improvement Program. In FY2010, DHCD funded a total of 441 special needs housing units.

D. Continuum of Care

HUD encourages communities to address housing and homelessness through a comprehensive, collaborative, and strategic approach that it has promoted since 1994. HUD's Continuum of Care concept facilitates this process and is designed to help communities envision, organize, and plan comprehensive and long-term solutions to address the problem of homelessness.

The District's current homeless and homeless special needs' housing efforts are coordinated and managed by the Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness (the Partnership). The Partnership serves as the lead agency for the District's Continuum of Care program under a FY2005 contract from the Department of Human Services (DHS) – renewable for up to four option years based upon achievement of the contract's performance objectives and the decision of the District. The contract funds the Partnership to address the needs of the District's homeless population, including other special needs subpopulations of the homeless (e.g., the frail elderly, chronically mentally ill, drug and alcohol abusers, and persons with HIV/AIDS).

The Partnership, with the approval of DHCD, determines annually which services will be funded with the Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) to address the most pressing emergency and prevention needs. In FY2010, the ESG funds paid for prevention and shelter operations. Table 11 describes the uses of ESG funds in FY2010.

Actions Taken to Address Homeless Needs

Numerous activities are undertaken in the District to address the needs of homeless persons, and the special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing. Many of these activities are undertaken with the use of ESG and/or local funds.

The ESG program supports the District's homeless Continuum of Care program and the related objectives of the Consolidated Plan that provide for homeless or special needs populations. In FY2010 ESG funds continued to support prevention efforts and facilities operating at the entry point of the Continuum of Care, in order to maintain and improve those facilities even while the District works to build the permanent affordable and supportive housing that will end homelessness over time.

Improvements to the Continuum have been ongoing. The District's plan to end homelessness includes new efforts designed to support the Continuum of Care concept and rests on three centerpiece policies:

- 1. Increase homeless prevention efforts within local and federal government,
- 2. Develop and/or subsidize at least 6,000 units of affordable, supportive permanent housing to meet the needs of D.C.'s chronically homeless and other very low-income persons at risk of homelessness, and
- 3. Provide wraparound mainstream supportive services fully coordinated with Continuum of Care programs and special needs housing.

The goals focus on 1) keeping as many people as possible from becoming homeless in the first place through direct prevention efforts and increasing the supply of affordable housing; and 2) enriching the homeless Continuum at all levels with supportive services that rapidly re-house

persons with and without special needs. This refocuses the District's efforts over time from a "shelter first" to a "housing first" model that ends homelessness.

Within this general context, ESG funds continue to be used to support policy goal #1, which is to prevent homelessness and to maintain and improve the entry level of the Continuum of Care. Efforts to prevent homelessness in the District of Columbia have been enhanced by local funding of a rental assistance program in FY2010, but ESG prevention funds which are distributed through a network of neighborhood based organizations, continues to be the backbone of the District's prevention efforts.

Actions Taken to Prevent Homelessness

Prevention funds for the DC Emergency Assistance Fund are awarded through a Memorandum of Agreement that established a mutually beneficial partnership wherein ESG funds are supporting a citywide homeless prevention effort managed by the Foundation for the National Capital Region, which receives other prevention funds from the Fannie Mae Walkathon that occurs each November. DHCD also exceeded the FY2010 Action Plan Goal of assisting 111 individuals/families with emergency eviction prevention by providing prevention grants to 88 families and 35 individuals for a total of 123 cases.

E. Other Actions

The District continued to support and use several methods to remove possible barriers to affordable housing such as: a dedicated source of local funding for housing production through its Housing Production Trust Fund (HPTF); improving programs and processes to make project funding more efficient; targeting investment by type of project and geographically; using inter-agency coordination and public-private partnerships to leverage public funding; and by increasing outreach and marketing of programs and funding opportunities.

DHCD worked to improve its various programs to effectively address underserved needs. The Department continued to fund outreach and assistance programs for tenants in buildings with expiring Section 8 and/or other federal programs. Tenants were provided information on purchase options under the District's First Right statute, comprehensive housing counseling and assistance for relocation, location of apartments, and for first-time home ownership.

In FY2010, DHCD hosted and participated in a number of outreach and community participation events specifically focused on homeownership and foreclosure prevention. In June, DHCD, in partnership with the Greater Washington Urban League, the DC Housing Finance Agency, the DC Housing Authority and the Department of Insurance, Securities and Banking, held a Homeownership Expo and Foreclosure Clinic at the Washington Convention Center. The event provided residents with access to a variety of housing resources. Approximately 900 people attended the free event and took advantage of the many educational opportunities offered, including workshops, credit and foreclosure counseling, exhibitors and free credit reports.

During FY2010, DHCD allocated its funds based on the determination of priority needs identified in the Consolidated Plan and the Annual Action Plan and by the suitability of activities that are planned to meet those needs. Actions taken to address those needs are as follows:

Address Obstacles to Meeting Under-Served Needs

DHCD addressed obstacles to meeting under-served needs in the District through the following activities:

- Funded homeless providers for shelter operational costs and provision of essential services for homeless persons and for transitional housing.
- Funded a broad range of housing counseling services, including program intake, community outreach, and citizen participation, with an emphasis on home ownership, eviction and mortgage default prevention, and preservation of existing housing placements.
- Conducted and participated in meetings with community agencies, neighborhood groups, and concerned citizens to discuss needs, available grants, the grant process, and other relevant information.
- Conducted site visits of target areas to assess/confirm needs and consider appropriate actions.
- Utilized a variety of funding sources to assist with rehabilitation of owner-occupied housing of low-income residents.

• Provided information on housing discrimination and landlord-tenant laws to lowincome families, nonprofit organizations, local realtors, and property owners.

Foster and Maintain Affordable Housing

The Department has collaborated/partnered with non-profit and for-profit developers to preserve existing and offer new affordable housing opportunities to those residents unable to meet the current cost demands of the District's escalating real estate market. The Department offers programs that help first-time homebuyers purchase homes and assist current homeowners with home repairs. In addition, the District provides funding for housing counseling services to assist residents in moving towards home ownership and self-sufficiency. The District also funds commercial and economic development initiatives that help revitalize our communities and provide employment opportunities to the unemployed and underemployed residents. The Department makes special needs housing, preservation of affordable units with expiring Low Income Housing Tax Credit use agreements, and retention of Section 8 rental properties a specific funding priority in our RFPs.

Eliminate Barriers to Affordable Housing

In FY2010, the District took several steps to ameliorate the negative impacts of the current housing market, but realizes the fact that resources produced fewer units in an atmosphere characterized by pricing pressures.

The most important step taken by the District government is adding a dedicated source of local funding for housing production through its Housing Production Trust Fund (HPTF). In FY2010, the HPTF budget was \$51,328,694. The "Fund" is a local source of money for affordable housing development. DHCD combines all its eligible funding sources, federal and local, in its competitive funding process to maximize its support for affordable housing and community development projects. The HPTF is aimed at assisting the most vulnerable District residents. The Housing Act of 2002 requires that 80% of funds benefit households earning up to 50% of Area Median Income (AMI), and that 50% of funds disbursed each year must be used for the development of for-sale housing.

The District also uses other methods to remove possible barriers to affordable housing such as: targeting investment by type of project and geographically in its funding processes; using inter-agency coordination and public-private partnerships to leverage public funding; and increasing outreach and marketing of programs and funding opportunities.

Institutional Structure and Coordination

Another way to remain competitive in the District is to maximize the leverage of public investment by working with other agencies and/or stakeholders. The District of Columbia government's institutional structure facilitates internal coordination and cooperation.

In the District of Columbia, executive functions are organized under the Mayor, City Administrator and two Deputy Mayors who supervise "clusters of agencies." This Deputy Mayor structure facilitates consultation across responsibility areas, and maximizes leveraging of public investments. DHCD reports to the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development along with the following agencies: the Office of Planning (OP), the Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs (DCRA), the Department of Employment Services (DOES), the Department of Small and Local Business Development (DSLBD), the Department of Insurance, Securities, and Banking (DISB), the Office of the Tenant Advocate (OTA), and the Office of Cable Television. DHCD also works closely with the DC Housing Authority (DCHA) and the DC Housing Finance Agency (DCHFA) to maximize dollars available for housing opportunities for all income levels from extremely low to moderate income.

Improve Public Housing and Resident Initiatives

DHCD has partnered with the DC Housing Authority (DCHA) in redevelopment of the Frederick Douglass/Stanton Dwellings (Henson Ridge), the New East Capitol public housing communities and the Arthur Capper/Carrollsburg Dwellings and the Eastgate Public Housing sites through the HOPE VI Program.

The HOPE VI Program redevelopment plan for Frederick Douglass/Stanton Dwellings, renamed Henson Ridge, calls for a new, 600-unit community with all new infrastructure (streets, sidewalks and alleys), a new community center, new parks and open spaces, as well as significant investment in neighborhood schools. The development includes 320 homeownership units targeted to households with a range of incomes. The 280 rental units will serve a mix of public housing and moderate-income families. The housing mix also includes 42 senior bungalows, 28 stacked-flat apartments and 530 townhouses.

To date, DHCD has committed \$8 million for infrastructure improvements, including \$5 million in CDBG funds (disbursed) and \$3 million in capital funds (disbursement in progress). In FY 2010, DHCD completed underwriting a \$2.9 million HPTF loan to DCHA for assistance in the acquisition of 22 three and four bedroom townhouses at Henson Ridge for modification as accessible UFAS units, obtained DC Council approval and executed the contract for acquisition in March 2010 and disbursed the funds.

The New East Capitol HOPE VI project, renamed Capitol Gateway Estates (formerly East Capitol Dwellings and Capitol View Plaza along with a HUD-foreclosed property), originally was to include 555 units of newly constructed mixed-income units. One hundred ninety-six units were to be public housing, 214 affordable and market rate rental units and 145 homeownership units; utilizing both lease-to-purchase and Section 8 home ownership rules thus ensuring home ownership for a number of current residents.

However, DCHA acquired Capitol View Plaza II from the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and has developed a new redevelopment plan for Capitol Gateway Estates that received final approval from HUD. The new redevelopment plan, with a total 761 units includes 152 units of senior housing, 221 tax credit housing units, 177 market rate units, and 211 units of public housing replacement. In 2007, DCHA modified the new redevelopment plan, with permission from FHA, to permit demolition of the recently acquired high-rise buildings to be replaced by mixed income housing units.

At the end of FY 2008, DHCD began reprogramming, at the request of DCHA, \$3,000,000 of CIP funds to fund the demolition. The reprogramming was completed and the contract was executed in April 2009. Demolition of the buildings began in June 2009 and was completed by

the beginning of calendar year 2010. Demolition of the buildings is facilitating obtaining a final commitment from a national grocery store for the shopping center across the street, expediting the sale of homeownership units elsewhere in Capital Gateway, and has eliminated the blight and vandals caused by the buildings prior to their demolition. To date, DCHA has committed \$10 million in funding for this project for infrastructure improvements; \$3 million in CDBG funds (disbursed), and \$7 million in capital funds (disbursement was completed in FY2006).

In FY2005, DHCD requested capital funding for the following additional DCHA public housing projects on DCHA's behalf as part of the FY2006 capital project funding call:

- 1. Arthur Capper HOPE VI—\$4,075,000 (in 2006 and 2007) for construction of site infrastructure associated with further phases of the multi-phase construction (disbursement for construction began in FY2006 and was completed in FY2008);
- 2. Eastgate HOPE VI—\$5,000,000 (in 2006 and 2007) for construction of site infrastructure associated with the project (disbursement for construction began in FY2006 with final disbursement of \$2,500,000 completed in FY 2008; the remaining \$2,500,000 was reprogrammed to Capital Gateway);
- 3. Langston Terrace Public Housing—\$1,500,000 (in 2010 and 2013) for rehabilitation to selectively replace infrastructure and restore the exterior integrity of this historic landmark property;
- 4. Lincoln Heights Public Housing—\$4,000,000 (in 2007, 2009, 2010 and 2013) for partial demolition of existing public housing and construction of new site infrastructure associated with new replacement townhouses (these funds were transferred in FY2008 to the Office of the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development as part of the District's New Communities Initiative);
- 5. Potomac Hopkins Plaza Public Housing—\$3,250,000 (in 2010 and 2013) for redevelopment of the existing public housing development and one-for-one replacement of all the existing units in a new on-and off-site development; and
- 6. Parkside Public Housing—\$3,000,000 (in 2009 and 2010) for site infrastructure associated with the one-for-one replacement of public housing units with townhouse units.

DHCD received funding authorization for all of the above funding requests during FY2005 and expenditures began in FY2006 for the FY2006 authorizations as indicated above. Expenditures were completed in FY2008 for the FY2007 portions of the allocations. In FY 2010, expenditures continued on completion of the Capital Gateway Demolition contract and disbursements began on infrastructure work related to the redevelopment of the Parkside Public Housing.

Evaluate and Reduce Lead-based Paint Hazards

The District of Columbia Department of Housing and Community Development, Lead Safe Washington Program (LSW) applied for a Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant in 2008 and in May 2009 through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, was awarded \$2,616,843.00 with a District match of \$2,162,619.00 to render a total of 170 units lead safe during a three year period under Grant number DCLHD0193-08. The Program completed the initial training requirements and has received city wide environmental clearance from HUD to begin lead construction.

The following is a summary of the key accomplishments of the programs and activities under Grant DCLHD0193-08:

- Lead risk assessments were conducted on more than 120 housing units in the District of Columbia.
- Lead-safe construction was completed and subsequent lead-safe clearance was achieved in 42 housing units in the District of Columbia for which lead-based paint hazards were identified. The Program also has 5 single family units under construction and 30 multi-family units to start construction in a week. Additionally, the program also has about 100 unit's single/multifamily properties on the pipeline.
- About \$700,000.00 has been expended on Program activities so far within the original grant funding under DCLHD0193-08. Costs were incurred in the specific expense line items of the original budget.
- The District of Columbia provided matching funds of more than \$2.1 million, exceeding the requirement for matching funds dictated by the original budget.

The implementation of Lead Safe Washington's Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant (DCLHD0193-08) programs has had a major impact on the extent to which Title X activities are a reality in the District of Columbia. For example:

- Largely as a result of Lead Safe Washington, DHCD continue to play a leadership role in the District's overall activities on eliminating lead-based paint hazards. LSW as one of the original members of the Mayor's Interagency Lead Task Force continue to provide consistent leadership in that group since 2006.
- Because of the ability for property owners to access lead hazard abatement funding through Demonstration Grant (DCLHD0193-08), the regulatory authorities within District Government—namely, the Departments of Health, the Environment, Consumer and Regulatory Affairs, and the Office of the Attorney General—have had much greater leverage in litigating cases of lead hazards involving a child with an Elevated Blood Level (EBL) for lead. The "carrot and stick"—lead hazard funding vs. the potential for significant consequences from the judiciary—continue to be a useful approach for ensuring that property owners whose housing units have lead-based paint hazards move swiftly to eradicate those hazards from their properties.
- Within DHCD, the full implementation of the Lead Safe Washington Initiative strengthened and formalized the requirements for lead safety already in place within the Department's multifamily affordable housing development and single family residential rehabilitation programs.
- As LSW's Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant program became increasingly visible in the community, the field of District-certified lead abatement entities (risk assessors, contractors, clearance inspectors) became more formally and completely engaged in addressing lead hazards within the constraints of the federal Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration program. This has lead to a more responsive field of contractors and more competitive pricing for lead hazard control activities.
- Since January 2008, Lead Safe Washington has seen a significant growth in the number of property owners, especially multifamily rental property owners, approaching the program with interest in making application for funding to render those units lead-safe. Owners representing more than 250 units are now in discussion with Lead Safe Washington about application for lead-safe financing.

• Comprehensive housing counseling agencies and other community-based organizations under grant agreement to the Department of Housing and Community Development have been provided training on the Lead Safe Washington Initiative and routinely address both the dangers of lead hazards and the benefits of funding available from Lead Safe Washington in all individual and group client contacts.

It should be noted that the grant agreements under HUD's Lead Hazard Reduction Programs have certain restrictions on units for which lead hazard remediation activities may be counted toward grant accomplishments; and the completed and cleared unit figures above reflect those restrictions. As a result, those figures do not reflect the full extent to which the Department has promoted remediation of lead-based paint hazards, or the extent to which the Department's financing of affordable housing has secured lead-safe housing units.

During this last year, DHCD has actively engaged public agencies and private entities in its efforts to address lead-based paint hazards. The following actions are outreach efforts over the year.

Lead Safe Washington's (LSW) outreach and education efforts continue to be two-fold; both consumer-based and multifamily owner-based. Consumer-based efforts are targeted toward ensuring that the initiative has broad access to large numbers of constituents who are interested in learning how to improve the livable quality of their homes. Our efforts in this respect include letters sent to property owners of multifamily properties and property owners and tenants of units reported by the DC Department of the Environment who have a child with an elevated blood lead level living in the home. Outreach efforts center on multifamily property owners with 150 units or less. LSW's multifamily owner-based outreach efforts continue to work to draw new landlords and cooperative associations into the fold of large-scale projects designed to produce significant numbers of lead-safe units.

DHCD continues to hold monthly DHCD contractors meetings to provide outreach to lead abatement contractors licensed in DC. These outreach sessions focused on the Departments efforts and policies regarding the Lead Safe Washington Program.

Our consumer-based efforts center on community fairs and other health fair public events in which LSW has the opportunity to have direct contact with consumers interested in ensuring the livable quality of their homes. Our owner-based efforts occur largely through direct "cold calls" to owners of multifamily properties, of properties expected to be good candidates for grant-funded hazard reduction as well as through dissemination of written materials to real estate associations and tenant organizations. Our detailed information highlights the LSW program and the detrimental hazards of lead-based paint. Letters sent to owners and tenants of properties containing a child with an elevated blood level as reported by the DC Department of the Environment have proven to be an effective means to provide outreach and enroll property.

The last week of October is "National Lead Awareness Week", and again this year DHCD partnered with the Department of Health and a number of non-government organizations to convene a kick-off for Lead Awareness Week called the "D.C. Lead Safe Fair: Healthy Homes-Healthy Children." The Fair offered information on lead-related health screenings, government programs and services, and businesses or organizations that provide products or services to populations most affected by the effects of lead-based paint.

Also, as part of the National Lead Awareness Week, this year the program hosted EPA region 3 conference in conjunction with DDOE to educate the stake holders as well as the constituents on the new EPA 's "RRP" Renovate, Repair and Painting rules as it affect lead remediation.

In addition, DHCD continues to meet with contractors, community groups, and other interested stakeholders to ensure the dissemination of lead-safe information through an extensive Outreach and Education Campaign funded under the Grants.

Compliance with Program and Comprehensive Planning Requirements

To ensure compliance with program requirements, Program Monitoring Division conducts site monitoring and compliance reviews annually of all agencies receiving CDBG, HOME, and ESG funding. The Program Monitoring Division focuses on accountability and reviews agency records and financial practices to determine compliance with grant regulations. DHCD made changes to its forms at the end of the year to better capture needed data and facilitate tracking of funds.

For comprehensive planning purposes, DHCD staff works closely with the Office of Planning on local affordable housing issues. The Office of Planning assisted DHCD with the development of the 5-year Consolidated Plan during the past year.

Antipoverty Strategy

By funding housing for extremely low, very-low and low-income residents, DHCD contributes to the District's anti-poverty strategy by lifting families out of poverty and providing them with stable lodging and a means to build equity for the future. DHCD also supports other District Government initiatives in reducing poverty and utilizes its federal and local funds to help residents improve their financial stability through housing and financial counseling programs conducted by a network of community-based organizations. DHCD also provides funds to CBOs to assist small businesses with technical assistance and to improve their physical appearance to retain and expand neighborhood job opportunities.

The comprehensive housing counseling services funded by DHCD provide tenants and prospective homeowners with assistance in such matters as household/home management and maintenance, improving credit, and household budgeting, all geared toward improving residents' opportunities to obtain and retain decent housing with the prospect of moving toward ownership and the development of equity. Residents of buildings with expiring Section 8 protections are provided targeted assistance in locating housing options, and are introduced to the DHCD-sponsored Tenant First Right to Purchase Program to move toward ownership.

The Tenant First Right to Purchase Program provides technical assistance, seed funds and "earnest" money to tenant groups to assist them in organizing so they are prepared to take advantage of their first right of refusal when a building is for sale. The program also provides new tenant owner groups with management/technical assistance. Converted buildings are also eligible to apply to DHCD for rehabilitation funding. During FY2010, DHCD provided 2,364 tenant households with these services through one technical service contracts with University Legal Services.

DHCD provides education and outreach to ensure that Fair Housing Laws are understood and that all residents are provided with information on their rights of access to housing in the District of Columbia. DHCD's fair housing brochures are available in several languages. During FY2010, DHCD reached over 100 residents with fair housing information and/or assistance. In addition, DHCD also contributes to the District's anti-poverty strategy by encouraging developers to meet Section 3 requirements, providing funding for crime prevention, supporting youth counseling, and funding job training.

Other agencies play the roles in the reduction of poverty. The Department of Human Services administers income support, welfare to work and a range of programs to support families and individuals. The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness provides emergency support from ESG funds to prevent eviction. The Office on Aging provides support services to seniors and partners with DHCD in the development of senior housing.

The Department of Employment Services (DOES) provides extensive job training opportunities through its city-wide "One Stop Service Centers." The Workforce Investment Council (WIC) brings together private and public sector stakeholders to develop strategies to increase employment opportunities for DC residents and to support and to assist DOES in its employment mission. The DC Public School Administration has created career-oriented high schools in a number of specialized areas, including the Technology and Hospitality Industries to facilitate students progressing from school to real jobs in the DC market.

DMPED manages the New Communities and Great Streets initiatives that address both physical and socio-economic-educational needs of targeted areas by combining government resources with those of private and non-profit developers or organizations to bring long-term and comprehensive revitalization to the designated area. "New Communities" is a comprehensive partnership to improve the quality of life for families and individuals living in distressed neighborhoods. DHCD administers one of the key resources for New Communities, the Housing Production Trust Fund. The companion program to New Communities is "Great Streets." Great Streets is a strategy to revive the local commercial corridors bordering the new communities so that the balance of services that neighborhoods need are restored along with the housing and social fabric.

These two initiatives are a new and aggressive approach to fighting poverty that includes current residents and businesses in the planning for an inclusive neighborhood that attracts a mixture of incomes and families, singles, and elders into the revitalized neighborhoods.

Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies

As part of the Five-Year Consolidated Plan, DHCD continued the designation of its two Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas (NRSAs): 1) Georgia Avenue NRSA; and 2) Carver/Langston Terrace-Ivy City/Trinidad (CLTICT) NRSA.

For the Georgia Avenue NRSA, the District continues to address economic development along the almost 5-mile corridor by approaching it as a single linear neighborhood. The Georgia Avenue Corridor has a distinct identity because it is one of the major north-to-south transportation routes connecting Maryland to downtown DC. The targeted area includes the 39 census blocks that abut Georgia Avenue from Florida Avenue, NW to Eastern Avenue, NW. The NRSA development strategy for Georgia Avenue includes housing and commercial development, employment and entrepreneurial training, and infrastructure improvements. A combination of projects and program activities has been identified in the NRSA supporting these initiatives to serve as the core tools for revitalizing the Corridor. Table 4 show the output achieved during FY2010 along Georgia Avenue.

Goal	Lead Entity	Description	2010	
	Loud Linny	Description	2010 A accomplishments	
Housing and Community Development	DHCD,DCHA,DCHFA	 Rehabilitate 25 single- family homes by 2007; Provide loans to assist in the rehabilitation of multifamily units Provide Lead-Safe Washington services Provide down payment assistance for first time homebuyers 	 Accomplishments HPAP had XX home purchases Assisted XX residents along Georgia Avenue rehab their homes. Funded acquisition and new construction of Georgia Commons Apartments located at 3910-3912 Georgia Avenue NW. Project will have a total of 130 units of which 104 will be designated as affordable units for households making 60% or less of AMI. In FY2010, two blocks west of Georgial Avenue located on Missouri Avenue NW, executed funding contract for new construction of a 36 unit seniors building on which construction has begun and two blocks west of Georgia Avenue located on 13th Place NW, executed funding contract for rehabilitated 62 unit apartment buildings to provide affordable rental units. Construction has begun on this project 	
Small Business Development Center	DHCD, DC Chamber of Commerce Foundation, DC Main Street Program	 Entrepreneurial training; Small Business management and training Counseling 	 Provided technical assistance to XX small businesses 	
Sidewalk and infrastructure Improvements	DDOT	Resurfacing/ redevelopment of sidewalks and infrastructure	Construction underway.	

Table 4: Georgia Avenue NRSA Performance Data

For the CLTICT NRSA, the District continues to address the need for more affordable housing development within the almost residential area by approaching it as a single community. The CLTICT NRSA includes five census tracts defined by New York Avenue, Florida Avenue and Bladensburg Road, and includes Gallaudet University and the Farmer's Market, as well as major residential and light industrial developments.

The NRSA development strategy for CLTICT NRSA includes housing and commercial development, employment and entrepreneurial training, and infrastructure development. A comprehensive set of projects and programs has been developed around these four areas to

serve as the core tools for revitalizing the neighborhood over the next five years. Table 5 show the output achieved during FY2010 within Carver, Langston, Ivy City, Trinidad.

Goal	Lead Entity	Description	2010 Accomplishments
Housing and Community Development	DHCD, Home Again Program	 Increase Home Ownership Opportunities Single Family Residential Rehabilitation Program Provide Lead-Safe Washington services In Ivy City/Trinidad 	 HPAP had XX home purchases XX units completed in last fiscal year Development rights awarded to 37 properties to four developers in FY2007 in Ivy City which will result in the development of 50 new affordable units at 80% AMI. Requests for construction assistance were underwritten and contracts executed in FY 2010 for rehabilitation construction which began in 2010 for 8 ownership housing units by Mi Casa located on Galludet Street and Capitol Avenue NE and 8 ownership housing units by DC Habitat for Humanity located on Providence Street NE Funded new construction of 15 units of special needs housing for Hyacinth's Place located at 1058-1060 Bladensburg Road NE. Units will be for DMH customers. Construction began in Fall 2010 and will be completed by June 2011.
Employment and Entrepreneurial Training	DOES, NSI	 Training and employment for 75 individuals Conduct 2 career fairs each year 	 Job training for XX individuals Three job fairs were held in the area.
Infrastructure improvements	DDOT, DPR, DMPED, NSI	 Improvements to two community parks in the area Proposed Redevelopment of Alexander Crummell School 	 Construction underway. No action on Alexander Crummell School. Contracted with civil engineer for a storm water management survey and report.

Table 5: Carver, Langston, Ivy City, Trinidad NRSA Performance Data

Section 3

Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 requires that recipients of the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds (and their contractors and subcontractors), to the greatest extent feasible, provide job and other economic opportunities to low and very-low income persons (Section 3 residents) and Section 3 Business Concerns. DHCD, as a recipient of HUD funding, must ensure that all its recipients, and the contractors they hire, provide employment opportunities to low and very-low income residents in particular public housing residents and recipients of public assistance.

DHCD's Section 3 program helps in creating employment opportunities and contracting opportunities for businesses that are owned by or that employ Section 3 residents. Recipients of community development funds from DHCD are required to either hire Section 3 residents or subcontract with Section 3 business concerns.

DHCD has implemented a comprehensive compliance program to ensure the compliance of its recipients and their contractors. DHCD reviews all housing construction, housing rehabilitation and public construction projects for Section 3 applicability. Recipients for covered projects are required to submit a detailed plan stating their Section 3 goals and how they would comply with Section 3 prior to receiving funds. This is the first prong of DHCD's compliance approach. Additionally, covered recipients are required to submit plans detailing their Section 3 goals, how they notify the community of opportunities and efforts to comply. Recipients are monitored during the life of their project for compliance; monitoring includes site visits, reviews of documents and quarterly reporting.

Education is the second prong of DHCD's compliance approach; DHCD conducted its third annual mandatory training in September 2010 on Section 3 policy and procedure for recipients and their contractors. General contractors, local businesses, community groups, YouthBuild organizations, and representatives from the housing authority were attendance. Partners in DHCD's Section 3 efforts from the District of Columbia Housing Authority including the Resident Services Manager and Section 3 Compliance Coordinator were introduced and given an opportunity to discuss their programs. Additionally, YouthBuild representatives and certified Section 3 business concerns were in attendance. This training was designed to provide a refresher on agency policy and procedure but also to apprise non-recipients on the Section 3 program and opportunities that are available.

The third prong of the agency's compliance approach is Section 3 Business Concern certification. The certification was implemented in 2009 and designed to facilitate compliance with Section 3 among DHCD recipients and their contractors. The certification is an application process that required any business wishing to receive the preferences available to businesses under 24 CFR §135.36 to submit an application based upon the requirements listed in the regulations. Recipients and their contractors were notified of certified Section 3 Business Concerns limiting the opportunity for them to assert that there were in fact not any bona fide Section 3 businesses for them to contract with.

Additionally, DHCD held its first orientation event for fiscal year 2010 on the Section 3 Business Concern certification at the agency. The orientation targeted local businesses, small business assistance groups, minority contractor groups, and Certified Business Enterprise to apprise them of Section 3 related opportunities at the agency and DHCD policy. As a result of the event the number of certified Section 3 Business Concerns tripled in 2010.

DHCD will submit all Section 3 information required under 24 CFR §135.90 to HUD Headquarters on or before January 10, 2011 in order to assist in meeting reporting requirements under Section 808(e)(6) of the Fair Housing Act and Section 916 of the HCDA of 1992. The data will indicate the efforts made to direct the employment and other economic opportunities generated by HUD Financial assistance for housing and community development programs, to the greatest extent feasible, toward low- and very low -income persons, particularly those who are recipients of government assistance for housing.

F. Leveraging Resources

The District's housing and community development programs requires, whenever possible, the maximum use of private financial resources. Because DHCD uses its funds to "close the gap" of needed financing for its selected projects, the private financing sector provides the bulk of each project's funds. Banks and other financial institutions serve as the private financing sources of all housing production, rehabilitation, or capital improvements and ongoing operations.

Many banks have special community lending operations, partly in response to the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act, which encourages local lenders to invest in affordable housing and other community support projects. Several local banks have been active in supporting nonprofit affordable housing development. The District's public dollars leverage these private funds.

DHCD also works in tandem with non-profit and semi-governmental development organizations to leverage funds for affordable housing and economic opportunity. In addition, the District government and nonprofit developers have actively reached out to capture foundation grants. Many nonprofit organizations seek foundation funding to provide social support services, especially to special needs populations. Among the organizations that are active in this area are the Fannie Mae Foundation, Meyer Foundation, Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC), and the Enterprise Foundation.

Matching Funds

Three HUD programs require matching funds: HOME, ESG, and Lead Safe Washington. The Lead Safe Washington program is funded by the lead-based paint grants received in FY 2003: the Lead Hazard Control Grant and the Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant. The District's FY2010 local match requirement for the Lead-Based Paint grants was \$2.1 million supported by HPTF.

Under 24 CFR 92.218 *et. seq.*, the District must provide a matching contribution of local funds to HOME-funded or other affordable housing projects as a condition of using HOME monies. The District's FY2010 local match requirement for HOME was \$2,330,555.

DHCD meets its HOME and Lead obligation through contributions from the Housing Production Trust Fund, which is described on page 17. Eighty percent of all HPTF monies must benefit households earning up to a true 50 percent of the area median income, which is below the HOME income eligibility maximum; moreover, HPTF-assisted rental projects must be affordable for 40 years, which exceeds the HOME affordability period requirement. In FY2010, the Department's HPTF budget was \$51,328,694. As the Department incurs HOME and Lead match-eligible expenses, it will ensure that adequate funding is provided for the matching contribution.

In addition to its federal ESG funds, the District of Columbia provided local match dollars to support outreach and prevention services; support shelter operations and fund renovation of shelter space. The District worked to provide assistance for the homeless through community-based organizations, faith-based organizations and other non-profit service providers. The FY2010 local match for ESG is \$1,224,216 in cash and fair market value of free shelter rent.

G. Citizen Comment

To ensure general citizen participation in the District of Columbia's 2010 CAPER process, DHCD followed its adopted Citizen Participation Plan. Information on the public hearing and public review period was developed and delivered to neighborhood associations, social services agencies, local non-profits, churches, civic service clubs, advisory councils, District staff, City Council, and interested citizens. Notice of the hearing was published in the D.C. Register and local newspapers on or about November 5th, 2010. (See Appendix E) The CAPER was made available for a 30-day review and comment period in accordance with HUD guidelines and the Citizen Participation Plan.

The purpose of the public hearings was to provide citizens with an update on the implementation of the current CDBG, HOME, HOPWA and ESG activities and offer the CAPER for public review.

DHCD took the following actions to make the Notice of Public Review available and to invite public comment on the CAPER for FY2010:

- **Direct mailings** DHCD Office of the Mayor, City Administrator and Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development; the Council of the District of Columbia; Advisory Neighborhood Commissioners (ANC); ANC Chair offices; Community Development Corporations and Community-Based organizations; Special Needs Housing Organizations; Non-profit Housing Groups; Latino, Asian and Pacific Islander Community Organizations and groups; and private citizens.
- **Email distribution** DHCD's housing partners, community leaders and neighborhood-based list-serves
- Website The draft CAPER was posted on DHCD's website for review.
- **Media** Notice of 15-day Public Review was provided to diverse media outlets, including the D.C. Register, the Washington Times, the Afro-American, and El Tiempo Latino.

At the end of the public review period, DHCD only received three public comments on the CAPER. A summary of these comments are listed below.

Public Hearing 12/08/2010

Listed below are summaries of comments on the Department of Housing and Community Development's performance for Fiscal Year 2010, from witnesses during a public hearing regarding the programs covered in the District's FY2010 Consolidated Annual Action Plan.

Information Pending

H. Self Evaluation

The District responds to questions, concerning the self evaluation of the District's overall performance in implementing the Five Year Consolidated Plan, and in particular, its performance during FY2010 in the following manner:

1. Are activities and strategies having an impact on identified needs?

Yes: The District, through its neighborhood-based activity programs, strategically invests funds through housing development and community-based non-profit organizations to support the enhancement of economic opportunities, affordable housing preservation and development for the benefit of the District's low-to-moderate income residents. The District funds an array of activities that are tailored to meet/satisfy the needs of each service community only limited by the capacity of the non-profit organization that serves that particular community. Over the past few years, the variety of activities funded from year to year has changed to meet the changing priorities and the availability of funds.

In FY2010, the Department's Property Acquisition and Disposition Division (PADD) combined local capital funds and federal funds to support the ability of non-profits to acquire property in the District in a timely, affordable manner in order to be better able to serve their and the Department's affordable housing mission. The strategic neighborhood focus of our RFPs has permitted the Department to focus and concentrate affordable housing and community facilities in strategic neighborhoods to create better revitalization synergies in those neighborhoods.

2. What indicators would best describe overall results?

During FY2010, the District effectively utilized a comprehensive set of key performance indicators (KPIs) to track the progress of each program and activity identified in the Consolidated Plan. Several of DHCD's measurements include activities and services that are linked directly to the Consolidated Plan. Examples of such records kept indicate the number of low-to-moderate households assisted in becoming first-time homeowners and the number of low-to-moderate income households served through our neighborhood based activities.

The number of affordable housing units funded is a critical measure that indicates the revitalization of our neighborhoods and how the need for affordable rental and ownership housing to low and moderate-income households is being addressed.

3. Are major goals on target?

Yes: Over the past few years, DHCD has made a tremendous amount of progress in meeting the priorities set forth in the Consolidated Plan. DHCD increased the supply of affordable housing; expanded homeownership opportunities; and contributed to economic and community revitalization.

In FY2010, DHCD increased the supply of affordable housing by 2,505 units through funds provided for multi-family rehabilitation and/or for pre-development loans for new multi-family and single-family construction projects. ESG funds provided emergency assistance to prevent 123 households from becoming homeless and to provide shelter for 113 families in a family shelter. DHCD also provided housing counseling to 17,990 tenants, home buyers and new homeowners to increase access to housing and stable homeownership.

DHCD's affordable housing construction projects spurred neighborhood revitalization and local economic development. As part of its neighborhood investments over the past year, DHCD funded technical assistance for 3,564 small neighborhood businesses to assist in their retention and expansion, and completed construction on façade improvement projects for 73 small businesses.

The District maintains goals and targets for the priority needs of the communities it serves. Progress continues to meet newly established goals: creation new affordable housing, preservation of existing affordable housing, expansion of homeownership opportunities and meeting the needs of the homeless and those at-risk of becoming homeless. In FY2010, the District's annual allocation of CDBG and HOME grants were supplemented by funding from competitive federal and state grants, as well as our local Housing Production Trust Fund, local operating funds, and capital improvement program funds. Efforts to house special needs populations such as the elderly and people with physical and intellectual disabilities continue to improve through close partnerships with other District agencies.

4. What barriers may have a negative impact on fulfilling strategies and achieving the overall vision?

The number one barrier that prevents the District from fulfilling its vision for District households is the scarcity of resources. Even after leveraging the District's annual Federal entitlement funding of approximately \$41 million with almost \$51 million in local Housing Production Trust Fund dollars, the District's affordable housing and neighborhood economic and community revitalization needs continue to outpace resources. Further, the local Housing Production Trust Fund is funded by revenue from deed and recordation taxes. Generation of this revenue has been negatively impacted by the changed real estate market in FY2010 and projected for FY2011. Therefore, for at least the next two years, dramatically fewer local dollars will be available to leverage the shrinking federal resources.

Moreover, the high cost of housing in the District of Columbia continues to be a barrier as the median home sales price for the District is \$452,000, which is down from last year's median price of \$468,000 but higher than the national median price of \$239,200. In FY2010, the national mortgage foreclosure crisis impacted the District in a serious way, heightening the need for the District to maximize resources for foreclosure prevention and the acquisition of vacant and abandoned properties to prevent neighborhood blight.

5. Based on this evaluation, what adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities might meet the identified needs more effectively?

In the face of declining revenues on the local level and diminishing federal fund balances, the District will evaluate all of its strategies for FY2011 to maximize available resources for affordable housing.

I. Monitoring and Compliance

During FY2010, DHCD continued to improve its sub-recipient monitoring program and procedures. DHCD developed its annual sub-recipient monitoring plan, and conducted monitoring reviews of DHCD programs and sub recipients, including the Neighborhood Based Activities (NBA) sub-recipients and the RLA Revitalization Corporation. The Program Monitoring Division (PMD) issued monitoring reports that included specific findings and recommendations to be addressed.

PMD continued to use its tracking database to monitor DHCD and sub-recipient corrective action for reports issued by OPM and by external agencies such as HUD, the D.C. Office of the Inspector General, and respective A-133 auditors. Several findings/recommendations were closed due to the division's tracking and follow-up. The Program Monitoring Division also regularly monitors the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS) for CDBG, HOME, HOPWA and ESG commitment and spending requirements. The division issues monthly spending reports for the above programs.

Annually, PMD conducts regular ongoing site visits as well as tenant file monitoring of affordable housing developments, including HOME, Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and Housing Production Trust Fund units, to ensure compliance with program goals and federal regulations. Site visits include property inspections. OPM monitored 1200 affordable units this year.

Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs)

During FY2010, DHCD certified two new CHDOs and recertified nine CHDOs in accordance with the CHDO definition stated in 24 CFR 92.2. The Department also requires that all CHDOs recertify their compliance with that definition prior to issuing CHDO funds to them. To maximize the use of CHDO funds, the Office of Program Monitoring monitors the CHDO reservation requirement (in IDIS) on an ongoing basis, and DHCD both advertises technical assistance opportunities to the CHDOs and solicits CHDO participation from nonprofit organizations.

Community-Based Organizations (CBOs)

DHCD monitors the CBO's three times a year. (November, April and September) Our on-site monitoring review is focused on the following areas of program administration and regulatory compliance: (This monitoring depends on the program the CBO is working on.)

- Program performance review (national objective, eligible activities, contract objectives, scope of work, contract schedule, contract budget)
- General management practices (procurement practices, conflict of interest)
- Financial management practices (accounting system, internal controls)
- Recordkeeping/reporting practices
- Anti-discrimination compliance
- Activity-specific monitoring

Status of Grant Programs

Most services and activities are conducted within the planned time frame of one to two years. All CDBG, HOME, HOPWA and ESG funded activities are managed and completed well within established schedules except for the activities that have been delayed due to changed circumstances and service areas and populations. DHCD has implemented a policy that projects must be ready for funding within three months of the application date.

J. CDBG Program and Use of Funds

During FY2010, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) activities were conducted in accordance with the priority goals and objectives identified in the Consolidated Plan. DHCD's total CDBG program allocation for FY2010 was \$18,179,591. Funds were distributed among homeownership and home rehabilitation assistance, affordable housing/real estate development, neighborhood investment, economic and commercial development, and administration costs. As stated in statutory requirements, DHCD did not spend more than fifteen percent (15%) of its allocated grant amount on public services and no more than twenty percent (20%) on administrative costs, irrespective of actual expenditures during the program year.

According to the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) PR26 Financial Summary Report, DHCD spent XXX% of its allocated FY2010 grant amount on public services and XXX% of its allocated grant amount on administration costs.

In FY2010, \$9,333,558 was generated in program income through the CDBG Program. In terms of actual expenditures versus the budgeted amount during FY2010, DHCD spent \$30,147,736 of CDBG funds. The actual expenditure amounts as well as budgeted amounts are listed below.

	Budget	Cash/Accrued Expenditures
1. Homeownership and Home Rehabilitation Assistance		
a. Home Purchase Assistance Program (HPAP)	2,602.133	2,366,124
b. Residential Rehabilitation Programs	1,200,000	706,890
Subtotal	3,819,983	3,073,014
2. Affordable Housing/Real Estate Development		
a. Property Acquisition and Disposition	0	0
b. Development Finance Division Project Funding	16,866,976	12,100,301
c. Tenant Purchase Technical Assistance	3,162,593	2,268,900
Subtotal	20,029,659	14,369,201
3. Neighborhood Investment		
a. Public Safety and Crime Prevention	712,183	615,309
b. Storefront Façade Development	895,992	937,163
c. Housing Counseling	1,767,112	1,382,079
d. Commercial Corridor/Small Business Development	1,318,813	1,183,287
e. Affordable Housing Preservation – Tenant Intervention	1,372,803	615,309
Subtotal	6,066,297	4,733,147
4. Economic and Commercial Development		
a. Economic Development	66,287	0
b. Real Estate & Property Management	33,806	0
c. DMPED	3,214,359	2,348,255
Subtotal	3,314,353	2,348,255
5. Agency Management and Financial Operations	5,046,664	4,781,198
6. Program Monitoring and Compliance / Portfolio Mgt.	1,330,549	842,921
Total CDBG Program	39,607,505	30,147,736

Table 6: FY2010 CDBG Program (CD-29) Budget

On the subject of timeliness, the District met expectations when an analysis of timeliness ratio was computed for the CDBG program on July 31, 2009, the required statutory day that a jurisdiction cannot have more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ times its most recent grant amount unspent. The DHCD's ratio was 1.41%. As of September 30, 2010, the District had \$9,285,226 of CDBG money that remained unspent; however, this amount is committed to a wide array of activities.

CDBG housing activities undertaken by the DHCD addressed the following Consolidated Plan goals: encouraging revitalization of low-income neighborhoods, housing repairs for elderly persons, and support services to low-income elderly and disabled persons. DHCD's CDBG housing activities for FY2010 were:

- Multi-family rehabilitation,
- Tenant purchase,
- Home purchase assistance,
- Single family rehabilitation, and
- Housing for people with special needs.

Public service activities were focused on the needs of the District's very low to moderateincome residents by assisting with high priority needs. These included, but are not limited to, housing counseling services, crime prevention, neighborhood services, and support for tenants. Other needs listed in the Consolidated Plan and accomplished over the past program year were employment training, small business technical assistance, and façade improvement.

Changes in Program Objectives

While DHCD has used CDBG successfully to carry out its programs, DHCD nonetheless makes changes to its programs as needed.

- DHCD continues to strengthen the monitoring protocols for its Development Finance Division (DFD) programs and for the Neighborhood Investments Program
- DHCD has completely revamped the Department's first-time homebuyer assistance programs, by determining levels of assistance that more strongly relate to household income and prevailing real estate market prices; lowering requirements for the homebuyer's contribution of cash toward the home purchase; and providing more favorable terms for loan repayment. The changes had an immediate positive impact on the Department's homebuyer assistance programs. This was a dramatic improvement in the Department's success toward facilitating homeownership, which had been on the decline for the prior three years as a result of rapidly escalating home sale prices in the District of Columbia.

DHCD's programs have been designed to meet the HUD national objectives of benefiting lowand moderate-income persons, and elimination of slums and blight (through, for example, acquisition, disposition and rehabilitation).

K. HOME Program and Use of Funds

DHCD's HOME Program for FY2010 was designed to address both rental housing activities as well as owner-occupied housing activities, which deal with the Consolidated Plan's housing goals of increasing the availability of affordable rental units targeted to extremely low, very low-, and low-income families and to encourage revitalization of low-income neighborhoods through housing rehabilitation.

HOME activities implemented in FY2010, which adhere to the Consolidated Plan goals, were:

- Multifamily development, including rehabilitation;
- Down payment assistance through the HPAP program; and,
- Single family rehabilitation.

In FY2010, \$1,384,684 was generated in program income through the HOME Program. In terms of actual expenditures versus the budgeted amount during FY2010, DHCD spent \$13,009,473 of HOME funds. The actual expenditure amounts as well as budgeted amounts are listed below.

	Budget	Cash/Accrued Expenditure				
1. Homeownership and Home Rehab Assistance						
a. Home Purchase Assistance Program	3,000,000	2,741,502				
b. Residential Rehabilitation Programs	165,381	0				
Subtotal	3,165,381	2,741,502				
2. Affordable Housing/Real Estate Development						
a. DFD Project Financing	13,400,551	7,782,413				
b. Community Housing Development Organization	0	0				
Subtotal	13,400,551	7,782,413				
3. Neighborhood Investment						
a. CHDO Operating Grants	450,000	631,071				
Subtotal	450,000	631,071				
4. Agency Management Program	1,510,473	1,441,207				
5. Program Monitoring and Compliance / Portfolio Mgt.	191,093	413,279				
TOTAL HOME Program	18,717,498	13,009,473				

Table 7: FY2010 HOME Program Budget

HOME Match Requirement

Under 24 CFR 92.218 et. seq., the District must provide a matching contribution of local funds to HOME-funded or other affordable housing projects as a condition of using HOME monies. The District's FY2010 local match requirement for HOME was \$2,330,555. DHCD provided this match through Housing Production Trust Fund-financed investments in housing that met the HOME definition of affordable housing. (See HOME Match Report, Appendix E)

HOME MBE and WBE Report

Submittal of each annual CAPER must also include Part III of HUD Form 4107, otherwise known as HOME Annual Performance Report. Specifically, this report is used to report on the contracting and subcontracting opportunities with MBEs and WBEs for any HOME projects completed during FY2010. While there are no statutory requirements for contracting with a MBE or WBE, HUD uses this report to determine the outreach efforts of the Agency to MBEs and WBEs. (See HUD Form 4107, Appendix E)

In terms of Affirmative Marketing, DHCD has established measures to guarantee compliance with affirmative marketing guidelines, including providing prospective funding recipients and all other affected stakeholders, i.e. developers, non-profits, the general public and tenants, with information on such fair housing requirements. The grantees are informed of their responsibility to make good faith efforts to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons from racial, ethnic, familial composition, and gender groups in the District to occupy the available housing units who otherwise would not be aware of such programs or projects. Following are some actions mandated to assure affirmative marketing:

- All housing related programs and projects must display the "Equal Housing Opportunity" logo/slogan or statement in any advertising or solicitation for tenants or participants.
- Management companies of multifamily funded projects must display the fair housing posters wherever applications are accepted.
- Inform and solicit applications for vacant units for persons in the housing market who are least likely to apply for housing unless special outreach in completed.
- Inform targeted community agencies of the availability of units in order to reach the ethnically/racially/linguistically isolated community.
- Accept referrals from the D.C. Housing Authority that match the affirmative marketing requisites.
- Obtain information about apartment buildings occupied by community organizations and churches whose members are non-minority and are located in the various neighborhoods in which the program operates.

DHCD also continues to ensure that all its public documents have the District's Non-Discrimination clause as mandated by the Mayor's Executive Order 11246 and the implementing regulations at 41 CFR Chapter 60. This clause provides that:

In accordance with the D.C. Human Rights Act of 1977, as amended, D.C. Official Code Section 2-1401.01 et seq.,(Act) the District of Columbia does not discriminate on the basis of actual or perceived: race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, personal appearance, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, familial status, family responsibilities, matriculation, political affiliation, genetic information, disability, source of income, status as a victim of an intra-family offense, or place of residence or business. Sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination which is prohibited by the Act. In addition, harassment based on any of the above protected categories is prohibited by the Act. Discrimination in violation of the Act will not be tolerated. Violators will be subject to disciplinary action.

L. HOPWA Program and Use of Funds

The District of Columbia Department of Housing Community Development (DHCD) is the Formula Grantee for the Housing Opportunity for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) for the Washington, DC Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA). The mission of DHCD is to create and preserve opportunities for affordable housing and economic development and to revitalize underserved communities in the District of Columbia. HOPWA is administered by the HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, STD & TB Administration (HAHSTA), formerly the HIV/AIDS Administration, of the District of Columbia Department of Health. The mission of HAHSTA is to prevent HIV/AIDS, STDs, Tuberculosis and Hepatitis, reduce transmission of the diseases and provide care and treatment to persons with the diseases. The HOPWA program goals are to reduce homelessness, minimize the risk of homelessness, increase housing stability and promote the general health and well-being of residents with HIV and their families.

The EMSA for the Washington DC Regional Metropolitan area includes the District of Columbia; portions of northern and northwest Virginia, three counties in suburban Maryland and Jefferson County, West Virginia, and represents a subset of the CARE Act Part A eligible metropolitan area, also administered by HAHSTA. This puts HAHSTA in the unique position of administering housing programs across four states each operating within unique local housing and medical continuums of care.

HAHSTA directly administers funding and oversees services for residents of the District of Columbia, and supports housing programs in the each of the neighbor jurisdictions through individual service agreements with a designated administrative agent.

Each of the three neighboring jurisdictions is administered in a different way.

- 1. Northern and Northwest Virginia. A quasi-governmental organization, the Northern Virginia Regional Commission (NVRC), serves as the administrative agency for northern and northwest Virginia. The service area includes the counties of Arlington, Clarke, Fairfax, Fauquier, Loudoun, Prince William, Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Warren and the cities of Alexandria, Fairfax, Falls Church, Fredericksburg, Manassas, and Manassas Park.
- 2. Suburban Maryland. The Prince George's County Housing Authority serves as the administrative agency for residents of Prince Georges County, Calvert County and Charles County.
- 3. Jefferson County. The AIDS Network of the Tri-State Area (ANTS) serves the dual role of administrative agency and housing service provider for this region. Unusually, ANTS is located in Berkley County, but oversees the use of HOPWA funds for Jefferson County.

Services supported among the four jurisdictions vary somewhat based upon client need and the availability of other sources of funding for housing and housing-related services. The administrative agent in each jurisdiction is responsible for working within their community in conjunction with the HAHSTA to implement HOPWA funding to augment the regional housing continuum. Services for each jurisdiction in fiscal year 2010 were as follows:

The District of Columbia:

- Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)
- Project Based Rental Assistance (PBRA or Supportive Housing)
- Short-Term, Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)
- Housing Information and Referral Services: Intake, Assessment, and linkage services
- Support Services: Housing case management, a job readiness program, and a day program

Northern and Northwest Virginia:

- TBRA
- STRMU
- Facility Operations
- Housing Information and Referral Services: Internet housing resource database, intake, assessment and linkage services
- Support Services: Legal services and transportation

Suburban Maryland:

- TBRA
- STRMU

Jefferson County, West Virginia

- TBRA
- STRMU
- Support Services: Housing case management and transportation services

Table 8: FY2010 HOPWA Program EMSA-Wide Budget

	Budget	Cash/Accrued Expenditures
1. Housing Information Services	\$540,374	\$436,244
2. Resource Identification	0	0
3. Acquisition, Rehab., Conversion, Lease, and Repair of Facilities	0	0
4. New Construction, Dwellings and Community Residences	0	0
5. Permanent Housing Facility	\$35,647	\$34,647
6. Short Term Transitional Facility	\$2,037,430	\$1,714,207
7. Tenant-based Rental Assistance	\$8,010,550	\$6,665,927
8. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Payments	\$650,036	\$732,243
9. Support Services/without housing	\$889,137	\$846,824
10. Permanent Housing Placement	\$41,133	\$66,763
11. Supportive Services/w housing	\$1,282,053	\$797,826
12. Technical Assistance	0	0
13. Administrative Expenses – 7% Cap	\$854,946	\$839,423
14. Administrative Expenses/Grantee 3% Off the Top Total HOPWA Formula Award	\$366,406	\$406,282
TOTAL HOPWA Program	\$14,707,712	\$12,540,386

Distribution of HOPWA across the Washington DC EMSA

The District of Columbia Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) is the HOPWA Formula Grantee for the Washington, DC EMSA. The District's Department of Health, HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, STD & TB Administration (HAHSTA) is the agency within the District of Columbia responsible for the fiscal and programmatic administration and oversight of the HOPWA award. In FY 2010, the HOPWA program in the Washington DC EMSA spent \$12,540,386 in support of housing services. Notably, this amount represents more than the \$12,213,518 awarded through the HOPWA formula grant in the EMSA in FY 2010. As HAHSTA in conjunction with community partners maximized the fiscal accountability and implementation of HOPWA programming, HAHSTA was able to utilize unexpended dollars from previous years to address the increased needs of clients. HAHSTA expects that by the end of FY 2011 those unexpended dollars from prior years will have been fully spent.

HAHSTA awards sub-grants to project sponsors in the District of Columbia through a competitive Request for Application (RFA) process. In 2010, HAHSTA spent \$8,440,799 to support 13 agencies. These agencies provided the following services in the District of Columbia:

- Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)
- Permanent Housing Placement
- Transitional Housing/Short Term
- Short-Term, Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)
- Housing Information and Referral Services: Intake, Assessment, and linkage services
- Support Services/without Housing: Support Services with Housing, Housing case management, Substance Abuse Counseling

In the District, HAHSTA monitors these programs both fiscally and programmatically to ensure coordination within the overall housing continuum of care, efficiency in service delivery, and compliance with federal and local regulations. This is done through both remote and on-sight monitoring.

HAHSTA contracts out with sub-recipients in each of the Suburban Jurisdictions comprised in the EMSA. The sub-recipients, in turn will sub-contract with local service providers based on the community needs and in conjunction with statewide housing Action Plans applicable to the region. The sub-recipients responsible for planning in the jurisdictions are as follows:

• Prince George County Department of Housing Authority (Suburban Maryland)

The HOPWA Program in Suburban Maryland incorporates services to Prince George's, Calvert, and Charles Counties. Maryland sub-contracts out with two project sponsors to deliver the following services:

- TBRA
- STRMU

In FY 2010, Maryland expended \$2,170,695 in support of these services. HOPWA programs in Suburban Maryland are operated in collaboration with a broader continuum of care that helps clients to meet their daily needs for housing, mental health, substance abuse and other supportive services. The priorities and allocations of the Suburban Maryland region correlate with those of the Washington, D.C. Eligible Metropolitan Area.

• Northern Virginia Regional Commission (NVRC)

The Northern and Northwest Virginia portion of the EMSA serves the counties of Arlington, Clarke, Fairfax, Fauquier, Loudoun, Prince William, Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Warren and the cities of Alexandria, Fairfax, Falls Church, Fredericksburg, Manassas, and Manassas Park. NVRC spent \$1,826,594 to fund 6 sub-grants to community-based organizations and local housing authorities to provide the following services:

- TBRA
- STRMU
- Facility Operations
- Housing Information and Referral Services: Internet housing resource database, intake, assessment and linkage services
- Support Services: Legal services and transportation

Besides being the sub-recipient for HOPWA services in the Virginia jurisdiction, NVRC is also the administrative agent for the distribution of Ryan White CARE Act Part A funding in the region. As a result, the NVRC is able to broadly assess the comprehensive needs of clients in the region and coordinate housing and medical services into fuller continuum of care for residents of the region.

The AIDS Network of the Tri-State Area (ANTSA) is sub-recipient for HOPWA services in Jefferson County, West Virginia. In FY 2010, ANTS expended \$102,298 in the delivery of the following services:

- TBRA
- STRMU
- Support Services: Housing case management and transportation services

ANTSA acts as the administrative agent for the Ryan White CARE Act Part A as well as the sub-recipient for HOPWA funding for the West Virginia jurisdiction of the Washington DC EMSA. Uniquely, ANTSA operates both as a sub-recipient and as a project sponsor providing administrative oversight for the region and direct services to clients. Currently Jefferson County, West Virginia is the only jurisdiction in the EMSA not experiencing waiting lists for TBRA and STRMU.

Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/ Objective*
Provide housing information services to persons living with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA	• No. of persons assisted	3,200	13,094	DH-1
Provide short-term rental, mortgage and utility assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA	• No. with short-term rental assistance	500	378	DH-2
Provide supportive services to HOPWA-assisted housing	HOPWA	• No. of persons assisted	2,000	342	DH-1
Provide operating support to	HOPWA	No. of persons assisted	400	NA	DH-1

[•] West Virginia AIDS Network of the Tri-State Area

Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/ Objective*
housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS					
Provide TBRA rental subsidies to persons with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA	• No. of persons assisted	700	599	DH-2
Acquisition, rehabilitation, conversion, lease, and repair of facilities (24 CFR 574.300.B.3)	HOPWA	 No. of housing units No. of affordable units No. of units designated for persons with HIV/AIDS 	0	0	DH-2

The actual expenditures outlined in the CAPER exceed the formula allocation to the EMSA. HAHSTA worked with community partners during FY 2010 to maximize fiscal capacity. As a result, HAHSTA was able to fully expend the annual award and utilize unexpended funds from prior years to address increased client needs. As of the end of FY2011 all funds unexpended in prior years are primarily spent.

The EMSA was unable to serve the number of clients TBRA and Supportive Housing clients projected in the FY 2010 Action Plan. In 2010, the unemployment rate in the District of Columbia rose from 7.1% in October 2009 to 11.4% in September 2010 (DC Dept. of Employment Services). The result of this unexpected downturn in the economy and increased unemployment rate was an increase in demand on all HOPWA funded housing programs. Through outreach to local governmental partners and improved education within the HIV/AIDS continuum of care improved the ability of the program to access clients in need of housing assistance and to make them aware of HOPWA programs.

In addition, the HAHSTA, in conjunction with its community partners, improved the systemic supports necessary to maintain individuals on TBRA and in supportive housing. This increased the length of time individuals remained in these programs. So, despite increased need, clients enrolled on TBRA in the District remained on TBRA throughout the year. In fact, during FY 2010 only 20 individuals moved off of the waiting list into the TBRA program. So while fewer individuals were served, TBRA dollars were utilized effectively in assisting the families supported to remain continually housed throughout the fiscal year. While these numbers most certainly reflect a lack of exit strategies for individuals receiving TBRA services, it also reflects the effectiveness of targeted support services for individuals stabilized through TBRA. This shift directly impacted the ability of the EMSA to service the number of clients projected.

Summary of Achievements

Over FY2010, HAHSTA working with the our partners in Maryland, Virginia and West Virginia made significant strides in meeting the program priorities outlined in the FY2010 Annual Action Plan:

Priority #1: Decrease the current waiting list for TBRA and Supportive Housing

During FY 2009, the Washington DC EMA experienced a dramatic increase in the waiting list. Several factors contributed to this increase.

• In 2009 the unemployment rate in the District of Columbia rose from 7.1% in October 2008 to 11.4% in September 2009 (DC Dept. of Employment Services, Oct. 21, 2009,

<u>http://newsroom.dc.gov/show.aspx/agency/does/section/2/release/18391</u>). The result of this unexpected downtown in economy and increased unemployment rate was an increase in demand on all HOPWA funded housing assistance programs.

- HAHSTA through outreach to local governmental partners and improved education within the HIV/AIDS continuum of care improved the program's ability to access clients in need of housing assistance and to make them aware of HOPWA programs.
- The HAHSTA, in conjunction with its community partners, improved the systemic supports necessary to maintain individuals on TBRA and in supportive housing, thereby, increasing the length of time individuals remained in these programs.
- Finally, long-term programs funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) such as the Housing Choice Voucher Program (formerly Section 8) designed to provide more permanent housing support options for low-income individuals also experienced increased demand resulting in long waitlists. The lack of exit strategies into these more permanent housing programs for clients on TBRA and in Supportive Housing programs led to a stagnancy in HOPWA programs. In the District only 11 new clients moved off of the waiting list to receive a TBRA voucher, 28 new clients were enrolled in northern and northwest Virginia, and no new clients were moved from the waiting list into TBRA in Suburban Maryland.

In FY 2010, without more resources, HAHSTA expects the waiting list for TBRA and Supportive Housing programs to continue to grow. HAHSTA will continue to improve coordination with other programs in the housing continuum of care and to work with clients on the waitlist to maximize access to other housing resources.

Priority #2: Continue to provide opportunities to empower clients to self-sufficiency

In FY 2009, HAHSTA made a series of strategic programmatic changes to improve clients' ability to manage their own housing needs and to maximize their access to housing services.

- HAHSTA worked with community partners to increase the flexibility of the application process for HOPWA assistance programs by eliminating the need to apply through case management systems and by providing universal access to applications through Internet links and expanded application assistance through the Metropolitan Housing Access Program (MHAP), formerly known as the "Gatekeeper".
- HAHSTA also worked with MHAP to increase program support for clients in the District of Columbia to begin actively managing clients on TBRA and Supportive Housing waiting lists with the goal of expanding access to services beyond HOPWA funded programming and providing homeless prevention services for clients not currently able to access TBRA or Supportive Housing programs.
- In FY 2009 HAHSTA helped to improve coordination client by linking the websites for the MHAP to District of Columbia's Department of Housing and Community Development's (DHCD) affordable housing search engine <u>www.DCHousingsearch.org</u> and encouraged both landlords and clients to use the system.
- In Northern and Northwest Virginia, the Northern Virginia Regional Commission similarly increased active support for clients on the TBRA waitlist through the HIV Resources Project (<u>http://www.novaregion.org/index.aspx?nid=684</u>), an Internet resources center that includes an affordable housing search engine and links to local housing and medical resources. The HIV Resources Project now includes staff to actively engage and support clients on the waiting list through increased contact, on-going needs assessment and resources linkage.

• In FY 2009 HAHSTA eliminated the case management requirement for all TBRA clients in the District and targeted case management services to those most in need. First, HAHSTA improved linkage for support services to non-HAHSTA funded support services to include such as Ryan White Case Management and District of Columbia Dept of Employment Services. In addition, HAHSTA tied housing need and assessment to the newly developed Ryan White medical case management acuity scale. By incorporating housing into the medical case management acuity scale, HAHSTA ensures that clients needing housing support are adequately linked to medical services and that the scale incorporates each client's ability to access safe, affordable housing into the level of case management services received.

Priority #3: Provide housing information and referral.

Across the EMA housing information and referrals services includes a broad spectrum of programs that provide information exchange around housing and housing-related services; assessments for individual client needs; and referral and linkage to alternate support and housing services for clients both engaged in housing services and on the TBRA and Supportive Housing waiting list;

- The focus in the District of Columbia shifted to include an active management process for clients on the waiting list. These services include increased provider contact to ensure clients remain engaged in services, to assess clients' current housing needs, and to facilitate resource linkages.
- Universal access for clients increased to include increased access to programming in the District of Columbia and to Suburban Maryland through the MHAP website: <u>http://www.housingetc.org/gatekeep.htm</u>.
- In Virginia, housing information services were expanded to include improved services to clients on the waitlist for TBRA, Supportive Housing and STRMU. Staff from the HIV Resource Project maintains monthly contact with clients on the waitlist to assess risk and provide linkage to non-HOPWA funded services within the continuum of care.

Priority #4: Develop and implement standardized housing programs and policies.

As demand for housing support increased across the Metropolitan Washington Area, HAHSTA and its administrative partners worked together to coordinate effort to streamline programs, maximize efficiency, and improve access for clients.

- In the District of Columbia, HAHSTA consolidated payment and entry systems for TBRA, STRMU, and PBRA programs into a single point of payment and single point of entry respectively which lead to a more standardized delivery system and decreased administrative expense.
- In the District of Columbia during FY 2009, HAHSTA modified policies and procedures around budget modifications to allow programs more flexibility to manage programs.
- Dramatic increases in the usage of our programs, led HAHSTA to analyze the fiscal management and impact of our housing programs. As a result, HAHSTA has shifted the focus of support services for FY 2010 to leverage support service providers outside of HAHSTA rather than directly funded support service. Over the course of FY 2010 policies and procedures around support services will continue to be refined to support systemic changes and maximize program efficiency.

• Policy and procedure across the EMSA will continue to be updated regularly based on identified need for changes in the delivery of housing across the jurisdictions; consultation with clients and stakeholders; and formalization of revised policies.

Priority #5: Ensure quality housing options.

Despite increased demand for all forms of HOPWA funded housing assistance, HAHSTA and its administrative partners were able to ensure quality housing options for those engaged in HOPWA programs and to improve access for those on the waiting lists.

- In FY 2009, the EMSA was able to maintain the level of supported housing options to clients across all jurisdictions.
- Although the combination of increased need and decreased transitioning of clients into long-term, non-HAHSTA funded permanent housing programs has lead to an increase in waiting lists, the restructuring of support services completed in FY 2009 will ensure that in FY 2010 clients receiving a TBRA or Supportive Housing subsidy remain housed.

M. ESG Program and Use of Funds

DHCD exceeded its FY2010 Action Plan goal under the ESG to provide shelter for 56 families. Shelter has provided for 113 families by supporting operations of a family shelter at 1448 Park Road NE. DHCD has also provided at least 88 families and 35 individuals with Emergency rental assistance and eviction prevention grants.

Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/ Objective
		Homeless Objectives			
Prevent increases in homelessness	ESG	• No. of households that received emergency financial assistance to prevent homelessness	111	123	DH-2
Support homeless families	ESG	• No. of homeless families given overnight shelter.	56	113	SL-1
Maintain the quality of shelter provided to homeless persons	ESG	 Emergency Housing: No. of beds created in an overnight shelter or other emergency housing. 	0	0	SL-1

 Table 10: Summary of Specific Homeless Objectives for FY2010

In FY2010, funds reported on are from the ESG 2007 award that was given to DHCD in a grant agreement dated for 2010. DHCD is on track to expend 100% of the ESG within the required 24-month spending period, which will expire on March 15, 2012. In addition, a portion of the ESG 2007 funds which were funds awarded to DHCD on December 2007 were expended in FY2010. (Tables 10 and 11 show the ESG expenditures and accomplishments for FY2010.)

Activity/Service	Planned	Actual	ESG Expense
Homeless	111	123	\$166,029
Prevention/Emergency			
Assistance Grants			
Shelter Operations	56	113	\$355,196
Administration Cost	NA	NA	\$ 48,313
Total	167	236	\$569,537

 Table 11: ESG Program Expense, FY2010

*families/adults

Distribution of Funds by Goals

In FY2010, using 2007 and 2008 ESG funds, the Community Partnership paid for the following activities as planned per its FY2010 spending plan though the FY09 action plan was based on ESG 2009 budget figures:

- 1. Homeless Prevention/Emergency Assistance Grants for Families and Adults-
 - Goal: Grants were to be made to eligible recipients through the Emergency Assistance Fund and neighborhood-based Family Support Collaborative.

• Actual: 123 families and individuals have received prevention assistance totaling \$166,029

Sponsor: The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness Funding Source: ESG Budget Amount: \$240,870 (2010 ESG) Total Expenditure: \$135,001 (2007 ESG) \$31,028 (2008 ESG) Anticipated Outcome Measure: 111 clients served

Actual Outcome Measure: 123 clients served (88 families and 35 adults)

The ESG 2010 agreement with The Community Partnership was not executed until March 15, 2010. Prevention activity began again October 1, 2009. The program has under spent because fewer applicants requested assistance for DCEAF for period of March 01, 2010 to September 30, 2010.

- 2. Shelter Operations
 - Goal: Grants were to be made for the cost of rent at the Park Road Family Shelter (45 units) for a total of \$521,885
 - Actual: A total of \$147,262 of ESG 2007 funds and \$207,934 of ESG 2008 (equaling a total expenditure of 355,196) were paid in expenses for Shelter Operations which funded the rent for the 45-unit shelter at the Park Road Family Shelter which was expected to serve 56 different families. The shelter served 113 families during the fiscal year.

Sponsor: The Community Partnership for the Prevention of HomelessnessFunding Source: ESGBudget Amount:\$521,885 (2010 ESG)Total Expenditure:\$147,262 (2007 ESG)\$207,934 (2008 ESG)

Anticipated Outcome Measure: 56 Actual Outcome Measure: 113 families

In FY2010, The 113 families served at the Park Road Family Shelter greatly exceeded the target of 56. The target was set based on experience with families moving slowly out of emergency shelter due to lack of transitional housing. At the end of FY2008 and for all of FY2010, the District has been moving families into the System Transformation Initiative program, a scattered site transitional program which has eased the burden on emergency shelter by providing more transitional housing options for families. In FY2010, the System Transformation Initiative which is funded by the DC Department of Human Services and coordinated by The Community Partnership, housed 281 families. The size and scope of this program created additional movement for families residing in all of the shelter programs in the city including Park Road. In FY2010, many families were moved out of the Shelter system and into the Mayor's Permanent Supportive Housing Program.

- 3. Staff, Operating Costs and Administration
 - Goal: Funds in the amount of \$40,155 were included in the ESG 2008 recitals to cover a portion of administrative costs for the Community Partnership's staff involved in the ESG program and for fiscal monitoring of ESG-funded activities.

• Actual: The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness spent \$48,313 of the budgeted amount for administration.

Sponsor: The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness Funding Source: ESG Budget Amount: \$40,155 Total Expenditure: \$17,107 (2008 ESG) \$31,206 (2007 ESG) Anticipated Outcome Measure: N/A Actual Outcome Measure: N/A

ESG Matching Funds

In addition to its federal ESG funds, the District provided local matching dollars to support outreach and prevention services and support shelter operations. The District works to provide assistance for the homeless through community-based organizations, faith-based organizations and other non-profit service providers.

Table 12: Local ESG Match Expen	nditures for 2010
---------------------------------	-------------------

Prevention/Emergency Assistance Grants					
Nonprofit Organization	Funding Source	Funding Level			
Virginia Williams Family Resource Center (Family Central Intake) – operated by the Coalition for the Homeless	TANF block grant allocated by DHS, funding staff salaries	\$667,938			
Total Prevention		\$667,938			

Essential Services/Shelter Operations					
Shelter Operations	Funding Source	Funding Level			
Park Road Family Shelter, 1448 Park Rd NW	TANF and local funding, DHS Appropriation funding program costs	\$556,278			
Total Shelter Operations		\$556,278			

Method of Distribution

In FY2010, DHCD worked directly through the Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness and its sub-grantees to carry out the intent of the ESG program.

The Partnership utilizes three categories of procurement to establish or expand services from District and federal funding sources.

1. Open Competition is the most frequently used method. The Partnership issues Requests for Proposals (RFPs) for desired services. The RFPs define in detail the services required. Draft RFPs are reviewed in a public conference prior to the issuance of a final RFP in order to insure maximum understanding and participation by potential providers. The Partnership accepts competitive applications from any interested organization. Applications submitted in response to RFPs are evaluated and ranked, according to the ranking criteria outlined in the RFP, by panels of three to five persons consisting of Partnership Board members and outside reviewers who have been determined to have no personal or financial interest in the provision of services under the various programs to be funded. The review panel makes recommended selection of awardees to the Partnership's Executive Director who, in consultation with the Board, is responsible for determining which proposals shall be funded.

- 2. Limited Competition is used to competitively bid within a limited pool of qualified providers. The basic criteria for inclusion in such procurement include: long standing and unique experience, capacity to implement a special project for a limited period of time, and/or capacity to provide a unique and specialized service under extenuating circumstances.
- 3. Sole Source Contracts are used primarily for interim contracts for projects that may be subject to an open competition at a later date; collaborative agreements with substantively qualified agencies that can advance a particular initiative; or personal services and consultant contracts to achieve limited objectives.
- 4. HUD SuperNOFA McKinney-Vento Continuum of Care Funds: Annual submissions to HUD for Continuum of Care funding utilize the open competition method of procurement. The application considers both new permanent housing proposals and renewals of existing transitional housing, permanent supportive housing and supportive services only (employment, healthcare, childcare). Once HUD announces the SuperNOFA competition, the Partnership issues an email blast to more than 125 programs and city leaders announcing the availability of HUD funding. Following this communication, several meetings are held to discuss the application process for new projects and to rank existing projects. The following criteria have been established by the Community Partnership in ranking applications:
 - a. Performance on achieving past measurable objectives
 - b. Demonstrable and credible outcomes on Housing, Income, Occupancy and Self-Sufficiency performance indicators
 - c. Leveraging of public and private resources
 - d. Cost effectiveness
 - e. Project readiness for new proposals
 - f. Access to mainstream services for clients
 - g. Local and Federal policy priorities

Activity and Beneficiary Data

The FY2010 Action Plan states that the District and Community Partnership will continue to seek McKinney-Vento Act "Continuum of Care" funds to maintain and build its system of care for homeless people. In FY2009, the Community Partnership received notice of awards in the amount of \$18,845,442 from its FY2009 "Continuum of Care" application to HUD. The 2010 Continuum of Care application prepared and submitted by the Community Partnership will be in the amount of, at least, \$19.6 million in McKinney-Vento funds, as follows:

Table 13: 2010 Continuum of Care Project Priorities								
Applicant	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Numeric Priority	Requested Project Amount	Term of Project	SHP New	SHP Renewal	S+C
The Community Partnership	Catholic Charities	North Capitol Street	1	\$931,345	2	PH		
The Community Partnership	Open Arms Housing	The Dunbar	2	\$112,000	1		РН	
The Community Partnership	Anchor Mental Health	Samaritan Housing/CHI 5	3	\$477,676	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	The Community Partnership	FOCUS/CH Exp	4	\$123,530	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	Community Connections, Inc.	Youth Families	5	\$420,000	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	Community of Hope	Housing Families First	6	\$541,313	1		РН	
The Community Partnership	New Endeavors by Women	New Horizons	7	\$414,029	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	Transitional Housing Corporation	Housing with Care	8	\$430,837	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	The Community Partnership	HMIS Expansion	9	\$75,000	1		HMIS	
Catholic Charities	Catholic Charities	Tenants Empowerment Network	10	\$432,844	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	House of Ruth	Hope Rising	11	\$239,506	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	Catholic Charities	Families In Transition	12	\$201,038	1		TH	
Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Inc.	Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Inc.	Olaiya's Cradle	13	\$189,057	1		TH	
House of Ruth	House of Ruth	Domestic Violence Transitional Housing Programs	14	\$321,806	1		ТН	
The Community Partnership	Community Council for the Homeless at Friendship Place	Bridges Project 1 (B4)	15	\$110,674	1		РН	
Transitional Housing Corporation	Transitional Housing Corporation	Partner Arms 1	16	\$127,720	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Catholic Charities	St. Mathias Mulumba House	17	\$245,421	1		TH	
Families Forward, Inc.	Families Forward, Inc.	Stable Families 1	18	\$234,862	1		TH	
Families Forward, Inc.	Families Forward, Inc.	Stable Families 3	19	\$207,041	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Christ House	Kairos House	20	\$899,866	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Community Connections, Inc.	Dual Diagnosed- Girard	21	\$121,727	1		PH	
	Latino Transitional	Latino Transitional Housing						
The Community Partnership The Community Partnership	Housing Partnership House of Ruth	Partnership H of R-New Beginnings	22	\$592,184 \$134,834	1		TH TH	

Table 13: 2010 Continuum of Care Project Priorities

Applicant	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Numeric Priority	Requested Project Amount	Term of Project	SHP New	SHP Renewal	S+C
The Community Partnership	The Community Partnership	Chronic Homeless Initiative 2	24	\$285,457	1		РН	
The Community Partnership	Community Connections, Inc.	C&L- Supportive Housing	25	\$350,173	1		PH	
Pathways to Housing DC	Pathways to Housing DC	Serial Inebriates	26	\$514,025	1		РН	
The Community Partnership	Catholic Charities	Mt. Carmel House	27	\$189,000	1		TH	
Community Family Life Services, Inc.	Community Family Life Services, Inc.	Trinity Arms	28	\$140,205	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Transitional Housing Corporation	Partner Arms 2	29	\$148,924	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Rachael's-Permanent Housing	Rachael's- Permanent Housing	30	\$165,819	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	Community Connections, Inc.	Trauma- Suitland	31	\$109,725	1		TH	
House of Ruth	House of Ruth	Unity Transitional Housing Program	32	\$114,586	1		TH	
SOME, Inc.	SOME, Inc.	Exodus House	33	\$323,673	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Miriam's House	Miriam's House	34	\$141,214	1		РН	
The Community Partnership	New Hope Ministries	Safe Haven Reunified	35	\$232,879	1		TH	
		Families Transitional Housing						
House of Ruth	House of Ruth Community Council	Program	36	\$84,383	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	for the Homeless at Friendship Place	Bridges Project 2 (B5)	37	\$141,366	1		РН	
The Community Partnership	Calvary Women's Services	Calvary- Transitional Program	38	\$143,742	1		TH	
Coalition for the Homeless	Coalition for the Homeless	Spring Road Family Apartments	39	\$171,453	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Community Connections, Inc.	HIV-G St	40	\$132,300	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	Coalition for the Homeless	Blair House	41	\$204,747	1		ТН	
The Community Partnership	Neighbor's Consejo	Casa Paz (HUD 1)	42	\$149,203	1		TH	
Community Connections, Inc.	Community Connections, Inc.	Transitional Living Communities	43	\$106,863	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Bethany, Inc.	Good Hope House	44	\$78,342	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Gospel Rescue Ministries	Gospel Rescue Minsitries	45	\$100,905	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	New Endeavors by Women	New Expectations	46	\$211,621	1		TH	

Applicant	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Numeric Priority	Requested Project Amount	Term of Project	SHP New	SHP Renewal	S+C
The Community Partnership	Community Connections, Inc.	Permanent Families	47	\$188,313	1		PH	
		Mickey Leland Transitional Housing Program for						
SOME, Inc.	SOME, Inc.	Homeless Men	48	\$101,333	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Green Door	Green Door	49	\$144,758	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	New Endeavors by Women	New Generations	50	\$181,025	1		TH	
Community Connections, Inc.	Community Connections, Inc.	Training Apts	51	\$98,751	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	US Vets-DC	US Vets-DC	52	\$102,199	1		PH	
The Community Partnership	Neighbor's Consejo	Casa Libertad (HUD 2)	53	\$150,000	1		TH	
Hannah House, Inc	Hannah House, Inc	THEIRS Program for Family Reunification	54	\$148,115	1		TH	
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	The Harbor Light Center	55	\$475,935	1		ТН	
		Women's Transitional Housing Addictions						
SOME, Inc.	SOME, Inc.	Program	56	\$513,940	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Woodley House	Holly House Madison	57	\$86,003	1		PH	
House of Ruth	House of Ruth	Transitional Housing Program	58	\$144,083	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Access Housing	Chesapeake House	59	\$275,106	1		TH	
The Community Partnership	Covenant House Washington	My Place	60	\$257.261	1		PH	
	Sasha Bruce	Independent Living Program						
Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Inc.	Youthwork, Inc. Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Inc.	I Independent Living Program 2	61	\$67,628 \$129,593	1		TH TH	
		1			1		111	
Sub	total: Requested Amount Community	f for CoC Competiti Shelter Plus	ve rrojects	\$14,888,958				
Department of Health	Connections, Inc.	Care - SRA		\$253,056	1			S+C
Department of Housing & Community Development	The Community Partnership	Shelter Plus Care TRA		\$931,344	1			S+C
Department of Health	Community Connections, Inc.	Shelter Plus Care - TRA		\$335,160	1			S+C
Department of Housing & Community Development	The Community Partnership	Shelter Plus Care TRA		\$3,209,112	1			S+C
S	Subtotal: Requested Amo	ount for S+C Renew	val Projects	\$4,728,672				

Applicant	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Numeric Priority	Requested Project Amount	Term of Project	SHP New	SHP Renewal	S+C
	TOTAL C	oC REQUESTED	AMOUNT	\$19,617,630				

Т

Appendix A Development Finance Division FY2010 Funded Activities

Project: 801 Pennsylvania Avenue SE – Rehabilitation Project Type/Description: Community Facility with non-profit organization offices that provide services to low- moderate income persons, submitted in the RFP competition (under the DFD Project Financing Community Facility of the Program) for rehabilitation construction soft costs assistance.						
Address: 801 Pennsylvania Avenue SE Ward: 6 Sponsor: Community Connections Real Estate Foundation Anticipated Outcome: Community facility office rehab Actual Outcome: non-profit office rehab Beneficiaries: Individuals at 80% or below of AMI	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: CDBG Closing Date: Oct - 09 IDIS #: 1617	\$668,654 \$668,654 \$599,871				
Project: The Norwood Tenants Associaton Project Type/Description: Seed money loan for a Tenant Fractivities	irst Right of Purchase (TOPA)	project predevelopment				
Address: 1417 N Street NW Ward: 2 Sponsor: Norwood Tenants Association Anticipated Outcome: pre-development studies Actual Outcome: Pre-development studies completed Beneficiaries: 21 at 0-30% AMI, 21 at 31-50% AMI 51-60% AMI, 61-80% AMI	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: CDBG Closing Date: Mar 10 IDIS #: 1672	\$41,250 \$41,250 \$41,250				
Project: Capital Area Food Bank (Phase I) Project Type/Description: Community Facility – funds for of 4900 Puerto Rico Avenue NE warehouse	pre-development activities ass	sociated with redevelopment				
Address: 4900 Puerto Rico Avenue NE	Total Development Cost:	\$37,154,877				
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$800,000 \$(17,202				
Sponsor: Capital Area Food Bank Anticipated Outcome: pre-development studies Actual Outcome: Completed pre-development studies Beneficiaries: Households at 80% AMI or below needing Emergency food supplies	Total Expenditure: Funding Source: CDBG Closing Date: Mar-10 IDIS #: 1705	\$617,203				
Project :2711 Q Street SE TOPA Acquisition Project Type/Description: Tenant First Right to Purchase (7)	TOPA) acquisition					
Address: 2711 Q Street SE	Total Development Cost:	\$450,000				
Ward: 7	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$450,000				
Sponsor: 2711 Q Street Tenant Association Anticipated Outcome: 10 housing units acquired	Total Expenditure: Funding Source: CDBG	\$450,000				
Actual Outcome: 10 housing units acquired	Closing Date: Jul- 10					
Beneficiaries: 3 at 0-30% AMI, 3 at 31-50% AMI, 2 at 51-60% AMI, 2 at 61-80% AMI	IDIS #: 1732					

Project : Phyllis Wheatley YWCA – Purchase of HUD Note							
Project Type/Description: Special Needs Single Room Occupancy (SRO) acquisition – purchase of HUD insured							
mortgage							
Address: 901 Rhode Island Avenue NW	Total Development Cost:	\$1,660,297					
Ward: 2	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$1,660,297					
Sponsor: Phyllis Wheatley YWCA	Total Expenditue:	\$1,660,297					
Anticipated Outcome: retention of 117affordable rental units	Funding Source: CDBG						
Actual Outcome: retention of 117 affordable ownership units	Closing Date: Jul-10						
Beneficiaries: individual women at 0-30% of AMI	IDIS #: 1726						

Project: Deblaron Courte - Soud Manay Format Manay	Demogit & Aquisitian	
Project: Dahlgren Courts – Seed Money, Earnest Money Project Type/Description: TOPA seed money and acqui		
roject type/Description. For A seed money and dequi	sition of ye updriftent units.	
Address: 2520 & 2604 10 th Street NE	Total Development Cost: \$5,096,807	
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding: \$5,096,807	
Sponsor: Dahlgren Courts Tenant Association	Total Expenditure: \$4,663,489	
Anticipated Outcome: 95 affordable housing units	Funding Source: CDBG	
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable housing units	Closing Date: Jul10	
Beneficiaries: 16 households at 0-30% AMI, 34 at 31-50	% AMI IDIS #: 1729	
45 at 51-60% AMI		

Project: The Deauville – TOPA Acquisition	
Project Type/Description: TOPA Acquisition of 67 aparts	nents
Address: 3145 Mt. Pleasant Street NW	Total Development Cost: \$16,154,765
Ward: 1	DHCD Budget Funding: \$4,137,000
Sponsor: NHT/Enterprise & 3145 Mt. Pleasant Street Tena	ant Total Expenditure: \$3,730,938
Association	-
Anticipated Outcome: 67 affordable rental units	Funding Source: CDBG
Actual Outcome: 67 affordable rental units	Closing Date: Jul-10
Beneficiaries: 22 households at 0-30% of AMI, 22 at 31-5	10% AMI, IDIS #: 1725
23 at 51-60% AMI	
25 ut 51 007071011	

Project: Sanitarium (RAP Inc) Community Facility & Housing – Phase 1 Predevelopment **Project Type/Description:** Community facility and housing – pre-development activities leading to Phases II and III of construction

Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding:	\$4,000,000 \$200,000
Total Expenditure:	\$138,959
Funding Source: CDBG	
Closing Date: Sept – 10)
iduals IDIS #:	1733
	Total Expenditure: Funding Source: CDBG

Project: 3121 Mt. Pleasant Street NW – TOPA Ac Project Type/Description: TOPA Acquisition of	1
Address: 3121 Mt. Pleasant Street NW	Total Development Cost:

Address: 3121 Mt. Pleasant Street NW Ward: 1 Sponsor: 3121 Mt. Pleasant Street Tenant Association Anticipated Outcome: 18 affordable apartments	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: HOME	\$1,702,205 \$1,702,205 \$1,702,205
Actual Outcome: 18 affordable housing units Beneficiaries: 6 at 0-30% AMI, 6 at 31-50% AMI, 6 at 51-60% AMI	Closing Date: Nov-09 IDIS #: 1124	

Project: Ivy City Demonstration Initiative – Mi Casa Phase I **Project Type/Description:** Multi-family condo - rehabilitation

Address: 1302 & 1304 Galludet Street NE,	Total Development Cost:	\$1,310,560
1917 Capitol Ave. NE		
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$165,390 HOME,
		\$368,130 Unified Fund
Sponsor: Mi Casa, Inc. (CHDO)	Total Expenditure:	\$148,851 HOME,
	-	\$331,317 Unified
Anticipated Outcome: 8 units of affordable ownership cor	dos Funding Source: HOME	, Unified Fund
Actual Outcome: 0 units of affordable ownership condos	Closing Date: Nov -09	
Beneficiaries: 2 households 0-30% of AMI, 2 at 31-50% A	MI IDIS #: 1129, 2010-UFU	ND-ICMI-U
4 at 51-60% AMI		

Project: Jubilee HousingPhase II (The Euclid and The Sorrento) Additional FundsProject Type/Description: Construction rehabilitation assistance for two affordable rental buildings with 74 units.Address: 2233 18th Street NW & 1740 Euclid Street NWTotal Development Cost:\$22,806,100

Ward: 1	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$3,675,000
Sponsor: Jubilee Housing, LP (CHDO)	Total Expenditure:	\$3,307,500
Anticipated Outcome: 74 rental affordable units	Funding Source: HOME	
Actual Outcome: 0 rental affordable units	Closing Date: Nov-09	
Beneficiaries: 61 households at 0-30% AMI, 5 at 31-50%	AMI IDIS#: 1014	
8 at 51-60% AMI		

Project: Barbara Chambers Center **Project Type/Description**: soft construction cost assistance for the rehabilitation of an existing day care center.

Total Development Cost:	\$4,825,566			
DHCD Budget Funding:	\$350,000			
Total Expenditure:	\$297,192			
Anticipated Outcome: assistance with construction soft costs Funding Source: CDBG-R (ARRA)				
Actual Outcome: Completed soft construction cost assistance Closing Date: Jul- 10				
IDIS/HDS #:1736				
	DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: CDBG-R Closing Date: Jul- 10			

Project: Capital Area Food Bank (Phase II) Project Type/Description: Demolition and Construction as at 4900 Puerto Rico Avenue NE	ssistance for the redevelopment of	of the old warehouse located
Address: 4900 Puerto Rico Avenue NE	Total Development Cost:	\$37,154,877
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$2,956,510
Sponsor: Capital Area Food Bank	Total Expenditure:	\$0
Anticipated Outcome: redeveloped emergency food	Funding Source: CDBG-R ((ARRA)
distribution warehouse and offices for Capital Area Food Ba	ank	
Actual Outcome: Pre-development activities proceeding	Closing Date: Sep- 10	
Beneficiaries: households at 80% or less of AMI,	HDS/IDIS#: 1707	

Project: Park Vista Apartments

Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for rehabilitation of 82 unit existing affordable apartment unit project submitted in a 9% LIHTC RFP competition (under the DFD Project Financing Rental Housing portion of the Program).

Address: 3400-3520 13th Street SE **Ward:** 8

Sponsor: William C. Smith & Co.ToAnticipated Outcome: 82 affordable housing unitsFuActual Outcome: 0 housing unitsClBeneficiaries: 27 households at 0-30% AMI, 27 at 31-50% AMI28 at 51-60% AMI

 Total Development Cost:
 \$19,544,432

 DHCD Budget Funding:
 \$2,300,000,

Total Expenditure:\$2,070,000Funding Source:TCAP (ARRA Stimulus)Closing Date:Mar -10MIIDIS #: 7

Project: Brookland Artspace Lofts				
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the development of 39 affordable artist live/work apartment				
units in a new building with additional space for Dance Place offices and performance/practice spaces. Project was				
submitted in a 9% LIHTC RFP competition (under the DFD Project Finar	ncing Rental Housing portion of the Program).			
Address: 3305 8 th Street NE Total Dev	velopment Cost: \$12,592,892			
Ward: 5 DHCD Bu	udget Funding: \$3,107,185			
Sponsor: Brookland Artspace Lofts, LLC (Artspace Projects) Total Exp	penditure: \$3,033,768			
Anticipated Outcome: 39 affordable rental units Funding S	Source: TCAP			
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units Closing D	Date: Mar -10			
Beneficiaries: 21 households at 31-50% of AMI,18 at 51-60% AMI	IDIS#: 6			

Project : Ft. Stevens (Fort View) Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the r complex located at 6000-6050 13 th Place NW submitted in a Financing Rental Housing portion of the Program)	
Address: 6000-6050 13 th Place NW Ward: 4	Total Development Cost: \$18,108,131 DHCD Budget Funding: \$1,960,000
Sponsor: Ft. Stevens Thirteenth Place LP (THC/Somerset) Anticipated Outcome: 62 units of affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 units of affordable rental units Beneficiaries: 21 households at 0-30% AMI, 20 at 31-50% A 21 at 51-60% AMI	Total Expenditure:\$1,943,354Funding Source:TCAP (ARRA)Closing Date:Mar -10MIIDIS #:5
Project: Webster Gardens Project Type/Description: Acquisition and construction ass apartment project submitted in a 9% LIHTC RFP competition portion of the Program).	
Address: 124-130 Webster Street NW Ward: 4	Total Development Cost: \$12,042,459 DHCD Budget Funding: \$1,800,000 TCAP, \$4,000,000 UPTE \$1,800,000 TCAP,
Sponsor: THC Affordable Housing, Inc./Somerset Developm \$1,800,000 TCAP Company/ Webster Gardens Tenant Association	\$4,000,000 HPTF nent Total Expenditure: \$1,250,314 HPTF,
Anticipated Outcome: 52 affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units	Funding Source: HPTF/TCAP Closing Date: Apr-10
Beneficiaries: 13 households at 0-30% AMI, 13 at 31-50% A 26 at 51-60% AMI	
 Project: Vida Seniors Project Type/Description: construction assistance for a new competition (under the DFD Project Financing Rental Housin Address: 1330 Missouri Avenue NW Ward:4 Sponsor: Vida Senior Centers Anticipated Outcome: 36 affordable senior rental housing units Beneficiaries: 36 households at 31-50% AMI 	Image portion of the program).Total Development Cost:\$8,391,589DHCD Budget Funding:\$6,867,244Total Expenditure:\$1,431,629.45

fordable rental units located of	on three scattered sites
Total Development Cost:	\$19,513,014
DHCD Budget Funding:	\$3,740,000
Total Expenditure:	\$3,740,000
Funding Source: TCE (AF	RRA)
Closing Date: Jan -10	
% AMI HDS #:2	009-TCEX-J29T-X
	Total Expenditure: Funding Source: TCE (AF Closing Date: Jan -10

Project: Ivy City Demonstration Initiative – DC Habitat for I	Humanity					
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the rehabilitation of 8 affordable condominium housing units						
Address: 1808, 1810, 1817, 1819, 1831, 1833, 1850 and 185	2 Total Development	Cost:	\$1,284,305			
Providence Street NE						
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$647,50	00			
Sponsor: DC Habitat fpr Humanity	Total Expenditure:	\$135,34	48.30			
Anticipated Outcome: 8 affordable homeownership units	ticipated Outcome: 8 affordable homeownership units Funding Source: NSPI (HERA)					
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable homeownership units	Closing Date: May- 10					
Beneficiaries: 8 households at 0-30% AMI	HDS #: 2009-NSP-DCHH-N	1				

Project: My Sister's Place (Sanctuary Plus) **Project Type/Description:** Construction assistance for rehabilitation of 44 affordable rental units in a building located at 2357 Rhode Island Avenue NE.

Address: 2357 Rhode Island Avenue NE Ward: 5 Sponsor: My Sister's Place Anticipated Outcome: 44 affordable rental housing units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental housing units Beneficiaries: 44 households at 0-30% AMI Total Development Cost:\$2,242,169DHCD Budget Funding:\$968,139Total Expenditure:\$Funding Source:ESGClosing Date:Jan 10HDS/IDIS #:1647

Project Type/Description: Construction assistance to the d	evelopment of 60 new affordable	e rental units located at
1015 1 st Street NW for a project submitted through the 9%		
Financing program.	1 1	5
Address: 1015 1 st Street NW	Total Development Cost:	\$14,714,255
Ward: 6	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$842,824
Sponsor: Golden Rule Apartments, Inc.	Total Expenditure:	\$842,824
Anticipated Outcome: 60 affordable rental housing units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental housing units	Funding Source: 9% LIHTC Closing Date: Aug – 10	
Beneficiaries: 30 households at 0-30% AMI, 30 at 51-60% 88925	AMI HDS/IDIS	# : 2010-LIHTC-TS-I,
Project: SOME Texas Avenue SE		
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the 1 2872 Texas Avenue		
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the p 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE	Total Development Cost:	\$7,035,494
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the 1 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding:	\$7,035,494 \$1,913,600
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the r 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7 Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc.	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure:	\$7,035,494
 Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the normalized structure 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7 Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc. Anticipated Outcome: 48 affordable rental units 	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: HPTF	\$7,035,494 \$1,913,600
 Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the n 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7 Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc. Anticipated Outcome: 48 affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units 	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: HPTF Closing Date: Aug - 10	\$7,035,494 \$1,913,600 \$1,913,600
 Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the n 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7 Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc. Anticipated Outcome: 48 affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units 	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: HPTF Closing Date: Aug - 10	\$7,035,494 \$1,913,600
 Project: SOME Texas Avenue SE Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the n 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7 Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc. Anticipated Outcome: 48 affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units Beneficiaries: 24 households at 0-30% AMI, 24 at 31-50% 	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: HPTF Closing Date: Aug - 10	\$7,035,494 \$1,913,600 \$1,913,600
 Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the n 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7 Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc. Anticipated Outcome: 48 affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units Beneficiaries: 24 households at 0-30% AMI, 24 at 31-50% 	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: HPTF Closing Date: Aug - 10	\$7,035,494 \$1,913,600 \$1,913,600
 Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the n 2872 Texas Avenue Address: 2810-2872 Texas Avenue SE Ward: 7 Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc. Anticipated Outcome: 48 affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units 	Total Development Cost: DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: HPTF Closing Date: Aug - 10 AMI HDS #: 09-	\$7,035,494 \$1,913,600 \$1,913,600 HPTF-05SOME-F

Address: 730-736 Chesapeake Street SE	1 otal Development Cos	st: \$6,355,802
Ward: 8	DHCD Budget Funding	g: \$2,498,000
Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc.	Total Expenditure:	\$2,498,000
Anticipated Outcome: 22 affordable rental units	Funding Source: HPTF	,
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units	Closing Date: Aug -	10
Beneficiaries: 11 households at 0-30% AMI, 11 at 31-50% A	AMI	HDS #: 09-HPTF-5SOME-F

Project: SOME – Good Hope Road SE **Project Type/Description:** Construction assistance for the rehabilitation of 45 affordable rental units located at 1667 Good Hope Road SE

Address: 1667 Good Hope Road SE	Total Developm	ent Cost:	\$7,359,136
Ward: 8	DHCD Budget	Funding:	\$3,144,294
Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc.	Total Expenditu	ire:	\$3,144,294
Anticipated Outcome: 45 affordable rental units	Funding Source	e: HPTF/DMI	H
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units	Closing Date:	Aug-10	
Beneficiaries: : 23 households at 0-30% AMI, 22 at 31-50%	AMI	HDS #:09-F	IPTF-5SOME-F

Project: SOME – 50 th Street NE	
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the 360 50 th Street NE	e rehabilitation of 73 affordable rental units located at 350-
500 50 Street NE	
Address: 350-360 Street NE	Total Development Cost:\$9,229,139
Ward: 7	DHCD Budget Funding: \$3,609,254
Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc. Anticipated Outcome: 45 affordable rental units	Total Expenditure: \$3,609,254 Funding Source: HPTF/DMH
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units	Closing Date: Aug-10
Beneficiaries: 37 households at 0-30% AMI, 36 at 31-50%	6 AMI, HDS #: 09-HPTF-5SOME-F
Project: SOME – South Capitol Street SE	
	e rehabilitation of 53 affordable rental units located at 3820-
3830 South Capitol Street SE and DMH cross collaterization Address: 3820-3830 South Capitol Street SE	Total Development Cost: \$5,726,444
Ward: 8	DHCD Budget Funding: \$337,252
Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc.	Total Expenditure: \$337,252
Anticipated Outcome: 53 affordable rental units	Funding Source: HPTF/DMH
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units Beneficiaries: 26 households at 0-30% AMI, 27 at 31-50%	Closing Date: Aug-10 6 AMI, HDS #: 09-HPTF-5SOME-F
Denenciaries. 20 households at 0-5070 Alvir, 27 at 51-507	HDS #. 09-111 11-550WL-1
Project: SOME – Barnaby House	
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the Barnaby Street SE	e renabilitation of 10 arrordable rental units located at 740
Address: 740 Barnaby Street SE	Total Development Cost: \$1,944,151
Ward: 8	DHCD Budget Funding: \$1,892,000
Sponsor: SOME/AHO, Inc.	Total Expenditure: \$1,892,000
Anticipated Outcome: 10 affordable rental units	Funding Source: DMH
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units Beneficiaries: 5 households at 0-30% AMI, 5 at 31-50% A	Closing Date: Aug-10 AMI, HDS #: 09-DMH-5SOME-F
Denenciaries. 5 nouscilolus at 0-5070 Alvii, 5 at 51-5070 A	105 #. 09-DMI1-550ML-1
Project: 1320 Mississippi Avenue SE	
	e rehabilitation of 19 affordable rental units located at 1320
Mississippi Avenue SE	
Address: 1320 Mississippi Avenue SE	Total Development Cost:\$6,583,525
Ward: 8	DHCD Budget Funding: \$4,026,684 HPTF,
Sponsor: William C. Smith & Co.	\$210,000 DMH Total Expenditure: \$80,710
Anticipated Outcome: 19 affordable rental units	Funding Source: HPTF/DMH
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units	Closing Date: Sep-10
Beneficiaries: 19 households at 0-30% AMI	HDS: 2009-HPTF-MASE-F, 2009-MASE-F

Page 68

Project: Henson Ridge UFAS	shakilitation of 22 offerdable ADA coorseible public
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the re-	
housing units located in the Henson Ridge HOPE VI project	
Address; Alabama Avenue & Stanton Road SE	Total Development Cost: \$10,700,000
Ward: 8	DHCD Budget Funding: \$2,900,000
Sponsor: DC Housing Authority	Total Expenditure: \$2,900,000
Anticipated Outcome: 22 ADA accessible public housing	units Funding Source: HPTF
Actual Outcome: 22 ADA accessible public housing units	Closing Date: Mar-10
Beneficiaries: 22 households at 0-30% AMI	HDS: 09-HPTF-HRUFAS-F
Project: Jubilee Housing – Phase I – Additional Funding (th	e Ritz Building)
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the re	
in the 60 unit building located at 1631 Euclid Street NW.	
Address: 1631 Euclid Street NW	Total Development Cost: \$9,879,985
Ward: 8	DHCD Budget Funding: \$924,000
Sponsor: Jubilee Housing LP (CHDO)	Total Expenditure: \$924,000
Anticipated Outcome: 22 designed DMH affordable rental	-
Actual Outcome: 22 designed DMH affordable rental units	
Beneficiaries: 22 households at 0-30% AMI	HDS: 2010-DMH-JUB-M
Project: Dunbar – Open Arms Housing (Phase II)	
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the re-	ehabilitation of 19 affordable special needs rental units
located at 57 O Street NW	
Address: 57 O Street NW	Total Development Cost: \$2,669,970
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding: \$970,000
Sponsor: Open Arms Housing, Inc.	Total Expenditure: \$970,000
Anticipated Outcome: 19 affordable special needs rental ur	
Actual Outcome: 19 affordable special needs rental units	Closing Date: Nov-09
Beneficiaries: 16 individuals at 0-30% AMI, 3 at 61-80% AI	
Project: Victory Hills Additional Funding	
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the re	habilitation of 9 affordable special needs rental units
located at 4211 2 nd Street NW	shuomanon or y artoradore special needs femal antis
Address: 4211 2 nd Street NW	Total Development Cost: \$1,800,000
Ward: 4	DHCD Budget Funding: \$320,654
Sponsor: 4211 2 nd Street LLC	Total Expenditure: \$315,343
Anticipated Outcome: 9 affordable special needs rental uni	
Actual Outcome: 9 affordable special needs rental units	
Beneficiaries: 9 households at 0-30% AMI, 14 at 61-80% A	MI HDS: 2010-DMH-42115-M
Project: Housing Improvement Program Initiative (HIPI)	
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the re-	ehabilitation of a minimum of 21 affordable special needs
rental units at various locations in the District.	
Address: various addresses	Total Development Cost: \$2,000,000
Ward: throughout the District	DHCD Budget Funding: \$1,000,000
Sponsor: Cornerstone	Total Expenditure: \$0
Anticipated Outcome: 21 affordable special needs rental ur	
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable special needs rental units	Closing Date: Dec-09
Beneficiaries: 21 households at 0-30% AMI (minimum)	HDS: 2009-HIPI-M

Project: Capital Area Food Bank Phase III	
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the re food distribution warehouse and office	development of an existing warehouse as an emergency
Address: 4900 Puerto Rico Avenue NE	Total Development Cost: \$37,154,877
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding: \$4,300,000
Sponsor: Capital Area Food Bank	Total Expenditure: \$0
Anticipated Outcome: redeveloped emergency food distribution	ution warehouse Funding Source: Local
and offices for the Capital Area Food Bank	
Actual Outcome: Pre-development activities proceeding Beneficiaries: Households at 80% or less AMI	Closing Date: Sep-10
Beneficiaries: Households at 80% of less AMI	HDS: 1706
Project: BCI-Dix Street Phase I Pre-development	
Project Type/Description: Pre-development assistance for the located at 5700 -6000 Dix Street NE	ne development of an mixed use seniors housing project to
Address: 5700-6000 Dix Street NE	Total Development Cost: \$28,238,000
Ward: 7	DHCD Budget Funding: \$332,500
Sponsor: Beulah Community Improvement Corporation	Total Expenditure: \$0
Anticipated Outcome: completion of pre-development stud	ies Funding Source: NIF
A stral Outsome Due development studies completed	Clasing Data: Esk 10
Actual Outcome: Pre-development studies completed Beneficiaries: Senior Households at 80% or less AMI	Closing Date: Feb-10 HDS: 2010-NIF-BCI1-Q
beneficiaries. Semor riousenoids at 8070 or less Aivir	
 Project: DC Village School Bus Parking Lot Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the deschool bus parking lot located at DC Village. Address: 2 DC Village Lane SW Ward: 8 Sponsor; DC Housing Authority 	Total Development Cost:\$1,250,000DHCD Budget Funding:\$1,250,000Total Expenditure:\$0
Anticipated Outcome: Construction of sitework infrastructu	
Actual Outcome: Completed sitework infrastructure Beneficiaries: DC Public Schools (school bus parking provid	Closing Date: Oct-09 led) HDS: 2009-CAP-DCVPL-P
Beneficiaries: DC Fublic Schools (school bus parking provid	HDS: 2009-CAF-DCVFL-F
 Project: 1444 Rock Creek Church Road – Seed Money Loan Project Type/Description: Seed money loan for potential TO Address: 1444 Rock Creek Church Road NW Ward: 4 Sponsor; 1444 Rock Creek Church Road Tenant Association Anticipated Outcome: completion of pre-acquisition activit Actual Outcome: Pre-acquisition activities proceeding Beneficiaries: 12 households at 0-30% AMI, 54 at 31-50% A 2010-UNITED-RCFR-U 	DPA acquisition project with 66 affordable apartment units.Total Development Cost:\$332,500DHCD Budget Funding:\$332,500Total Expenditure:\$332,500iesFunding Source:Unified FundClosing Date:Jan-10
 Project: Elizabeth Ministry (PFCHI) Rehabilitation Phase (F Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the restriction Street NE for 27 units of specials needs affordable housing Address: 200-210 55th Street NE Ward: 7 Sponsor; The Elizabeth Ministry Anticipated Outcome: 27 affordable special needs rental units Beneficiaries: 25 households at 0-30% AMI, 2 at 61-80% AM 	Total Development Cost: \$7,000,000DHCD Budget Funding:\$2,593,014Total Expenditure:\$318,161.50itsFunding Source:Unified FundClosing Date:Jul-10

Project: Taylor Flats Rehabilitation		
Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the rehabilitation of an existing building located at 804 Taylor		
Street NW for 4 units of designated affordable ownership ap	artment units out of at total of 26 units in the building.	
Address: 804 Taylor Street NW	Total Development Cost: \$5,500,013	
Ward: 5	DHCD Budget Funding: \$500,000	
Sponsor; The Warrenton Group	Total Expenditure: \$500,000	
Anticipated Outcome: 4 affordable homownership units	Funding Source: Unified Fund	
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable homeownership units	Closing Date: Mar-10	
Beneficiaries: 4 households at 61-80% AMI	HDS: 2010-UZO-TF-U	

Project: University Legal Services TPTA Contract	
Project Type/Description: Tenant technical assistance cont	ract for technical assistance services to tenant groups and
associations throughout the District.	
Address: Various	Total Development Cost: \$451,224
Ward: Various	DHCD Budget Funding: \$451,224
Sponsor; University Legal Services	Total Expenditure: \$289,489
Anticipated Outcome: Technical assistance to tenant associ	iations Funding Source: HPAP Repay
Actual Outcome: Providing technical assistance to tenant as	ssociations Closing Date: Dec-09
Beneficiaries: tenant associations with members at 80% or l	ess of AMI HDS: 10-UZO-ULS-13

Prior Year Federally Funded Projects with Disbursements in Fiscal Year 2010

Project: Easter Seals Rehabilitation Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for specia	al needs community facility	rehabilitation.
Address: 2800 13 th Street NW	Total Program Cost:	\$990,627
Ward: 1	DHCD Budget Funding:	\$990,627
Sponsor: Easter Seals of Greater Washington	Total Expenditure:	\$757,340.67
Anticipated Outcome: Rehabilitated community facility	Funding Source: CDBG	
Actual Outcome: rehabilitation is proceeding	Closing Date: June 20	08
Beneficiaries: households with special needs childres at 80%	or less of AMI	IDIS #: 1469

Project: Permanent Home 4 Fatherhood Initiative

Project Type/Description: Community facility providing counseling to low income fathers in Ward 4 and throughout District – submitted through the RFP competition process (under the DFD Project Financing community facility portion of the Program). Construction completed and funds disbursed except for payout of construction retainage.

Address: 1104 Allison Street NW Ward: 4 Sponsor: Georgia Avenue Family Support Collaborative Anticipated Outcome: Community facility Actual Outcome: Community facility Beneficiaries: Households at 80% or less of AMI Total Development Cost:\$736,981DHCD Budget Funding:\$683,925Total Expenditure:\$663,354.99Funding Source:CDBGClosing Date:Sep. 06IDIS #:1378

Tard: 6DHCD Budget Funding: \$1,140,272consor: Mount Lebanon Community Development CenterTotal Expenditure:\$872,785.23inticipated Outcome: Community facility with 6 affordable rental unitsFunding Source: CDBGctual Outcome: construction is proceedingClosing Date:Oct. 08	ising units. dress: 225-227 Morgan Street NW	Total Development Cost: \$2,942,213
bonsor: Mount Lebanon Community Development Center Total Expenditure: \$872,785.23 inticipated Outcome: Community facility with 6 affordable rental units Funding Source: CDBG ctual Outcome: construction is proceeding Closing Date: Oct. 08	e	•
nticipated Outcome: Community facility with 6 affordable rental unitsFunding Source: CDBGctual Outcome: construction is proceedingClosing Date:Oct. 08		8 8 7
ctual Outcome: construction is proceeding Closing Date: Oct. 08	7 1	1
	•	8
eneficiaries: Households at 80% or less of AMI IDIS #: 1506	1 0	IS #: 1506

Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for development of special needs program services and administrative offices – submitted in RFP competition

Total Development Cost:	\$3,000,000
DHCD Budget Funding:	\$3,000,000
Total Expenditure:	\$1,252,328.75
Funding Source: CDBG (RF	P Competition)
Closing Date: Jan-08	
IDIS #: 1405	
	DHCD Budget Funding: Total Expenditure: Funding Source: CDBG (RF Closing Date: Jan-08

Project: Foote Street Renovation (Phase II)

Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for the rehabilitation of a apartment building into a 6 unit affordable apartment building Construction has been completed but. construction retain age remains to be paid out .

Address: 4212 Foote Street NE Ward: 7 Sponsor: Marshall Consulting Group Anticipated Outcome: 6 affordable rental units Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental units Beneficiaries: 6 households at 61-80% AMI Total Development Cost: \$863,125 DHCD Budget Funding: \$595,125 Total Expenditure: \$515,125 Funding Source: HOME Closing Date: Oct. 08 IDIS #: 983

Project: Israel Manor Senior I Project Type/Description: Pre-development for Senior housing project to be located in the 1000 blocks of Rhode Island Avenue NE Address: 1000 Block of Rhode Island Avenue NE **Total Program Cost:** \$5,660,111 Ward: 5 DHCD Budget Funding: \$371,000 **Sponsor**: Israel Baptist Church **Total Expenditure:** \$349,171.33 Anticipated Outcome: 33 affordable senior housing units Funding Source: HOME Actual Outcome: 0 affordable senior housing units **Closing Date:** Jun. 09 Beneficiaries: 33 households at 51-60% AMI IDIS #: 1093

Т

Г

Project: 1029 Perry Street NEProject Type/Description: Construction assistance for the rehabilitation of an existing building to provide 16affordable homeownership housing units Construction is completed and construction retainage releaseAddress: 1029 Perry Street NETotal Development Cost: \$2,888,557Ward: 5DHCD Budget Funding:\$800,000Sponsor:Manna, Inc.Total Expenditure:Anticipated Outcome: 16 affordable homeownership housing unitsFunding Source:HOMEActual Outcome: 0 affordable homeownership housing unitsClosing Date:Aug 09Beneficiaries: 3 households at 31-50% AMI, 5 at 51-60% AMI, 8 at 61-80% AMIIDIS #:1010
Project: TJ29 Workout – Scattered Site Rehabilitation
Project Type/Description: Scattered Site Rehabilitation on three scattered properties in Wards 7 and 8.
Address: 1708-1710 T Street SE, 1814-1816 29 th Street SE, Total Development Cost: \$19,513,014
1350-1354 Jasper Place SE
Ward: 7,8 DHCD Budget Funding: \$100,000
Sponsor: William C.Smith & Co.Total Expenditure:\$90,000
Anticipated Outcome: rehabilitation of 98 affordable rental units Funding Source: HOME
Actual Outcome: 0 affordable rental apartments Closing Date: Jul-09
Beneficiaries: 20 households at 31-50% AMI, 78 at 51-60% AMI IDIS #: 1091
Project: District Alliance for Safe Housing (DASH) Project Type/Description: Construction assistance for rehabilitation of special needs housing. 44 rehabilitated affordable rental units are funded by this project. Address: 1835 3 rd Street NE Total Development Cost: \$9,546,674 Ward: 5 DHCD Budget Funding: \$5,251,764 Sponsor:DASH Properties LLC Total Expenditure: \$4,275,908.37 Anticipated Outcome: 44 affordable special needs rental units Funding Source: HOME Actual Outcome: 0 affordable special needs rental units Closing Date: Jan 09
Beneficiaries: 11 households at 0-30% AMI, 11 at 31-50% AMI IDIS #: 1019
11 at 51-60% AMI, 11 at 61-80% AMI

Appendix B Residential Community Services FY2010 Funded Activities

Information Pending

Appendix C Income Levels

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 2010 MEDIAN INCOME TABLES Effective May 14, 2010

Very Low Income - Gross household income 30% area median income (AMI), adjusted for household size per the following table: (This category is known as Extremely Low Income when referring to HOME)

1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person	7 Person	8 Person
\$21,750	\$24,850	\$27,950	\$31,050	\$33,550	\$36,050	\$38,550	\$41,000

Low Income - Gross household income 50% area median income (AMI), adjusted for household size per the following table: (This category is known as Very Low Income when referring to HOME)

1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person	7 Person	8 Person
\$36,250	\$41,400	\$46,600	\$51,750	\$55,900	\$60,050	\$64,200	\$68,350


Moderate Income - Gross household income 80% area median income (AMI), adjusted for household size per the following table: (This category is known as Low Income when referring to HOME)

1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person	7 Person	8 Person
\$45,100	\$51,550	\$58,000	\$64,400	\$69,600	\$74,750	\$79,900	\$85,050

Jurisdictions covered by these income limits include the following: Arlington, Fairfax, Fauquier, Loudoun, Prince William, Spotsylvania, and Stafford County, and the Cities of Alexandria, Fairfax, Falls Church, Fredericksburg, Manassas and Manassas Park in Virginia; Washington, D.C.; and Calvert, Charles, Frederick, Montgomery, and Prince George's County in the State of Maryland.

Median Family Income for Washington Metropolitan Area is \$103,500 for a family of four.

Appendix D Map of Funded Projects in FY2010



Appendix E Public Notice

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

District of Columbia's Fiscal Year 2010 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Leila Finucane Edmonds, Director, D.C. Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD or the Department) will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, December 8, 2010, to discuss the District's Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 performance in its use of funds received from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). DHCD received approximately forty million dollars from HUD in Fiscal Year 2010 through four programs: the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program; the HOME Investment Partnerships Program; the Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program; and the Housing for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program. DHCD administers the CDBG and HOME funds directly; entered into an agreement with the Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness to administer the ESG grant; and transferred the HOPWA grant to the D.C. Department of Health.

In preparation for the submission of the FY 2010 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) to HUD, DHCD is soliciting public comment on the District's effectiveness during FY 2010 at using federal funds to meet the District's housing and community development needs. These comments will form part of DHCD's and the District's evaluation, as required by federal regulations (24 CFR 91.520). This hearing is reserved for a discussion of the District's FY 2010 performance.

The meeting will be held on <u>Wednesday</u>, <u>December 8</u>, 2010, at the Department of Housing and Community Development, 1800 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue, SE, 1st floor conference room from 6:30 pm – 8:30 pm. If you would like to testify, you are encouraged to register in advance either by e-mail at <u>DHCDEVENTS@dc.gov</u> or by calling (202)442-7251. Please provide your name, address, telephone number, and organization affiliation, if any.

Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) relay service is available by calling (800) 201-7165. A sign language interpreter will be provided upon request by calling (202) 442-7251 five days prior to the hearing date.

Residents who require language interpretation should specify which language (Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese-Mandarin/Cantonese, Amharic, or French). Interpretation services will be provided to pre-registered persons only. Deadline for requesting services of an interpreter is five days prior to the hearing date. Bilingual staff will provide services on an as needed basis to walk-ins without registration.

Written statements may be submitted for the record at the hearing, or until close of business, Friday, December 17, 2010. Mail written statements to: Leila Finucane Edmonds, Director, DHCD, 1800 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20020.



Adrian M. Fenty, Mayor Leila Finucane Edmonds, Director, Department of Housing and Community Development www.dhcd.dc.gov

Appendix F HOME Match Report

Annual Performance Report

HOME Program

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development OMB Approval No. 2506-0171 (exp. 05/31/2007)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track performance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maintained by the recipients are responsible for ensuring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

This form is intended to collect numeric data to be aggregated nationally as a complement to data collected through the Cash and Management Information (C/MI) System. Participants should enter the reporting period in the first block. The reporting period is October 1 to September 30. Instructions are included for each section if further explanation is needed.

Submit this form on or before De	cember 3	31.		This	s report is fo	or per	riod (mm/dd/yyyy)		Date	Submitted (mm/dd/yyyy
Send one copy to the appropriate	HUD Fi	ield Office and one	copy to:	Star	rting		Ending			
HOME Program, Rm 7176, 451	7th Stree	et, S.W., Washing	ton D.C. 20410		10/01/20	09	09/30/	2010		12/31/2010
Part I Participant Identific	ation									
1. Participant Number 56-6001131		2. Participant Nar	ne Governmer	nt of th	ne District	of Co	olumbia			
3. Name of Person completing this Reginald Wilson	report				4. Phone No 202-44		r (Include Area Code) 267			
5. Address				6. C		2-12	.07	7. State		8. Zip Code
1800 Martin Luther King, J	r. Aven	ue			shington			DC		20020
Part II Program Income										
Enter the following program inc	ome an	nounts for the rep	orting period: in	block	1, enter the	bala	ance on hand at the	beginnir	ng: in bloc	k 2, enter the amoun
generated; in block 3, enter th										
1. Balance on hand at Beginning of Reporting Period		unt received during orting Period	3. Total am during R				Amount expended for Based Rental Assistar			e on hand at end of ng Period (1 + 2 - 3) = 5
0.00		1,384,6	84	1,	,342,172		5	\$0.00		42,512
Part III Minority Business In the table below, indicate the								eporting	period.	
					Minority Bus	iness	Enterprises (MBE)			
		a. Total	 Alaskan Native American Indian 		c. Asian or Pacific Island		d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. F	lispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
A. Contracts 1. Number		0	0		0		0		0	0
2. Dollar Amount		0.00	.0	00		.00	0.00		.00	0.00
B. Sub-Contracts		0	0	-	0		0		0	0
1. Number	_	0	0	_	0		0	-	0	0
2. Dollar Amount		0.00	.0	00	C	0.00	0.00	Ċ.	0.00	0.00
		a. Total	 b. Women Busines Enterprises (WBE 		c. Male					
C. Contracts 1. Number		0	0		0					
2. Dollar Amount		0.00	Ų	00	C	0.00				
D. Sub-Contracts 1. Number		0	0		0					
2. Dollar Amounts		0.00	0.0	00	C	0.00				

Part IV Minority Owners of Rental Property

In the table below, indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total dollar amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted during the reporting period.

			Minority Pro	perty Owners		
	a. Total	 Alaskan Native or American Indian 	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
1. Number	0					
2. Dollar Amount	0					


Part V Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition. The data provided should reflect only displacements and acquisitions occurring during the reporting period.


		a. Number	b. Cost			
1. Parcels Acquired		0	0			
2. Businesses Displaced		0	0			
3. Nonprofit Organizations Displace	əd	0	0			
4. Households Temporarily Relocat	4. Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced					
			Minority Business	Enterprises (MBE)		
Households Displaced	a. Total	b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
5. Households Displaced - Number	0					
6. Households Displaced - Cost	0					

page 2 of 2

Participant Identification ² Allow of Combining ² Combining ² Allow of Combining ² Combining ² Allow of Combining ² Combining ² Combining ² Combining ² Allow of Combining ² Combining ² Allow of Combining ² Combining							Match Contributions for	
01130 Derivative value interchend 2 hand of the interchend 00114 Derivative value interchend 2 hand of the interchend interchend 00115 Derivative value 2 hand of the interchend 1 Derivative value 2 hand of the interchend Vert Stimmery 1 2 hand of the interchend 1 Interchend of and in grant manufactoria 2 hand of the interchend 1 Interchend of the interchend of the interchend 2 hand of the interchend 1 Derivative value 2 hand of the interchend of the interchen	Part Participant Id	entification					Federal Fiscal Year (yyyy)	2009
Addition Luther King, Jr. Avenue Solution Field The King, Jr. Avenue Solution Field The King, Jr. Avenue Solution Field The King, Jr. Avenue Solution Field The King Jr. Avenue Solution Sol	1. Participant No. (assigned 56-6001131	1 by HUD) 2. Name o Distric	of the Participating Jurisdict ict of Columbia	lion		3. Name of Contact (p Reginald Wilso	erson completing this report) an	
Simplon I call I call </td <td>5. Street Address of the Par 1800 Martin Luther</td> <td>rticipating Jurisdiction King, Jr. Avenue</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4. Contact's Phone Nu</td> <td>Imber (include area code) 202-442-7267</td> <td></td>	5. Street Address of the Par 1800 Martin Luther	rticipating Jurisdiction King, Jr. Avenue				4. Contact's Phone Nu	Imber (include area code) 202-442-7267	
Bit S 6(713.356 fiscal year (ine 1 + line 2) 5 0.00 fiscal year (ine 3 minus line 4) 5 0.00 at fiscal year (ine 3 minus line 4) 5 0.00 Anticipation 5 5 at fiscal year (ine 1 + line 2) 5 5 at fiscal year (ine 3 minus line 4) 5 5 Anticipation 5 5 Ant	6. City Washington			state DC	8. Zip Code 20020			
Ref \$	Part II Fiscal Year Si	ummary						
fiscal year (ine 1 + line 2) \$ 0.00 \$ \$ 0.00 \$	1. Excess mat	tch from prior Fe	ederal fiscal year			¢	6,713,358	
If scal year (line 1 + line 2) at	2. Match conti	ributed during cu	urrent Federal fiscal y	ear (see Part III.9.)		s	0.0	
art If fical year (ine 3 minus line 4) Year N	3. Total match	h available for cu	irrent Federal fiscal ye	ear (line 1 + line 2)			69	6,713,358
If fiscal year (line 3 minus line 4). Year Year Notestand in the 3 minus line 4). S Appraised S Appraise	4. Match liabil	lity for current Fe	ederal fiscal year				6	2,330,555
Year 7. Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Frees, Charges 8. Bond Infrastructure 0 0 0 1 Fees, Charges Land / Real Property 1 1 </td <td>5. Excess mat</td> <td>tch carried over t</td> <td>to next Federal fiscal</td> <td>year (line 3 minus line</td> <td>9.4)</td> <td></td> <td>69</td> <td>4,382,803</td>	5. Excess mat	tch carried over t	to next Federal fiscal	year (line 3 minus line	9.4)		69	4,382,803
2. Date of Contribution (mond/dywy) 3. Cash Contribution (mond/dywy) 4. Foregore Taxes, Contribution (mond/dywy) 5. Appressed Fees, Charges 8. Band Dorated labor 1 Teach 1 1 1 1 Teach 1 1 1 1 Teach 1 1 1 1 Teac, Charges Land / Feet, Charges 0 0 1 Teach 1 1 1 1 1 Teach 1 1 1 1 1 Teach 1 1 1 1 1 1 Teach 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Teach 1 <	Part III Match Contrit	bution for the Fe	ederal Fiscal Year			7 Site Dreneration		
	1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution		4		Construction Materials, Donated labor		9. Total Match



Appendix G Map of CDBG Eligible Areas and Geographic Target Areas



should be verified with them where appropriate.

Government of the District of Columbia Department of Health HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, STD, and TB Administration



Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) FY 2010 Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS (HOPWA)



Adrian M. Fenty, Mayor





Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 12/31/2010)

The HOPWA CAPER report for formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments in meeting the program's performance outcome measure: maintain housing stability; improve access to care; and reduce the risk of homelessness for low-income persons and their families living with HIV/AIDS. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 45 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 68 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Overview. The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides annual performance reporting on client outputs and outcomes the years in which grantees do not receive and expend HOPWA funding that enables an assessment of grantee performance in achieving the housing stability outcome measure. The CAPER, in conjunction with the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS), fulfills statutory and regulatory progr reporting requirements and provides the grantee and HUD with the necessary information to assess the overall program performance and accomplishments against planned goals and objectives

HOPWA formula grantees are required to submit a CAPER, and complete annual performance information for all activities undertaken during each program year in the IDIS, demonstrating coordination with other Consolidated Plan resources. HUD uses the CAPER and IDIS data to obtain essential information on grant activities, project sponsors, housing sites, units and households, and beneficiaries (which includes racial and ethnic data on program participants). The Consolidated Plan Management Process tool (CPMP) provides an optional tool to integrate the reporting of HOPWA specific activities with other planning and reporting on Consolidated Plan activities.

The revisions contained within this edition are designed to accomplish the following: (1) provide for an assessment of unmet need; (2) streamline reporting Grassroots Organization: An organization headquartered in the local sources and uses of leveraged resources; (3) differentiate client outcomes for temporary/short-term and permanent facility-based assistance; (4) clarify indicators for short-term efforts and reducing the risk of homelessness; and (5) indicators for short-term efforts and reducing the risk of homelessness; and (5) clarify indicators for Access to Care and Support for this special needs population. In addition grantees are requested to comply with the bedgene Funding In addition, grantees are requested to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act 2006 (Public Law 109-282) which requires Housing Assistance Total: The non-duplicated number of households

subrecipients) receiving \$25,000+ in federal funds.

Table of Contents

PART 1: Executive Summary

- 1. Grantee Information
- 2. Project Sponsor Information
- 3. Contractor(s) or Subcontractor(s) Information
 - A. Grantee and Community Overview
 - B. Annual Performance under the Action Plan
- C. Barriers or Trends Overview
- D. Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging

PART 3: Accomplishment Data

- PART 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes
 - 1. Housing Stability: Permanent Housing and Related Facilities
 - 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Short-Term Housing Payments
- 3. Access to Care and Support: Housing Assistance with Supportive Services PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes

PART 6: Certification of Continued Use for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (Only)

Central Contractor Registration (CCR): This is a new reporting requirement effective October 1, 2009. The primary registrant database for the U.S. Federal Government; CCR collects, validates, stores, and disseminates data in support of agency acquisition missions, including Federal agency contract and assistance awards. Both current and potential federal government registrants are required to register in CCR in order to be awarded contracts by the federal government. Registrants must update or renew their registration at least once per year to maintain an active status. Although recipients of direct federal contracts and grant awards have been required to be registered with CCR since 2003, this requirement is now being extended to indirect recipients of federal funds with the passage of ARRA. Per ARRA (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act) and FFATA (Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act) federal regulations, all sub-grantees or subcontractors receiving federal grant awards or contracts must have a DUNS (Data Universal Numbering System) Number and be registered with the CCR (Central Contractor Registration).

Continued Use Periods. Grantees that use HOPWA funds for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for ten years for HOPWA-eligible beneficiaries. For for these activities, the grantee must submit an Annual Certification of Continued Project Operation throughout the required use periods. This

catertification is included in Part 5 in CAPER.

Final Assembly of Report. After the entire report is assembled, please number each page sequentially.

Filing Requirements. Within 90 days of the completion of each program year, grantees must submit their completed CAPER to the CPD Director in the grantee's State or Local HUD Field Office, and to the HOPWA Program Office: Office of HIV/AIDS Housing, Room 7212, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20410.

Definitions: Facility-Based Housing Assistance: All HOPWA housing expenditures which provide support to facilities, including community residences, SRO dwellings, short-term or transitional facilities, projectbased units, master leased units, scattered site units leased by the organization, and other housing facilities approved by HUD.

community where it provides services; has a social services budget of \$300,000 or less annually; and six or fewer full-time equivalent

federal grant recipients to provide general information for all entities (including receiving housing subsidies and residing in units of facilities that were dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families that were supported with HOPWA or leveraged funds during this operating year.

> In-kind Leveraged Resources: These involve additional types of support provided to assist HOPWA beneficiaries such as volunteer services, materials, use of equipment and building space. The actual value of the support can be the contribution of professional services, based on customary rates for this specialized support, or actual costs contributed from other leveraged resources. In determining a rate for the contribution of volunteer time and services, use the rate established in HUD notices, such as the rate of ten dollars per hour. The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease should be based on the fair market value at time of donation. Related documentation can be from recent bills of sales, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated.

Leveraged Funds: The amount of funds expended during the operating year from non-HOPWA federal, state, local, and private sources by grantees or sponsors in dedicating assistance to this client population. Leveraged funds or other assistance used directly in HOPWA program deliverv

Output: The number of units of housing or households that receive HOPWA housing assistance during the operating year.

Outcome: The HOPWA assisted households who have been enabled to establish or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, (per the regulations at 24 CFR 574.310(b)) and to reduce the risks of homelessness, and improve access to HIV treatment and other health care and support. The goal that eighty percent of HOPWA clients will maintain housing stability, avoid homelessness, and access care by 2011.

Permanent Housing Placement: A supportive housing service that helps establish the household in the housing unit, including reasonable costs for security deposits not to exceed two months of rental costs).

Program Income: Gross income directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. See grant administration requirements on program income for state and local governments at 24 CFR 85.25, or for non-profits at 24 CFR 84.24.

Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Payments (STRMU): Subsidy or payments subject to the 21-week limited time period to prevent the homelessness of a household (e.g., HOPWA short-term rent, mortgage and utility payments).

Draft FY2010 CAPER

District of Columbia

Stewardship Units: Units developed, where HOPWA funds were used for acquisition, new construction and rehabilitation, but no longer receive operating subsidies. Report information for the units subject to the threeyear use agreement if rehabilitation is non-substantial, and those subject to the ten-year use agreement if rehabilitation is substantial.

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance: (TBRA): An on-going rental housing subsidy for units leased by the client, where the amount is determined based in part on household income and rent costs. Project-based costs are considered facility-based expenditures.

Total by Type of Housing Assistance/Services: The non-duplicated households assisted in units by type of housing assistance dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families or services provided that were supported with HOPWA and leveraged funds during the operating year



OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 12/31/2010)

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

As applicable, complete the charts below followed by the submission of a written narrative to questions A through C, and the completion of Chart D. Chart 1 requests general grantee information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. In Chart 3, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists grantees or project sponsors carrying out their activities. Agreements include: grants, subgrants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other foams of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

1. Grantee Information

HUD Grant Number		Operating Year for t	his report		
		From (mm/dd/yy)	To (mm/dd/yy)		
DCH08F001		10/01/09 to 09/30/10			
Grantee Name		•			
Government of the District of Columbia, Department	nt of Health, HIV/AIDS, H	lepatitis, STD Administr	ation (HAHSTA)		
Business Address					
	64 New York Avenue, N	VE, Ste. 5001			
		,			
City, County, State, Zip	Washington	District of Columbia	DC	20002	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	536001131		DUN & Bradstr	reet Number (DUNs) if applicable	
Tax Identification Number (TIN)			106731248		
Congressional District of Business Address					
-	DC At-Large				
*Congressional District(s) of Primary Service					
Area(s)	DC At-Large				
*Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-203	599			
*City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service	Washington		District of Columbia		
Area(s)	C C				
Organization's Website Address		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes No			
http://www.doh.dc.gov	If yes, explain in the	narrative section	how this list is administered.		
Have you prepared any evaluation reports?]			
If so, please indicate the location on an Internet site	e (url) or attach copy.				
No					

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if appl</i>	icable		
Community Family Life Services		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Ms. Claudia Thorne, Execu	ative Director				
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	cthorne@cflsdc.org					
Business Address	305 E Street, NW					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia		DC	20001	
Phone Number (with area codes)				Fax Number (with area code) 202-347-0520		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	52-0910609		DUN &	Bradstreet Nu	umber (DUNs) if applicable	
Tax Identification Number (TIN)			12-652-0	0121		
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At-Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At-Large					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20011					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington		District of Columbia			
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$299,109		1			
Organization's Website Address		Does your organizati	on mainta	in a waiting li	ist?□Yes ⊠No	
http://www.cflsdc.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	es 🗌 No					
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization						

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	licable			
Damien Ministries		N/A					
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Otis "Buddy" Sutson, Exe	ecutive Director					
Sponsor Agency							
Email Address	osutson@damienministrie	es.org					
Business Address	2200 Rhode Island Avenu	ie, NE					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	1	DC	20018		
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-526-3020			Fax Number (with area code)202-526-9770			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	52-1523098	DUN & 1619098		Number (DUNs) if applicable			
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At-Large						
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At-Large						
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20018, 20019	20018, 20019					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington		District of Columbia				
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$195,000	I					
Organization's Website Address	•	Does your organizat	ion maint:	ain a waitin	g list? Yes 🛛 No		
http://www.damienministries.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.					
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	es 🗌 No						
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization							

2. Project Sponsor Information

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	licable		
DC Care Consortium		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Earl Fowlkes, Executive D	Director				
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	efowlkes@dccare.org					
Business Address	1156 15 th Street, NW					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	l	DC	20036	
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-223-9550		Fax Nu (202) 22	mber (with an 23-9382	rea code)	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	52-1787555				Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i>	
Tax Identification Number (TIN)	79-782-7037					
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At-Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At-Large					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-2059	9				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington		District of Columbia			
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$510,000		•			
Organization Organization's Website Address		Does your organizati	ion maint	ain a waiting	list? Yes No	
Organization's website Address		Does your organizati	on manne	am a waiting		
http://www.dccare.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🖂 Ye	es No					
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization	n					
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization						

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	licable		
Extended Care, Inc.		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Denise Morgan Program	Director				
Sponsor Agency	0 0					
Email Address	amoney1999@aol.com					
Business Address	1374 H Street, NE, Second	l Floor				
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	L	DC	20002	
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-388-5552		Fax Nu	mber (with are	a code)	
			202-388	3-5556		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	52-2288102		DUN &	Bradstreet Nu	umber (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i>	
Tax Identification Number (TIN)			N/A			
Congressional District of Business Location	Ward 6					
of Sponsor						
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service	Ward 8					
Area(s)	Wald 0					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-2059	9				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service	Washington		District of Columbia			
Area(s)						
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$224,225					
Organization						
Organization's Website Address		Does your organizati	ion maint	ain a waiting li	ist? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	
N/A		If yes, explain in the	narrative	section how the	his list is administered.	
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	s No	1				
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization	ı.					
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization						

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable				
Greater Washington Urban League		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Ms. Maudine Cooper, Exec	cutive Director				
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	mcooper@gwul.org					
Business Address	2901 14 th Street, NW					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	Γ	DC	20009		
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-265-8200 Fax Number (with area code) 202-265-9878			va code)		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	53-0208981		DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i> 072646755			
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At-Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At-Large and MD4					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-20599, 20601-20604, 20607, 20608, 20611, 20612, 20613, 20616, 20617, 20622, 20623, 20625, 20632, 20637, 20640, 20643, 20645, 20646, 20658-, 20659, 20661, 20662, 20664, 20675, 20677, 20682, 20693, 20695, 20697, 20703-20710, 20712, 20715-20722, 20725, 20726, 20731, 20735, 20737, 20738, 20740-20750, 20752, 20753, 20757, 20762, 20768-20773					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service	Washington, All Cities within Prince George's District of Columbia Prince George's County			Prince George's County,		
Area(s)	County, MD, all cities within Charles County, MD, Charles County, MD MD				MD	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$5,301,000					
Organization's Website Address	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes No					
http://www.gwul.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? X Yes No						
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.						

uchildu by CFR 574.5.						
Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable				
Homes for Hope		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Dr. Veronica Jenkins, Exe	cutive Director				
Sponsor Agency	,					
Email Address	veronicajenkins@msn.con	1				
		=				
Business Address	3007 G Street, SE, Suite A					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	N/A		DC	20019	
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-582-0169 Fax Number (with area code) 202-582-0522 202-582-0522					
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	27-0034814		DUN &	Bradstreet Nu	mber (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i>	
Tax Identification Number (TIN)	141933860					
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At Large					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-20599					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service	Washington District of Columbia					
Area(s)						
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$202,572					
Organization						
Organization's Website Address	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes No					
http://www.homesforhopeinc.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.						
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization						

2. Project Sponsor Information

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable				
Housing Counseling Services						
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Marian Siegel, Executive I	Director				
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	mariansiegel@housingetc.	org				
Business Address	2410 17 th Street, NW					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia		DC	20009	
Phone Number (with area codes)				Number (with area code) 67-0862		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	52-0958568				t Number (DUNs) if applicable	
Tax Identification Number (TIN)			012403	044		
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service	DC At Large					
Area(s) Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-20599					
Zip Coue(s) of Frimary Service Area(s)	20001-20097, 20201-20397					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington			District of Columbia		
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$675,000					
Organization						
Organization's Website Address		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes INO				
http://www.housingetc.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? Yes No Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.		Housing Counseling Services maintains a centralized waiting list for TBRA and Facility Based Housing in the District of Columbia. Clients become eligible to be on the waiting list after initial eligibility assessment. Clients on the waiting list are provided linkages to support services and referrals to other housing services by a HOPWA funded housing specialist located within the agency or through linkage with a Ryan White funded Medical Case Manager				

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable			
Joseph's House		N/A			
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Patty Wudel, Executive Di	rector			
Sponsor Agency					
Email Address	pattywudel@josephshouse.org				
Business Address	1730 Lanier Place, NW				
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	L	DC	20009
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-328-9161 Fax Number (with area code) 202-588-7097				irea code)
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	52-1693018 DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) if applicable 79-042-7686 79-042-7686			Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i>	
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At Large				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At Large				
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-20599				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington	District of Columbia			
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$280,000				
Organization's Website Address	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes N			g list? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	
http://www.josephshouse.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.			
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	s∐ No				
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. \square					
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization					

2. Project Sponsor Information

Project Sponsor Agency Name	Parent Company Name, if applicable					
Miracle Hands Development Corporation		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Cornell Jones, Executive Director					
Email Address	Cornelliones1.miraclehands@verizon.net					
Business Address	2127 Queens Chapel Road, NE					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia		DC	20018	
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-832-7744		Fax Number (<i>with area code</i>) 202-832-2711			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	33-1027412	DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i> 02-232-0894				
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At Large					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-20599					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington		District of Columbia			
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$246,732					
Organization's Website Address	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes No					
http://www.miraclehands.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? \boxtimes Ye	es No	1				
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization						

2. Project Sponsor Information In Chart 2, provide the following information for <u>each</u> organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3.

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	olicable		
Miriam's House		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Sam Collins, Executive Di	rector				
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	scollins@miriamshouse.or	g				
Business Address	1300 Florida Avenue, NW					
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	L	DC	20009	
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-667-1758		Fax Nu 202-667	mber (<i>with an</i> 7-4638	rea code)	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	52-2069681		DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i> 03-198-0308			
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At Large					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-2059	9				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington		District of Columbia			
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$300,000		•			
Organization's Website Address		Does your organizati	ion maint	ain a waiting	list? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	
http://www.miriamshouse.org		If yes, explain in the	narrative	e section how	this list is administered.	
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	s No					
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization	n. 🖂					
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization	. 🔲					

2. Project Sponsor Information In Chart 2, provide the following information for <u>each</u> organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3.

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	licable		
Camille House		Our Place, DC				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Ashley McSwain, Executiv	ve Director				
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	amcswain@ourplacedc.org					
Business Address	801 Pennsylvania Avenue,	SE				
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	L	DC	20003	
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-548-2400		Fax Nui 202-548	mber (<i>with an</i> -2403	rea code)	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	51-03933992		DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i> 135775448			
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At Large					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At Large					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-20599	9				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Washington		District of Columbia			
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$195,462		•			
Organization's Website Address		Does your organizati	ion maint:	ain a waiting	list? Yes 🛛 No	
http://www.ourplacedc.org	If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.					
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	es No					
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization	n.					
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization	. 🗆					

2. Project Sponsor Information In Chart 2, provide the following information for <u>each</u> organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3.

Project Sponsor Agency Name	Parent Company Name, if applicable				
Regional Addiction Prevention, Inc. (R.A.P.)	N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Ron Clark, Executive Dire	ector			
Sponsor Agency					
Email Address	ron.clark@rapinc.org				
Business Address	1949 4 th Street, NE				
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	L	DC	20002
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-462-7500	-1	Fax Nu 202-462	mber (<i>with a</i> 2-7507	area code)
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	52-0906297		DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i> 0748-333-02		
			0748-32	55-02	
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At Large				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At Large				
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-2059	9			
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service	Washington		District of Columbia		
Area(s)					
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$524,052				
Organization		<u>.</u>			
Organization's Website Address		Does your organizati	ion maint	ain a waitin	g list? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
http://www.rapinc.org		If yes, explain in the	narrative	e section how	v this list is administered.
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	es 🗌 No				
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization	n.				
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization	n. 🔲				

2. Project Sponsor Information In Chart 2, provide the following information for <u>each</u> organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3.

Project Sponsor Agency Name	Parent Company Name, if applicable					
Transgender Health Empowerment (T.H.E.)		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Anthony Hall, Executive D	Director				
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	ahall@theincdc.org					
Business Address	16 Rhode Island Avenue, N	NE				
City, County, State, Zip,	Washington	District of Columbia	L	DC	20002	
Phone Number (with area codes)	202-526-9160		Fax Nu 202-526	mber (<i>with a</i> 5-9163	rea code)	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	30-0006489	DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i> 14-087-6071				
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	DC At-Large		1			
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	DC At-Large					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	20001-20099, 20201-2059	9				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service	Washington		District of Columbia			
Area(s)						
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$250,000		1			
Organization						
Organization's Website Address		Does your organizati	ion maint	ain a waiting	g list? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	
http://transgenderhealthempowerment.org		If yes, explain in the	narrative	section how	this list is administered.	
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	s No					
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization	n. 🗌					
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization						

2. Project Sponsor Information

In Chart 2, provide the following information for <u>each</u> organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3.

defined by CFK 574.5.							
Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	t Company Name, <i>if applicable</i>				
AIDS Network of the Tri-State Area		N/A					
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Glenna Allison, RN, Actin	g Executive Director					
Sponsor Agency		0					
Email Address	gia_antsa@hotmail.com						
Business Address	400 W. Martin Street						
City, County, State, Zip,	Martinsburg	Berkeley		WV	25401		
Phone Number (with area codes)	304-263-0738	Fax Number (with area code) 304-263-9571					
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	52-1787211	DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i> 944905926					
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	WV-2						
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	WV-2						
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	25410, 25414, 25423, 254 All zip codes within the Je		8, 25441, 2	25442, 25443	, 25446		
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	All Cities within Jefferson	County	Jeffers	on			
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$122,650						
Organization's Website Address		Does your organizat	ion mainta	ain a waiting	list? Yes No		
www.antsa.org	If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.						
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Ye	es 🗌 No						
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization							

Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report -Measuring Performance Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 12/31/2010)

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

As applicable, complete the charts below followed by the submission of a written narrative to questions A through C, and the completion of Chart D. Chart 1 requests general grantee information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. In Chart 3, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists grantees or project sponsors carrying out their activities. Agreements include: grants, subgrants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other foams of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

1. Grantee Information

3. Subrecipient Information

In Chart 3, provide the following information for <u>each</u>subrecipient with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assist the grantee or project sponsors to carry out their administrative or service delivery functions. Agreements include: grants, subgrants,

Draft FY2010 CAPER

District of Columbia

loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. (Organizations listed may have contracts with project sponsors or other organizations beside the grantee.)These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

Subrecipient Name			Donon	t Company (if applicable)		
Subrecipient Name			raren	it Company (ii applicable)		
Prince George's County Housing Authority		Prince Georges County Government			ıt	
Name and Title of Contact at Subrecipient	James Johnson, Acting Director					
Email Address	JEJohnson@co.pg.md.us					
Business Address	9400 Peppercorn Place					
City, State, Zip, County	Largo	ME)	20774	PrinceGeorgesCo	
					unty	
Phone Number (with area code)				Fax Number (with area co	de)	
	301-883-5531			301-883-9832		
EL						
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	52-1332044		DUN	& Bradstreet Number (DU)	ns) if applicable	
Tax Identification Number (TIN)						
North American Industry Classification	925110					
System (NAICS) Code	<u>,</u>					
Congressional District of Location	MD-4					
Congressional District of Primary Service	MD-4, MD-5					
Area						
Zip Code of Primary Service Area(s)	20601, 20604, 20607, 20608, 20			, , , , ,	, , ,	
	20639, 20640, 20643, 20646, 20657, 20658, 20659, 20661, 20662, 20664, 20675-20678, 20682, 20685,					
	20688, 20689, 20693, 20695, 20697, 20703-20712, 20714-20722, 20731, 20732, 20735-20738, 20740-					
	2075020754, 20757, 20762, 207			Drives Course's Charles	and Calaart Caunting	
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	All Cities within Prince George ³ CalvertCounties	s, Unaries, an	iu	Prince George's, Charles,	and CarveriCounties	
	\$2,715,598.					
Total HOPWA Contract Amount	<i>\$</i> 2,/13,398.					

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee

2. Project Sponsor Information In Chart 2, provide the following information for <u>each</u> organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3.

Project Sponsor			Parent	Company (if appl	icable)		
Northern Virginia Family Service	N/A						
Name and Title of Contact at Subrecipient	Sharon LeGrande, Program Manager						
Email Address	slegrande@nvfs.org						
Business Address	10455 White Granite Drive, Suite 100						
City, State, Zip, County	Oakton	VA	1	22315		Fairfax	
Phone Number (with area code)	703-385-3267			Fax Number (with 703-385-5176	area code)	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	54-0791977			Bradstreet Numb	ber (DUNs) if applicable	
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code							
Congressional District of Location	8 th Congressional District i	in Virginia					
Congressional District of Primary Service Area	1 st ,7 th , 8 th , 10 th , and 11 th Co	ongressional Distri	ct in Virg	ginia			
Zip Code of Primary Service Area(s)	22201, 22301, 22031, 2219	91, 22401, 20175,	22485, 22	2551, 22553			
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	City of Fredericksburg, City of Falls Church, Alexandria City, Fairfax City, and City of Manassas.Arlington, Fairfax, Prince Willia King George, Spotsylvania Cour County, Fauquier County, Warre Counties.				County, Stafford		
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$1,006,884						
Project Sponsor Agency Name Legal Services of Northern Virginia, Inc.		Parent Compan	y Name,	if applicable			
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	HIV/AIDS Law Project						
Email Address	rcolon@lsnv.org						
Business Address	6066 Leesburg Pike, Suite	500					
City, County, State, Zip,	Falls Church	Fairfax		VA	22041		
Phone Number (with area codes)	703 778-6803	1		ax Number (<i>with a</i>) 03 778-4790	rea code)		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	54-1137931		D		Number (I	DUNs) if applicable	
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	Northern Virginia and Cou	inties of Clarke, Fa	uquier, S	potsylvania, Staffo	ord and War	ren	
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	1, 8, 10, 11						
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	All Northern Virginia zip c Counties.	codes and zip codes	s of Clark	ke, Fauquier, Spots	ylvania, Sta	ifford and Warren	
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Counties. Counties of Arlington, , Fairfax, Prince William , Loudoun, Clarke, Fauquier, Spotsylvania, Stafford and Warren Cities of Alexandria, Fairfax, Falls Church, Manassas City and Manassas Park						
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$156,735		1				
Organization's Website Address		Does your organ	nization 1	naintain a waiting	g list? 🗌	Yes x No	
www.lsnv.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.					

Appendix H HOPWA FY2010 CAPER

Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? X Ye	No
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.	

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	licable		
Northern Virginia AIDS Ministry		N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Carol Jameson					
Sponsor Agency	Executive Director					
Email Address	cjameson@novam.org					
Business Address	803 West Broad Street					
City, County, State, Zip,	Falls Church	Falls Church City		VA	22046	
Phone Number (with area codes)	703-533-5505			mber (with ar	ea code)	
	54 1421550		703-533			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	54-1421559		DUN &	UN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) if applicable		
Tax Identification Number (TIN)			79-830-	4572		
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	Virginia 8th					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	Virginia 8th					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	22046 22314 22204 2	20121 22026 20141				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Falls Church Alexandria Prince William Loudour	U				
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$122,269					
Organization						
		Does your organizati	on maint	ain a waiting	list? \Box Yes x \Box No	
Organization's Website Address						
www.novam.org		If yes, explain in the	narrative	section how	this list is administered.	

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	licable		
Prince William County Office of Housing and Co (PWC OHCD)	mmunity Development					
Name and Title of Contact at Project	Amanda Harris					
Sponsor Agency						
Email Address	aharris@pwcgov.org					
Business Address	15941 Donald Curtis Drive	e #112				
City, County, State, Zip,	Woodbridge	Prince William VA		VA	22191	
Phone Number (with area codes)	703-792-7536	-	Fax Number (with area code)			
			703-792	-4978		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	54-6001531		N & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) if applicable			
Tax Identification Number (TIN)						
			003096	740		
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	11 th					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	11 th					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	22191 22192 22193 22026 22172 20109 20155 20169					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Prince William County, wh following Cities: Woodbrid City, Dumfries, Triangle, M Haymarket	dge, Lake Ridge, Dale				
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$260,000					
Dega 110	District of Columbi	2	Dref	+ EV2010	CADED	

Organization's Website Address	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Tyee X No
www.pwcgov.org/housing	If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? Yes X No	
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.	

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable					
Arlington County Government (Dept. of H Office)	uman Services/Section 8	N/A					
Name and Title of Contact at Project							
Sponsor Agency	Jack Jones, N	Ianagement Specialis	t				
Email Address	JCJ@arlingto	nva.us					
Business Address	3033 Wilson	n Blvd, Ste 400A					
City, County, State, Zip,	Arlington	VA		22201			
Phone Number (with area codes)	<u> </u>	Fax Number (with area code)					
	(703) 228-1454	1	(703) 228-10	42		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	54-6001123		DUN &	Bradstreet N	umber (DUNs) <i>if applicable</i>		
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	N/	A					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	N/A						
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	22201						
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)							
	Arlington						
Total HOPWA contract amount for this							
Organization	\$97,000						
Organization's Website Address		Does your organiza		-			
www.arlingtonva.us		If yes, explain in th	e narrative	section how t	this list is administered.		

Project Sponsor Agency Name RPJ Housing		Parent Company Name	e, if applicable			
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Eric Bonetti, Executive Director					
Email Address	ericb@rpjhousing.org					
Business Address	8 W. Nelson Avenue, Ste B-1					
City, County, State, Zip,	Alexandria			22301		
Phone Number (with area codes)	703-549-7170		Fax Number (with area code)			
			703-549-7175			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	52-1133518		DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) if applicable			
Tax Identification Number (TIN)						
			17-795-4203			
Congressional District of Business Location	8 th					
of Sponsor						
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	8 th , 10 th , 11 th					
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	22314,22301					
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Alexandria					

Appendix H HOPWA FY2010 CAPER

Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	6	
Organization's Website Address		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes No
www.rpjhousing.org		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable					
Wesley Housing Development Corporation							
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Julie Riddle, Suppo	Supportive Services Management					
Email Address	jriddle@whdc.org						
Business Address	5515 Cherokee Ave	e Suite 200					
City, County, State, Zip,	Alexandria	VA	22312	Fairfax			
Phone Number (with area codes)	703-642-3830	703-642-3830		Fax Number (with area code) 703-642-1064			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	51-0155779		DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) if applicable 184 858 249				
Congressional District of Business Location of Sponsor	11th						
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	11th						
Zip Code(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	22030						
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Fairfax						
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization	\$		·				

3. Subrecipient Information

In Chart 3, provide the following information for <u>each</u> subrecipient with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assist the grantee or project sponsors to carry out their administrative or service delivery functions. Agreements include: grants, subgrants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. (Organizations listed may have contracts with project sponsors or other organizations beside the grantee.) These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

Subrecipient Name				Parent Company (if applicable)				
Northern Virginia Regional Commission								
Name and Title of Contact at Subrecipient G. Mark Gibb, Executive Director								
Email Address	gmg@novaregion.org							
Business Address	3060 Williams Drive, S	3060 Williams Drive, Suite 510						
City, State, Zip, County	Fairfax VA 22031				USA			
Phone Number (with area code)	Fax Number (with area code) 703-642-0700 500 (42 5075)							
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	54-0567355 703-642-5077 DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs) if app 12-402-0830				if applicable			
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code	Not Applicable			12-402-0850				
Congressional District of Location	Virginia Congressional Distr	ict 11						
Congressional District of Primary Service Area	Virginia Congressional Distr	icts 1,8,10,11						
Zip Code of Primary Service Area(s)	Zip codes within the series: 220xx (Fairfax Co/City, Falls Church), 221xx (Fairfax Co.) 222xx (Arlington Co.), 223xx (Alexandria/Fairfax Co.) 201xx in Virginia (Fauquier Co., Manassas, Manassas Park, Loudoun C & Prince William Co) 224xx Fredericksburg, 2252xx (Spotsylvania & Stafford Co's.). & 226xx (Clarke & Warren Co's.)							
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	ice Cities of Alexandria, Fairfax, Falls Church, Manassas, Manassas Park, & Fredericksburg Warren							
Total HOPWA Contract Amount	\$1,912,243							

A. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one to three page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD's website. *Note: Text fields are expandable*.

INTRODUCTION

The Northern Virginia Regional Commission (NVRC) is the administrative agent for the Housing for Persons with AIDS program (HOPWA) in Northern Virginia. NVRC is a council of local governments serving a number of localities that are a part of the Washington D.C. eligible metropolitan area. The Suburban Virginia service area consists of 15 cities/counties.

The Continuum of Care & HOPWA Funded Services

People in Virginia living with HIV/AIDS have a wide range of housing and related needs. Throughout his/her lifetime a person living with HIV/AIDS may need varying types of assistance from: one-time, periodic assistance paying rent or mortgage to an ongoing subsidy to coverage for their last nights in a hospice.

The types of assistance identified as important for the Suburban Virginia HIV/AIDS housing continuum include housing information and referral, emergency housing assistance, transitional housing, permanent housing, specialized care facilities and supportive services. Because of the range of needs that people experience and because resources dedicated to serving people with HIV/AIDS are limited, funding within the Suburban Virginia continuum focuses on HIV/AIDS housing programs that are most in demand by people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWH).

HIV Resources Project

NVRC's HIV Resources Project exists to help persons with HIV/AIDS and their caregivers in Suburban Virginia identify appropriate housing options and supportive services that will contribute to enhanced health outcomes and quality of life. Assistance is provided to PLWHs who call for information and through the information provided on the HIV Resources Project_ website at <u>www.novaregion.org/hiv</u>. 1,079 HIV clients were assisted in identifying needed resources. The website received over 11,700 "hits" during the reporting period.

Short Term Rent, Mortgage and Utilities (STRMU)

The suburban Virginia STRMU program is used to prevent homelessness of an eligible person. Funds pay for rent, mortgage, or utilities for a period of up to 21 weeks in any 52 week period. The program served 76 households during the reporting period. The STRMU program was able to utilize all of its funds in the program year. The continuing crisis in the economy was a factor in the use of these funds

Stewardship Units -- Transitional Housing Units

Homestretch, a non-profit housing organization provides transitional housing to residents of Suburban Virginia. Several years ago, HOPWA funds purchased two condominium units to provide transitional housing to HOPWA-eligible families with dependent children. Homestretch provides a robust wraparound skills building program (case management, budgeting/savings/credit repair, job skills training, substance abuse treatment & mental health counseling, if indicated) to empower homeless families to return to stable housing and self-sufficiency. Two (2) families were served in this program during the reporting period.

Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)

Northern Virginia Family Service, Arlington County Dept. of Human Services, and Prince William County Department of Housing and Community Development provide permanent rental housing subsidies. 85 households were assisted with TBRA during the reporting period. Clients seeking TBRA must apply to be put on the regional waiting list, maintained by NVRC. During the program year NVRC purged the TBRA waiting list and sought other ways to assist clients in finding suitable housing. Individuals were referred to local nonprofits who specialized in affordable housing Currently 220 PLWH are on the wait list awaiting assistance; 5 were moved off the list this year into a subsidy situation. Local TBRA programs are modeled on the Housing Voucher program, with most of the same benefits/policies used except for portability which is not available with HOPWA.

Robert Pierre Johnson Development Corporation (RPJ) sets aside seven (7) housing units within its portfolio of affordable housing developments for use by HOPWA clients. RPJ offers housing to HOPWA-eligible PLWHs who have difficulty executing a successful housing search because of poor credit or past rental history. Tenure in an earmarked unit can last up to five years. Ten (10) households benefited from these stewardship units during the reporting period.

Partial Operating Support

Wesley Housing Development Corporation (Wesley) developed, owns, and operates a HUD 811 project in Fairfax County exclusively for persons with HIV/AIDS. Agape House features eight one-bedroom and four two-bedroom units. HOPWA funds provide partial support for maintaining the physical plant of the facility. Thirteen (13) households were assisted operating support during the reporting period. Some of the funds were used to make necessary yard improvements.

Supportive Services

Legal Services of Northern Virginia provides outreach, legal services, and entitlements counseling to Suburban Virginia PLWH. Clients are assisted in the areas of: housing, family issues, consumer related issues, employment, discrimination, futures planning, and assistance in applying for/receiving/appealing public benefits. 583 HOPWA-eligible PLWH received this assistance during the reporting period.

Wesley uses support services funding to underwrite a part-time Resident Services Coordinator who plays a vital role in crisis intervention, service referral, and linkages to outside resources for Agape House residents. Thirteen (13) households were assisted.

Northern Virginia AIDS Ministry (NOVAM) provides transportation and case management for PLWH. Transportation is available to medical, dental and other supportive service appointments using a variety of mechanisms, including: van rides, Metro bus/Metrorail fare cards, and emergency taxi assistance. 182 PLWH were assisted with transportation during the reporting period. NOVAM's case management program assisted 11 PLWH with non-housing the resolution of which will enable PLWH to maintain their current living conditions.

Draft FY2010 CAPER

District of Columbia

B. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

1. Outputs Reported. Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your program year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess program goals against actual client outcomes for achieving housing stability, reducing risks of homelessness, and improving access to care. If current year results are lower than the national program targets (80 percent of HOPWA clients maintain housing stability, avoid homelessness and access care), please describe the steps being taken to achieve the national outcome goal in next operating year.

3. Coordination. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

4. Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries.

Outputs. About 98 percent of the target number of households was served with supportive services. Actual contacts to the HIV Resources Project were substantially higher than the planned number, approximating numbers of website hits from before the website retooling, suggesting that people are finding and using the new site without problems. Targets were exceeded for security deposits and first month's rent payments under permanency planning.

TBRA clients continue to experience significant delays in lease up and moving into rental units. The amount of time needed to find a unit that will accept the HOPWA subsidy and any of the client's credit issues, as well as variable client health status have led to an often lengthy (up to 6 mos.) period to get a unit under contract. This delay affects Suburban Virginia HOPWA's ability to meet targeted spending goals. Performance on TBRA slightly exceeded project goals. Numbers of households assisted with STRMU was just about at goal.

Outcomes

86 of 95 households (91%) receiving housing assistance, maintained housing stability. None of the STRMU households experienced housing instability. Fifty-six of 76 STRMU households (74%) remained stable in permanent housing, while Twenty (26%) reduced their risk of homelessness.

Coordination

NVRC contributes data about the HOPWA program for inclusion in DC and Virginia local government housing office consolidated plans.

Technical Assistance

C. Barriers and Trends Overview

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

1. Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

HOPWA/HUD Regulations	☐ Planning	Housing Availability	Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
Discrimination/Confidentiality	Multiple Diagnoses	Eligibility	Technical Assistance or Training
Supportive Services	Credit History	x Rental History	x Criminal Justice History
x Housing Affordability	x 🗌 Other, please expla	in further	

2. Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public.

BARRIERS --Housing Affordability

HOPWA eligible clients have difficulty finding housing that is affordable to them. Northern Virginia is an affluent area - the cost of rental properties is high and approval standards are stringent. Most HOPWA clients have insufficient incomes, many on SSI/SSDI, to qualify for many available rentals. Clients are turned down for various reasons, such as not meeting income requirements or having poor credit. Many landlords/large apartment complexes are not willing to work with the HOPWA programs.

To address this issue HOPWA case managers have reached out to landlords to educate them on the benefits of participating in the HOPWA program. Case managers have compiled a list of rental properties that have accepted HOPWA clients in the past, as well as information resources regarding housing options for new clients. The HIV Resources Project also features a number of affordable housing resource lists and search engines. The goal is to provide as much information regarding affordable housing opportunities so that client can secure housing and stabilize their health.

Other -- Failure to Document Need

During the reporting period HOPWA STRMU has often struggled to get clients, landlords, and referring case workers to return the documentation required to process cases in a timely manner. This issue prolongs the application process and causes much distress for all participating parties. The STRMU subcontractor has added staff to the HOPWA program to proactively pursue required documentation but continues to experience delays and requests going unfilled based on insufficient documentation of STRMU eligible need

Other -- Difficulty in Obtaining Security Deposit Repayment

Suburban Virginia HOPWA has difficulty ensuring security deposits are returned by landlords when the tenant vacates. Former landlords are reporting that clients are causing damage to their rental properties and therefore they are using the security deposit for repairs. HOPWA case managers have requested documentation or receipts of repairs from landlords to justify situations when the security deposit is not returned, but none have been given. Landlords are also keeping security deposits to offset nonpayment of the tenant rent portion. Although clients are informed that they are not allowed to use the security deposit for rent payments, this continues to happen. A requirement for repayment by the client prior to receipt of subsequent security deposits or other HOPWA assistance is a proposed policy change for 2011, with public comment pending.

Other- Lack of turnover in the TBRA units

PLWH are receiving better care and therefore living longer. Clients already under lease for HOPWA TBRA are tending to stay in their units for longer periods of time. Each year there is less change over in tenants leaving their units which extends the time others have to spend on the waitlist.

TRENDS --

NVRC anticipated that the economic downturn would require much more money in STRMU. Although more families were helped, spending is still slightly below what was made available. We believe this trend took awhile to filter down through the HOPWA population, but demand for assistance picked up last year and is anticipated to continue to be high in the coming grant period. The economic downturn has had a dramatic effect on the HOPWA eligible population. Some PLWH who were doubled up with friends and family are being asked to leave because of a change in fiscal situation among the hosting household. This causes the HOPWA wait list to grow. Local homeless shelters are seeing an increase in requests for assistance. The availability of affordable housing units continues to contract in our region as families throughout the community have had to downsize housing in this economy, i.e. people who had been homeowners, but have been through foreclosure are competing with HOPWA clients for a limited supply of more affordable rental housing. The increased amount of the HOPWA Year 19 award is expected to help ameliorate some of these trends.

D. Unmet Housing Needs: An Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

In Chart 1, provide an assessment of the number of HOPWA-eligible households that require housing assistance but are not currently served by HOPWA in this service area.

In Line 1, report the total unmet need of the geographical service area, as reported in *Unmet Needs for Persons with HIV/AIDS*, Table 1B of the Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), or as reported under HOPWA worksheet in the Needs Workbook of the Consolidated Planning Management Process (CPMP) tool. *Note: Report most current data available, through Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), and account for local housing issues, or changes in HIV/AIDS cases, by using combination of one or more of the sources in Chart 2.*

In Rows a through c, enter the number of HOPWA-eligible households by type of housing assistance whose housing needs are not met. For an approximate breakdown of overall unmet need by type of housing assistance refer to the Consolidated or Annual Plan (s), CPMP tool or local distribution of funds.

1. Assessment of Unmet Need for HOPWA-eligible Households

1. Total number of households that have unmet housing needs	= 311				
From Item 1, identify the number of households with unmet housing needs by type of housing assistance					
a. Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	= 220				
b. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility payments (STRMU)	= 50				
c. Housing Facilities, such as community residences, SRO dwellings, other housing facilities	= 41				

2. Recommended Data Sources for Assessing Unmet Need (check all sources used)

X	= Data as reported in the area Consolidated Plan, e.g. Table 1B, CPMP charts, and related narratives						
	= Data established by area HIV/AIDS housing planning and coordination efforts, e.g. Continuum of Care						
	= Data from client information provided in Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS)						
	= Data from project sponsors or housing providers, including waiting lists for assistance or other assessments on need						
	= Data from prisons or jails on persons being discharged with HIV/AIDS, if mandatory testing is conducted						
	= Data from local Ryan White Planning Councils or reported in CARE Act Data Reports, e.g. number of clients with permanent housing						
	= Data collected for HIV/AIDS surveillance reporting or other health assessments, e.g. local health department or CDC surveillance data						

End of PART 1

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars.

F11	Sources of Louise sin a	Total Amount of Leveraged Dollars (for this operating year)				
[1]	Sources of Leveraging	[2] Housing Assistance	[3] Supportive Services and other non-direct housing costs			
1.	Program Income	= 0	=			
2.	Federal government (please specify):	= \$98,459.19	= \$40,367.00			
	Ryan White	= \$21,059.19	= \$40,367.00			
	Section 811	= \$77,436	=			
		=	=			
3.	State government (please specify)	=	=			
	Sale government (prose speerly)	=	=			
		=	=			
		=	=			
4.	Local government (please specify)	=	= \$69,143.00			
<u>т.</u>	Fairfax County	=	= \$43,143			
	City of Alexandria	=	= \$26,000			
	City of Mexandria	=	=			
5.	Foundations and other private cash resources (please specify)	= \$90,579.02	= \$309,013.48			
	Did not report	=	= \$272,113.48			
	Good Shepard	=	= \$5,000.00			
	Broadway Cares	=	= \$5,000.00			
	Arlington/Broadway Caress/Washington Forest/Wesley Group	=	= \$16,500.00			
	United Way	=	= \$10,400.00			
6.	In-kind Resources	=	= \$11,150.00			
7.	Resident rent payments in Rental, Facilities, and Leased Units	= \$1,281,368.74	=			
8.	Grantee/project sponsor (Agency) cash	= \$4,486.00	= \$43,983.00			
9.	TOTAL (Sum of 1-7)	= \$1,475,739.95	= \$473,656.48			

End of PART 2

PART 3: Accomplishment Data - Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families. *Note: The total households assisted with HOPWA funds and reported in PART 3 of the CAPER should be the same as reported in the annual year-end IDIS data, and goals reported should be consistent with the Annual Plan information. Any discrepancies or deviations should be explained in the narrative section of PART 1.* **1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs**

	HOP WA Performance Flammed Goal and Actual Outputs	-					
		c	Output He	ouseholds	1		Funding
	HOPWA Performance	HOPWA A	Assistance	Non-H	OPWA		-
	Planned Goal	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.
	and Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	HOPWA Budget	HOPWA Actual
		G	V	9	V	H(B)	Н
	Housing Subsidy Assistance		ut Househ	nolds			1
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance		580				\$7,644,649.00
	Households in permanent housing facilities that receive operating subsidies/leased units		13				\$34,647.00
	Households in transitional/short-term housing facilities that receive operating subsidies/leased units		249		70		\$1,723,536.00
	Households in permanent housing facilities developed with capital funds and placed in service during the program year						
	Households in transitional/short-term housing facilities developed with capital funds and placed in service during the program year						
	Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance		378				\$732,243.00
	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)						
6.	Total Housing Subsidy Assistance		1,122		72		\$10,135,075.00
	Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)		ut Units	r	-		
7.	Facility-based units being developed with capital funding but not opened (show units of housing planned)						
8.	Stewardship Units subject to 3 or 10 year use agreements	2	2				
9	Total Housing Developed		_				
	Supportive Services	Outp	ut House	holds			
10a.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors also delivering <u>HOPWA</u> housing assistance		3,520			:	\$825,255.00
10b	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors serving households who have other housing arrangements		1,045			\$	544,658.00
11.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)						
12.	Total Supportive Services		4,565			\$	1,379,913.00
	Housing Placement Assistance Activities						
	Housing Information Services		13.094			\$	436,244.00
	Permanent Housing Placement Services		62				\$66,763.00
	Adjustment for duplication						
16.	Total Housing Placement Assistance		13,156			\$	503,006.00
	Grant Administration and Other Activities						
	Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources					\$	0
18.	Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement)					\$	0
19.	Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)						406,282.13
20.	Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)					\$	839,423.15
-	Total Expenditures for program year (Sum of rows 6, 9, 12, 16, and 20)						
L	1	L					

2. Listing of Supportive Services Report on the use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. In Rows 1 through 16, provide the (unduplicated) total of all households and expenditures for each type of supportive service for all project sponsors.

	Supportive Services	Number of <u>Households</u> Receiving HOPWA Assistance	Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended
1.	Adult day care and personal assistance	3219	\$148,513.33
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse services		
3.	Case management/client advocacy/ access to benefits & services	605	\$836,453.13
4.	Child care and other child services		
5.	Education	42	
6.	Employment assistance and training		
	Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved	188	\$7,618.95
7.	Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310		
8.	Legal services	583	\$151,140.00
9.	Life skills management (outside of case management)	73	\$10,546.07
10.	Meals/nutritional services	3654	\$29,106.14
11.	Mental health services	127	\$896.00
12.	Outreach		
13.	Transportation	324	\$139,474.00
14.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify: Tenant Services	13	\$35,647.00
15.	Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)	50	
16.	TOTAL Households receiving Supportive Services (unduplicated)	8836	\$1,359,394.62

End of PART 3

Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

HOPWA Long-term Performance Objective: *Eighty percent of HOPWA clients will maintain housing stability, avoid homelessness, and access care each year through 2011.*

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

In Column 1, report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing assistance, by type. In Column 2, enter the number of households continuing to access each type of housing assistance, the following year. In Column 3, report the housing status of all households that exited the program. Columns 2 (Number of Households Continuing) and 3 (Exited Households) summed will equal the total households reported in Column 1. *Note: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.*

[A] Permanent Housing Assistance	[1] Total Number of Households Receiving Housing Assistance	[2] Assessmen Households Com Housing (per pla for nex	tinuing with this in or expectation	[3] Assessment: Nu Exited Household Housing State	ls and
				1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	= 1
				2 Temporary Housing	= 2
				3 Private Housing	= 11
Tenant-Based Rental	= 580	= 5	530	4 Other HOPWA	=
Assistance	- 380	- 3	550	5 Other Subsidy	= 3
				6 Institution	= 5
				7 Jail/Prison	=
				8 Disconnected/Unknown	= 12
				9 Death	= 16
				1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	=
		-		2 Temporary Housing	=
				3 Private Housing	= 1
Permanent Supportive	- 12		10	4 Other HOPWA	=
Housing Facilities/Units	= 13			5 Other Subsidy	=
				6 Institution	=
				7 Jail/Prison	=
				8 Disconnected/Unknown	=
				9 Death	=
[B] Transitional Housing Assistance	[1] Total Number of Households Receiving Housing Assistance	[2] Of the Tot Households Rec Assistance this	eiving Housing	[3] Assessment: Nu Exited Household Housing State	ls and
		Total number of		1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	= 12
		households that will	= 62	2 Temporary Housing	= 27
Transitional/Short-Term Supportive Facilities/Units		continue in residences:	- 02	3 Private Housing	= 65
				4 Other HOPWA	= 20
	= 261			5 Other Subsidy	= 12
		Total number of households whose	= 6	6 Institution	= 2
		tenure exceeded 24	Ŭ	7 Jail/Prison	= 5

	months:	8 Disconnected/unknown	= 30
		9 Death	= 16

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column 1. In Column 2, identify the result of the housing assessment made at time of assistance, or updated in the operating year. (Column 3 provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required.) In Row 1a, enter the total number of households served in the prior operating year that received STRMU assistance this year. In Row 1b, enter the total number of households that received STRMU Assistance in the 2 prior operating years that received STRMU assistance this year. *Note: The sum of Column 2 should equal the number of households reported in Column 1*.

1] STRMU Housing [2] Assessment of Housing Status Assistance [2] Assessment of Housing Status		[3] HOPWA Client Outcome		
	Maintain Private Housing without subsidy (e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)	= 42		
	Other Private Housing without subsidy	=	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH	
	Other HOPWA support (PH)			
	Other housing subsidy (PH)	= 9		
	Institution (e.g. residential and long-term care)	=		
= 378	Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance	= 27	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term (e.g. <i>temporary or transitional arrangement</i>)	=		
	Temporary/non-permanent Housing arrangement (e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)	=		
	Emergency Shelter/street	=	Unstable Arrangements	
Jail/Prison		=		
	Disconnected	=		
	Death	=	Life Event	
a. Total number of househousehousehousehousehousehousehouse	blds that received STRMU assistance in the prior operating year, the rating year.	at also rece	eived STRMU = 26	
	buseholds that received STRMU assistance in the two (2 years ago in the current operating year.) prior oper	rating years that also $= 21$	

Assessment of Households receiving STRMU Assistance

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support

1A. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support by Project Sponsors delivering HOPWA Housing Assistance/Housing Placement/Case Management

Use Table 1 A for project sponsors that provide HOPWA housing assistance/housing placement with or without case management services. In Table 1A, identify the number of client households receiving any type of HOPWA housing assistance that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year by: having a housing plan; having contact with a case manager/benefits counselor; visiting a primary health care provider; accessing medical insurance/assistance; and accessing or qualifying for income benefits. *Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts* 1*C* and 1*D*.

Categories of Services Accessed	Households Receiving Housing Assistance within the Operating Year	Outcome Indicator
1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing.	673	Support for Stable Housing
2. Has contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan	268	Access to Support
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan,	227	Access to Health Care
 Has accessed and can maintain medical insurance/assistance. 	98	Access to Health Care
5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income.	334	Sources of Income

1B. Number of Households Obtaining Employment

In Table 1B, identify the number of recipient households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA funded: job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services. Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor or obtained outside this agency.

management counseting services. Those This merades jobs ered	and by this project sponsor of obtained out	side this ageney.
Categories of Services Accessed	Number of Households that	Outcome
	Obtained Employment	Indicator
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	9	Sources of
		Income

Chart 1C: Sources of income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

Earned Income	 Veteran's Pension
Unemployment Insurance	 Pension from Former Job
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	Child Support
Social Security Disability Income (SSDI)Veteran's Disability Payment	 Alimony or Other Spousal Support Retirement Income from Social Security
General Assistance, or use local program name	Private Disability Insurance
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) income, or use local program	Worker's Compensation
name	

Chart 1D: Sources of medical insurance and assistance include, but are not limited to the following *(Reference only)*

•	MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or	•	MEDICARE Health Insurance Program,
	local program name		or local program name
•	Veterans Affairs Medical Services	•	AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)

•	State Children's Health Insurance	•	Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental
	Program (SCHIP), or local program name		Assistance

2A. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support through HOPWA-funded Services receiving Housing Assistance from Other Sources

In Table 2A, identify the number of client households served by project sponsors receiving HOPWA-funded housing placement or case management services who have other and housing arrangements that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year by: having a housing plan; having contact with a case

manager/benefits counselor; visiting a primary health care provider; accessing medical insurance/assistance; and accessing or qualifying for income benefits. Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts 2C and 2D.

Categories of Services Accessed	Households Receiving HOPWA Assistance within the Operating Year	Outcome Indicator
1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing.	302	Support for Stable Housing
2. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income.	267	Sources of Income
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in clients individual service plan.	57	Access to Health Care
 Has accessed and can maintain medical insurance/assistance. 	267	Access to Health Care
5. Has contact with case manager, benefits counselor, or housing counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan.	287	Access to Support

2B. Number of Households Obtaining Employment

In Table 2B, identify the number of recipient households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA funded: job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services. Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor or obtained outside this agency.

Categories of Services Accessed	Number of Households that Obtained Employment	Outcome Indicator
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	0	Sources of Income

Chart 2C: Sources of income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

20.		not minted to the following (<i>Nerel choe</i>
٠	Earned Income	Veteran's Pension
•	Unemployment Insurance	 Pension from Former Job
•	Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	Child Support
•	Social Security Disability Income (SSDI)	 Alimony or Other Spousal Support
•	Veteran's Disability Payment	Retirement Income from Social Security
•	General Assistance, or use local program name	Private Disability Insurance
•	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) income, or use local program	Worker's Compensation
na	ame	

Chart 2D: Sources of medical insurance and assistance include, but are not limited to the following *(Reference only)*

 MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or 	 MEDICARE Health Insurance Program,
local program name	or local program name
 Veterans Affairs Medical Services 	 AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)
 State Children's Health Insurance 	 Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental
Program (SCHIP), or local program name	Assistance

End of PART 4

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes

Permanent	Stable Housing	Temporary Housing	Unstable	Life Event
Housing Assistance	(# of households remaining in program plus 3+4+5+6=#)	(2)	Arrangements (1+7+8=#)	(9)
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	19	2	13	16
Permanent Facility- based Housing Assistance/Units	1	0	0	0
Transitional/Short- Term Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units	99	27	47	16
Total Permanent HOPWA Housing Assistance	119	29	60	32
Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance	Stable/Permanent Housing	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	Unstable Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)	56	27	0	0
	175	56	60	32

1. This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4.

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.

4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.

5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).

6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).

7 = Jail /prison.

8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. <u>Other Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. <u>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</u> is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements <u>Unstable Situation</u> is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

PART 6: Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

Grantees that use HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used they are required to operate for at least three years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation. This Annual Certification of Continued HOPWA Project Operations is to be used in place of other sections of the APR, in the case that no additional HOPWA funds were expended in this operating year at this facility that had been acquired, rehabilitated or constructed and developed in part with HOPWA funds.

1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s)	Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy) Final Yr
	□ Yr 1; □ Yr 2; □ Yr 3; □ Yr 4; □ Yr 5; □ Yr 6;
	□ Yr 7; □ Yr 8; □ Yr 9; □ Yr 10;
Grantee Name	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy)

2. Number of Units and Leveraging

Housing Assistance	Number of Units Receiving Housing Assistance with HOPWA funds	Amount of Leveraging from Other Sources Used during the Operating Year
Stewardship units (developed with HOPWA funds but no current operations or other HOPWA costs) subject to 3 or 10 year use periods		

3. Details of Project Site

Name of HOPWA-funded project site	
Project Zip Code(s) and Congressional District(s)	
Is the address of the project site confidential?	 Yes, protect information; do not list. Not confidential; information can be made available to the public.
If the site address is not confidential, please provide the contact name, phone, email, and physical address, if different from business address.	

I certify that the facility that received assistance for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction from the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program has operated as a facility to assist HOPWA-eligible persons from the date shown above. I also certify that the grant is still serving the planned number of HOPWA-eligible households at this facility through leveraged resources and all other requirements of the grant agreement are being satisfied.

I hereby certify that all the information stated herein, as well as any information provided in the accompaniment herewith, is true and accurate.		
Name & Title of Authorized Official	Signature & Date (mm/dd/yy)	
Name & Title of Contact at Grantee Agency	Contact Phone (with area code)	
(person who can answer questions about the report and program)		

End of PART 6

Appendix I IDIS Reports

IDIS REPORTS TO SUBMIT WITH CAPER

CDBG REPORTS

- *PR01 Federal Entitlement Grant Funding shows for each grant the amount authorized, sub allocated, drawn and available to draw. The grants are organized by program and listed by fiscal year.
- *PR02 List of Activities lists by project, activity and program sequence the amount authorized for draw, amount drawn and the difference.
- PR03- Summary of Activities lists each CDBG activity which was open during a program year. For each activity the report shows the status, accomplishments, program year narrative and program year expenditures. For each activity the report also shows the activity code, regulation cite and characteristics of the beneficiaries.
- PR06 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report tracks progress in implementing projects identified in the action plan. This report lists all projects for a plan year in sequence by project number. Disbursements are summarized by program for each project's activities. Accomplishments reported for the program year in the C04MA08 screens are summarized for each program area.
- *PR07 Drawdown voucher report lists the details for all vouchers in sequence by voucher identification. The voucher details include voucher status, amount drawn and the grant identification.
- *PR08 Grantee Summary Activity Report provides a list of activities in grantee activity number sequence. For each activity the report shows the date funded, grant status, amount drawn and date last draw.
- *PR23 Summary of Accomplishments Report presents data on CDBG activity counts and disbursements by priority need categories. It also contains data on CDBG accomplishments by various units of measure and housing units by racial/ethnic categories.
- *PR26 Financial Summary Report provides the key CDBG program indicators. This report shows the obligations, expenditures which the grantee has made for a specified program year. The expenditures are summarized to determine the relevant indicators for low- and moderate-income, planning/ administration, public service activities and economic development.

ESG REPORTS

- *PR12 ESG Financial summary show the grants, committed and disbursed amounts for each ESG project/activity.
- *PR19 ESG Program for Grantee Statistics provides statistics on the characteristics of beneficiaries and services for each ESG project/activity.
- *PR20 ESG Activity Summary report provides the amounts that are committed and disbursed by type of ESG expenditure.

HOME REPORTS

- *PR01 Federal Entitlement Grant Funding shows for each grant the amount authorized, sub allocated, drawn and available to draw. The grants are organized by program and listed by fiscal year.
- *PR22 Status of HOME Activities shows the status of current HOME activities. The report lists activities which are currently open and funded or which have been closed out within the past 12 months. For each activity, the report shows the address, the number of units, funds committed and disbursed and activity status.
- *PR25 Status of CHDO funds shows for each fiscal year the funds reserved, committed and disbursed for each CHDO.
- *PR27 Status of HOME grants provide a summary of funding by fiscal year. This report contains the key programmatic indicators. The funding report show the status of commitments, disbursements, administrative funds, CHDO operating funds, all CHDO funds, CHDO loan/capacity building, other entities and program income.
- PR33 Match Report shows the required match percentage, funds disbursed and required match for a given fiscal year.



Adrian M. Fenty, Mayor Government of the District of Columbia

Valerie Santos, Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development

Leila Finucane Edmonds, Director Department of Housing and Community Development

For further information, call 202-442-7200